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## ABSTRACT

Analyzing financial statement is a process of evaluating the relationship between component parts of a financial statement to obtain a better understanding of a firm's position and performance. The type of relationship to be investigated depends upon the objective and purpose of evaluation. Financial statement analysis can assist creditors, investors and managers in evaluating the firms past, current and projected performance.

The aim of the study is analyzing the financial statements of Vestel company between the years 1998 and 2002. This five years analysis enable us to understand past and current performance of the company. Besides analysing Vestel company, we will also analyze the financial statements of Beko to be able to make a comparison between the two companies. Beko is the competitor of Vestel company in Turkey. Thus with this comparison, it will be easier to understand the position of Vestel regarding its competitor.
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## [NTRODUCTION

The world economy is going Global. Investments are increasing day by day. There are well organized capital markets which act as an international capital and investment exchange. The investment increases in the areas which are expected to grow with good returns as well as minimal risk. These risks and returns are analysed in a detailed way to make the investment safe and profitable.

This study deals with financial statement analysis. Financial statement analysis is designed to determine the relative sterengths and weaknesses of a company. Investors need this information to estimate both future cash flows from the firm and the riskness of these flows. Financial managers need this information to evaluate their own performance and map future plans. Financial statement analysis highlights the key aspects of a firm's operations. Financial statement analysis involves how relationships change over time, or trend analysis, and how a particular firm compares with another firm or to industry averages.

Financial statement is a tool that helps the analysists and investors to make decision by using useful information. And also it helps the investors to understand the key trends and relationship which gives clear understanding of all the financial activities. With the help of classified financial statements certain types of financial activities are recorded and placed together in a group from which in turns help the users to develop useful subtotals and indicators. Whereas cooperative financial statements give summary of several years activities so that investors can easily compare the changing trends. ${ }^{1}$

Financial ratios are the principal tool of the financial analysis, since they can be used to answer a variety of questions regarding a firm's financial well-being. For example, a commercial bank loan officer considering an application for a six-month loan might want to know whether the applicant firm is solvent or liquid; a potential investor in the firm's common stock might want to know how profitable the firm has been; and an internal financial analyst might want to know whether the firm can reasonably afford to borrow all or part of the funds needed to finance a planned expansion. Answers to these and related questions can

[^0]be obtained through the use of financial ratios. ${ }^{2}$ Although financial statement analysis has -ititions, when used with care and judgement, it can provide a great deal of information about a company.

My aim in this study is to analyse financial statement of Vestel Corporation for last five years (1998 to 2002) and compare the current performance with past performance of Vestel. And also to compare the past performance and current position of Vestel with the only competitor Beko. Vestel is a Turkish company which has grown well in Turkish market and looking for opportunities in global markets. Vestel produces white household goods, TV. and Electronic appliances. The company stocks are traded in Istanbul Stock Exchange. It is one of the top fifty companies in I.M.KB. In preparation process, I have gathered information from books related with finance and accounting, the web sites of investment companies, home page of the company itself, web site of Istanbul Stock Exchange Market that is www.Imkb.gov.tr.And the discussion which II made with my supervisor.

I have conducted the analysis of Vestel in five stages.

First part includes the background of Vestel and Its historical development from foundation up to now.

Some scientific definitions and different approaches from various sources about the financial statements are available in the second part. The definitions and explanations about the functions and necessity of Balance Sheet, Income Statement, Statement and Stockholders Equity and Statement of Cash Flow are also included.

The third part introduces some very important tools of analysing financial position of a company. Such tools like Dolar and Percentage Changes, Trend Percentages (Horzintal Analysis), Component Percentages (Vertical Analysis) and Ratio Analysis, give us details about the financial strengths and structure of a company.

The fourt part includes the application previously mentioned Component Percentages(Vertical Analysis), Trend Percentages(Horzintal Analysis), Dolar and Percentage Changes and Ratios

[^1]D the Vestel company under the light of last five years reports. This part enables us to understand the past performance and present financial structure of Vestel.

In the fifth part limitation of the project will be explained.

At the end conclusion and recommendation will be provided to the users of financial starements

## L HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF VESTEL

Vestel Electronic was founded in 1984 by Polypeck International Company owned by M. Asil Nadir who is an England originated and Cypriot businessman and has started is activities in the electronic industry, and it has been a pioneer in many fields in Terkey and the world since then. Vestel Electronic has strengthened its export attack it had initiated in the last years of eighties, and at the begining of the nineties it has created an important foreign market share. Vestel has continued its success in the domestic market by being a leader from time to time. Great importance was given to the research and development activities since the establishment. The company had improved its R\&D at the beginning of the nineties and reached and important success by making its design projects ready for production and export in a very short time and by following the technological innovations at the same time with the world and it has replied quickly to the product variety with its own designs in line with the demands of the customers and has established export connections. Together with the export attack, the company acquired the ability to design and produce products that would serve the demands of European customers.

The crisis suffered by the Polypeck Company at the beginning of 1990s, had caused Vestel Electronic, which had been continuing its growth trend by making investments, to have serious proplems and with the addition of the crisis of 1994, the company entered into a shrinking trend and its financial structure had been damaged. The improvement in design and production quality has influenced the product qulity Positevely, and the improvement in the quality level enabled the company to respond quickly to the customer demands with the help of fast designing and fast capasity icrease, and these factors contributed to the success of Vestel Electronic and with this, the company could survive the two crisis periods. The crisis years passed safely and in difficulty with the help of the past accumulations of Vestel Electronic and of the sales success of the product range. Vestel chassis designs had started with 11 AK03 and reached a big quality in $11 \mathrm{AKO8}, 11 \mathrm{AK} 10$, and 11 AK 12 chassis; later this trend had been strengthened with the Black series and has helped Vestel to survive in the domestic and foreign markets. In one of the most difficult years, in 1995 Zorlu Holding has bought all the shares of the Vestel Electronic under the management of Mr. Ahmet Nazif Zorlu and with the strengthened financial structure, management, and the great vision
af the maragement, the heritage and the culture of vestel has combined and a new progress period started.

The new investments on one side, and the efforts to develop the foreign market on the cher and the investments on R\&D, have given their results in a very short time and Vestel Electronic has increased its 500.000 pieces of annual production capacity to 500.000 pieces a month in the year 2000 and has reached an important success by haring an annual production capacity of 5.000 .000 pieces. its ability to develop its prodact range in a way to respond quickly to the increasing orders have increased =iedly at the end of 1990s and the company has reached a success that surprised the sorid, the world and the country crisis at these periods have not affected Vestel Electronic.

## II FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Financial statement is simply a declaration of the financial activities of the enterprise in terms of monetary unit such as dollars. One of the most important way that creditors investors and other parties understand the financial position of the company is analysing the financial statements. Financial statements may cover sometimes a week or a year. Semi-annual and interim financial statements are also used there are primarily four types of financial statements, Balance Sheet, Income Statement, Statement of Cash Flow and Statement of stockholders equity.

The Balance sheet shows the financial position of a business at a specific date. Income statement is an activity report showing the revenues and expenses in a period of time. The statement of cash flow is used generally in investment and credit decisions. It shows bow the cash flow has changed in a specific period of time. Statement of stockholders equity is an expanded statement of Retained Earnings, which shows the changes in all stockholders equity accounts during the year.

### 2.1. Balance Sheet.

The main purpose of balance sheet is to show the financial position of a given business entity. A balance sheet is prepared at the end of each year or at the end of each month. A balance sheet consists of a listing of the Assets, Liabilities and owner's equity of a business. The balance sheet date is important as the financial position of a business may change quickly. A balance sheet is most useful if it is relatively recent. The amount of total assets must be equal to the total amount of liabilities and owner's equity. The relationship always, exists-infact the equality of these totals is one reason that this financial statement is called a balance sheet.

### 2.1.1. Assets.

Assets are the economic resources that a firm owns and expect to use in future operations. A company benefits from assets generally when the asset is converted into cash. For example the collection of receivables creates a positive cash flow. Some assets are tangible like building, machinery, land but there are also some intangible assets like
govermment bonds, patent rights and etc. there are some different views in how to record the value of assets.

The Cost Principle: According to cost principle assets such as land, building etc. should be recorded at its cost value rather than its current market value.

The Going Concern Assumption: An enterprise is established to operate continuously the assets like building, land are used to run the business. Companies do not keep these assets for resale so the future market values of such assets are less important to a company. Since a business is a going concern such assets are recorded at their cost value and are not changed according to market priceses.

The Objective Principle: Accounting reports need to be factual. So the such assets are recorded according to their costs to provide a factual basis. By this way any person who examined the accounting reports can verify the reports like other bonds. If we record the assets according to their estimated values it won't factual and objective.

The Stable Dollar Assumptions: A problem is measuring the assets at historical cost is the stability of monetary unit. If there is a deflation or inflation the value of the monetary units changes but U.S. experiences very little inflation so the accountants in U.S. consider U.S. dollars as a stable unit of measurement. Stable dollar assumption helps us in applying cost principle as un changed measurement unit.

### 21.2. Liabilities.

Liabilities represent the amount a firm owes. These are represented as negative future cash flows. All the firms have liabilities. They buy raw material, supplies or merchandise and pay in future. All the debts are rising from such activities are recorded at their awn accounts. Loans from banks and note payables are also regarded as liabilities. A note payable is a written promise to pay in future but accounts payable is not as formal as note payables because they are not a written promise. Note payables and account payables are recorded separately.

Owner's equity is the residual interest in or remaining claims against organization assets after deducting liabilities. At the beginning of a business the owner's equity is measured by the total amount invested by the owners. The term capital is also used instead of owner's equity to classify an owner's investment in the business. When the business earns profit the owners equity than consist of the total amounts invested by the owners phes any cumulative profits retained in the business. The right side of the balance sheet equation represents outsider and owner "claims against" the total assets shown on the left side. The owner's equity in a corporation is also called stockholder's equity. Owner's equity represents the rights of the owners on the assets of the business. The claims of creditors on assets have legal priority so the owner claims on the remaining of assets after the creditors claims are satisfied.

Increases in Owner's Equity: The owner's equity in a business comes from two sources:
1- Investment by the owner
2. Earnings from profitable operation of the business

Decreases in Owner's Equity: Decreases in owner's equity also caused in two ways:
1- Distribution of cash or other assets by the business to its owner.
2. Losses from unprofitable operation of the business.

### 2.2. Income Statement.

Income statement is a basic financial statement focuses on revenues and expenses transactions recorded in a retained income account. It is a report of all revenues expenses and net income pertaining to a specific time period. Net income is the bottom line on an income statement-the remainder after all expenses have been deducted from revenue.

The income statement measures performance for a span of time whether it be a month, a quarter or longer. Therefore the income statement must always indicate the exact period covered.

The income statement is used to assess the performance of an entity or its management ever a time period. The income statement shows how the entity's operations for the period have increased net assets through revenues and decrease net assets by consuming resources (expenses).

### 22.1. Revenue.

Revenue is the price of goods sold and services rendered during a given accounting period. Earning revenues couses owner's equity to increase. When a business renders services or sells merchandise to its customers, it usually receives cash or acquires an account receivable from the customer. The inflow of cash and receivables from customers increases the total assets of the company, on the other side of the accounting equation the liabilities don't change but owner's equity increases to match the increase in total assets. Thus revenue is the gross increase in owner's equity resulting from operation of the business. They are represented as positive cash flow.

### 2.2.2. Expenses.

Expenses are the cost of goods and services used up in the process of earning revenue. Expenses are often called as "cost of doing business". It always causes a decrease in owners' equity. The related changes in the accounting equation can be either a decrease in assets or an increase in liabilities. An expense reduces assets If payments accurs at the time that the expense is incurred. If the expense will not be paid until later, the regarding of the expense will be shown by an increase in liabilities.

### 2.2.3. Net Income.

Net income is an increase in owners' equity resulting from the profitable operation of the business. The oposide of net income a decrease in owners' equity resulting from unprofitable operation of the business is termed a net loss.

Net income does not consist of cash or any other specific asset. Rather net income is a computation of the overall effects of business transactions upon owner's equity.

Net income measures the amount by which the increase in assets exceeds the decrease. In essence, net income is one measure of the wealthy created by an entity during the accounting period. By tracking net income from period to period, comparing changes in net income to economy-wide and industry average, and examining changes in the revenues and expense components of net income, investors and other decision makers can evaluate the success of the period operations.

### 2.3. Statement Of Stockholders Equity.

Statement of stockholders' equity is more comprehensive than a statement of retained earnings. It is formatted in a manner similar to a statement of retained earnings but with coloumns for each element of stockholders' equity. The statement of stockholders' equity thus reports the changes in all categories of equity during the period.

The top line of the statement shows the beginning balance in each stockholders equity account. All of the transactions affecting these accounts during the year then are listed in summary form, Along with related changes in the balance of specific stockholders' equity account. The bottom line of the statement shows the ending balance in each stockholders' equity account and should agree with the amounts shown in the year-end balance sheet. It presents a more complete description of the transactions affecting the stockholders' equity. ${ }^{3}$

### 2.4. Statement Of Cash Flow.

The statement of cash flow reports, the entity's cash flows (cash receipts and cash payments) during the period where cash came from and how it was spent. It explains the causes of the change in the cash balance. This information can not be learned from other financial statements. The statement of cash flow covers a span of time and therefore is dated.
s Robert F., Megis Mary A., Bahner Mark, Whihington Ray, Financial Accounting international edition, 5 E Mc Graw Hill, 1998, 4th ed.
Berin Charlest; Sundem Glaryl; Elliot John A.,Introduction of Financial Accounting, USA: Prentice -Hall = _-ional Ine ,1996, 6th ed.

Tn prosict future cash flows: In many cases past cash receipts an excably good predictor of future cash receipts and payments.

IIs evaluate management decision: The statement of cash flows repon = peny's investment in plant assets and thus gives investors, and creditors ca Itr- information for evaluating managers' decisions.

İ Io determine the company's ability to pay dividends to stockholders and interest and principal to creditors: It helps them predict whether the business can make these proments.
4. In show the relationship of net income to changes in the busyness's cash.

### 24.1 Operating Activities.

Ceh flows from operating activities are the effects of business transactions on cash flow nciating revenues and expenses. Operating activities create revenues and expenses in te erity's major line of business. Therefore operating activities affect the income menent, which reports the accrual-basis affects of operating activities. The statement $=\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{flow}$ reports their impact on cash. The largest cash inflow from operations is the =lerion of cash from customers. Smaller inflows are receipts of interest on loans and Eiriends on stock investments. The outflows include payment to suppliers and to _picyees and payments for interest and taxes.

242 Irvesting Activities.

Cas flows from investing activities represent the effects of purchasing and selling of eess. Investing activities increase and decrease the long-term assets available to the seciness. A purchase or sale of plant asset such as land, a building or equipment in an irvesing activity, as is the purchase or sale of an investment in the stock or bonds of an atber company. Investing activities on the statement of cash flow include more than the sying and selling of assets that are classified as investments on the balance sheet.

### 24.3. Financing Activities.

Ite cash flows from financing activities show the investment of the owner in the mexany or borrowings from creditors. Financing activities obtains from investors and =-تinues the cash needed to start and sustain the business. It includes issuing stock, murroing money by issuing notes and bonds payable, buying or selling treasury stock $=i$ making payments to the stockholders-dividends and purchases of treasury stock Hemerts to the creditors include principal payments only and not the interest. ${ }^{4}$

## In कृशe ARCH METHODOLOGY.

## ail Tent Or Analysis.

## nin Deilar And Percentage Changes.

Trene anount of changes from year to year is significant, and expressing the change $=$ mentrge terms adds perspective. For example, if sales this year have increased by Zin 300 , the fact that this is an increase of $10 \%$ over last year's sales of $\$ 2$ million puts $i=$ Efferent prospective than if it represented a $1 \%$ increase over sales of $\$ 20$ million fir the prior year.

Tre dille amount of any change is the difference the amount for a comparison year and te ancurt for a base year. The percentage change is computed by dividing the amount erte doller change between years by the amount for the base year. ${ }^{5}$

## 112 Component Percentage (Vertical Analysis).

Sinerines is called common size analysis. This is a technique for evaluating financial data which expresses each item within a financila statement in terms of a neorl of a base amount. For example when an income statement is subjected to enical analysis, Net Sales is usually the base as $100 \%$. The other items such as cost of pod soid and gross profit are a percentage of Net Sales. It indicates the relative size of eth item included in a total. This show quickly the relative importance of each type of ess as well as the relative amount of financing obtained from current creditors, long =- creditors and stockholders. By computing compenent percentages for several secessive balance sheets, we can see which items are increasing in importance and which are becoming less significant. ${ }^{6}$

The Megis and others, Accounting, USA:Mc Graw Hill, 1999, 11 th ed., p. 611.
_rid of tine such questions as: How the sales changed over five years period? What =- does gross profit show? Can be answered by analysis of trend percentages. It is manse is to determine the increase or decrease that has taken place, expressed as either m incurt or a percentage. Two steps are necessary to compute trend percentages. First, 4 yex yerr is selected and each item in the financial statements for the base year is fien a weight of $100 \%$. The second step is to express each item in the financial nenets for following years as a percentage of its base-year amount. This - muracion consists of dividing an item such as sales in the years after the base year by te anount of sales in the base year.

## \#1. R Ratios Analysis.

Tanc anchsis expresses the relationship among selected items of financial statement In A raio expresses the mathematical relationship between one quantity and an other. The relationship is expressed in terms of either a percentage, a rate or a simple moporive For example if the balance sheet shows current assets of 100,000 and current entities of 10,000 . the ratio of current assets to current liabilities is 100,000 to 10,000 . The merical expression is 10 to 1 . Ratios are guides or short cuts that are useful in =aluring the financial position and operations of a company and in comparing them to z-iv in previous years or other companies. The primary purpose of ratios is to point $=$ ares needing further investigation. They should be used in connection whith a zeni uderstanding of the company and its environment.
3141. Measures of short-term Liquidity.

Ratios measure the firm's ability to fullfill short-term commitments out of its linid asets. Assets are "liquid" if they are either cash or relatively easy to convert into $=3$ Short-term creditors are generally very interested in the liquidity ratios. ${ }^{7}$

Cuncr inete The most widely used measure of short-term dept-paying is the current Inin mio is computed as follows:

Current Assets
Mantin Ranio =
Current Liabilities

The uiz sher shoterm creditors traditionally have belived that a retailer should have a $=[$ mion of at least 2 to 1 to qualify as a good credit risk.

בuex arioc: The quick ratio also known as the acid-test ratio shows the relationship $=$ highly liquid (quick) assets and current liabilities. Quick Assets are these that $=1=$ exeverted directly into cash with in a short period of time. These include cash, netatie securities and receivables-the current assets that can be converted most चzity imo cash. Some short-term creditors prefer the quick ratio to the current ratio as a newre of short-term solvency. Quick ratio is computed as follows:

$$
\text { Quick Ratio }=\frac{\text { Quick Assets }}{\text { Current Liabilities }}
$$

Quiek ratios are especially useful in evaluating the liquidity of companies that have imemories of slow-moving merchandise (such as real estate) or inventories that have zme excessive in size.

Firizing Capital: Working capital is a measurement often used to express the marionship between current assets and current liabilities. Woking capital is computed as fillows:

Torking Capital = Current Assets - Current Liabilities
—_ury ares are expected to convert into cash within a relatively short period of time $=\square=\pi n=r$ lahilities usually require a prompt cash payment. Thus working capital [_Is companies' potential excess sources of cash over its upcoming uses of cash.
an mivet familiar with the nature of the company's operations usually can determine = the amount of working capital whether the company is in a sound financial Zuren ar is heading for financial difficulties.
henahies Ternover Rate: The account receivable turnover rate indicates how quickly $z=\square$ converts its accounts receivable into cash. The account receivable turnover mex dererined by;

Net Sales
R_Lines Tumover Rate $=$
Average Account Receivable

Iery an Collect Average Accounts Receivable: provides a rough approximation of the In time that it takes to collect receivables. Days to collect average accounts =_uble is computed as follows;

365
Dexs In Collects A verage Accounts Receivables $=$
Receivable Turnover Rate
manover Rate: The inventory turnover rate indicates how many times during
ter te company is able to sell quantity of goods equal to its average inventory. nericaly, this rate is determined by;
C.O.G.S.

Iner Sil The Average Inventory: Measure of average days taken to sell inventory. Dreed 5 follows;

365
Inerin Sel Average Inventory =
Inventory Turnover Rate

Mracke: Indicates in days how quickly inventory converts into cash. cluned as follows;

Cycle: Days To Sell Inventory + Days To Collect Receivables

ㅋuk Messures Of Long Term Credit Risk.

- $=\mathrm{m}$ solvency ratios measure the ability of the enterprise to survive over a long _nize. Long-term creditors and stockholders are interested in a company's long= mileny, particularly its ability to pay interest as it comes due and to repay the face =off the debt at maturity.

Detr B ario: A basic measure of the safety of creditors' claims is the Debt Ratio, Which nessmal Fabilities as a persentage of total assets. A company's dept ratio is computed = fillews.

## Total Liabilities

Den Rario = $\qquad$
Total Assets

IT debr ratio is not a measure of short-term liquidity. Rather, it is a measure of =_iniors' long-term risk. The smaller the portion of total assets financed by creditors, $t=s m l^{l} l$ ler the risk that the business may become unable to pay its debts. From the =-vinirs' point of view, the lower the debt ratio, the safer their position.

Ratio: Interest coverage ratio measures the degree of protection zere fom a default on interest payments. Interest coverage ratio is computed

Net income before taxes +Interest expense

## Interest Expenses

## \#uas Weasares Of Profitability.

Z $=$ ariity ratios measure the success of the firm in earning a net return on sales or on mernery Since profit is the ultimate objective of the firm, poor performance here $\square=\leq$ a besic failure that, if not corrected, would probably result in the firm's going anthuiness. ${ }^{8}$
changes: that is, in net sales and net income: The rate at which a key Herre is increasing or decreasing', the growth rate and computed as

Changes $=\frac{\text { Percentage Changes }}{\text { Financial Statement Amount in the Earlier Year }}$

Incer Profit Rate: A measure of the profitability of the companies products. Gross $\bar{n}$ itre is computed as follows;

Dollar gross profit
Imas Profit Rate $=$ $\qquad$
Net Sales

Operating expense ratio: A measure of management's ability to control expenses miz couputed as follows;

Operating Expenses
Openting Expense Ratio $=$
Net Sales

Operating income: This measures the profitability of a company's basic business activities and computed as follows;

## Operating Income: Gross Profit - Operating Expenses

Net Income As a Percentage of Net Sales: An indicator of management's ability to control costs. Net incomes as a percentage of net sales are computed as follows;

## Net Income As A Percentage Of Net Sales = Net Income <br> Net Sales

Earnings Per Share: Earnings per share of common stock is a measure of the income earned on each share of common stock.

$$
\text { Earnings Per Share }=\frac{\text { Net income-Preferred Dividends }}{\text { Average Number Of Common Shares Outstanding }}
$$

Return On Assets: Ameasure of the productivity of assets, regardless of how the assets are financed. Return on assets are computed as follows;

$$
\text { Return On Assets }=\quad-\quad \text { Operating Income }
$$

Return on Investment (ROI) Ratio: The ROI is perhaps the most important ratio of all. It is the percentage of return on funds invested in the business by its owners. In short, this ratio tells the owner whether or not all the effort put into the business has been worthwhile. If the ROI is less than the rate of return on an alternative, risk-free investment such as a bank savings account, the owner may be wiser to sell the company, put the money in such a savings instrument, and avoid the daily struggles of small business management. The ROI is calculated as follows:

Return On Investment $=\frac{\text { Net Profit Before Tax }}{\text { Net Worth }}$

Return On Equity: The rate of return earned on the stockholders' equity in the business. The ROE is useful in comparing the profitability of a company to other firms in the same industry. The ROE is calculated as follows:

Return On Equity $=\frac{\text { Net Income }}{\text { Average Total Equity }}$

Return On Common Stockholders' Equity: The rate of return earned on the common Stockholders' equity (appropriate when company has both common and preferred stock) and computed as follows;

Net Income - Preferred Dividends
Return on stockholders' Equity =
Average Common Stockholders' Equity

### 3.1.4.4. Measures for Evaluating The current Market price of common stock.

Price-Earning Ratio: The price/earnings ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ ) ratio measures the relationship of the current market price of stock to the company's earnings per share. The Price/Earnings Ratio is computed as follows;

Market Pirece Per Share


This price/earnings ratio is very useful and widely applied becouse it allows companies to be compared. When a company's $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ ratio is higher than the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{E}$ ratios for other companies, it usually means that investors feel that the company's earnings are going to grow at a faster rate than those of the other companies. On the other hand, a lower P/E ratio usually means a more negative assessment by investors.

Dividend yield: Dividends yield measures a stock's current return to an investor in the form of dividends. Dividend yield is calculated as follows;

Dividend Yield $=\frac{\text { Annual Dividend }}{\text { Current Stock price }}$

Book value per share: The recorded value of net assets underlying each share of common stock is computed as ${ }^{9}$,

ey J. Weygandt and others,Financial Accounting, USA:John Wiley And Sons inc., 2 th ed. /www.bizmove.com/

## FINANCLAL STATEMENT ANALYSIS OF VESTEL.

Starting with this page different tables including income statements of Vestel company reported for the years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001and 2002 are available. Under the light of these tables, I will try to make the financial statement analysis of Vestel. This analysis will give us a general information about the company whether it is performing well and profitable or unprofitable.

### 4.1. Findings

### 4.1.1. Component Percentages (Vertical Analysis)

Component percentages (Vertical analysis) indicate the relative size of each item as a percentage of gross sales in the income statement. During the calculation of component percentage; net sales, cost of sales, operating expense and net income have been taken as a percentage of gross sales. The income statements' data has been taken from Vestel's financial statements that are included in appendix 1.

| Table 4.1 | 1999 | 1998 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Sales | 314,805,896 | 195,002,672 | 100\% | 100\% |
| $\sim$ Deduction (-) | $(2,354,088)$ | (6,564,692) | (0.75\%) | (3.37\%) |
| Esales | 312,451,808 | 188,437,984 | 99.25\% | 96.63\% |
| O Of Sales ( - ) | (226,362,727) | $(138,546,912)$ | (71.91\%) | (71.05\%) |
| - Profit (Loss) | 86,089,081 | 49,891,072 | 27.35\% | 25.58\% |
| erating Expenses ( - ) | (13,771,917) | $(8,596,447)$ | (4.37\%) | (4.41\%) |
| -ft (Loss) from Main Operations | 72,317,164 | 41,294,624 | 22.97\% | 21.18\% |
| -me And Profit From Other Operations | 28,901,549 | 13,354,060 | 9.18\% | 6.85\% |
| peases And Losses From Other Operations | (7,130,251) | $(2,679,293)$ | (2.26\%) | (1.37\%) |
| Trancial Expenses(-) | (60,590,671) | (31,652,416) | (19.25\%) | (16.23\%) |
| erating Profit (Loss) | 33,497,791 | 20,316,974 | 10.64\% | 10.42\% |
| ar Ordinary Income And Profits | 303,278 | 89,130 | 0,096\% | 0.046\% |
| $\cdots$ Ordinary Expenses And Losses (-) | $(583,442)$ | $(678,277)$ | (0.18\%) | (0.35\%) |
| me Before Taxation | 33,217,627 | 19,727,828 | 10.55\% | 10.12\% |
| arion And Other Legal Liabilities (-) | $(4,297,715)$ | (2,342,590) | (1.37\%) | (1.2\%) |
| elacome (Loss) | 28,919,912 | 17,385,236 | 9.19\% | 8.92\% |

In the analysis of component percentages table 4.1. Although we observe $3 \%$ increase in financial expenses from 1998 to 1999 , the company generates $0.27 \%$ greater net income in 1999 due to $2.62 \%$ decrease in sales deductions, $0.04 \%$ decrease in operating expenses. $0.17 \%$ increase in taxation and other legal liabilities is the result of increase in income and profit from other operations as $6.85 \%$ to $9.18 \%$

| Table 4.2 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Sales | 498,268,691 | 314,805,896 | 100\% | 100\% |
| $\triangle$ Deduction (-) | $(1,681,988)$ | $(2,354,088)$ | (0.34\%) | (0.75\%) |
| Er Sales | 496,586,703 | 312,451,808 | 99.66\% | 99.25\% |
| < Or Sales ( - ) | $(394,502,404)$ | $(226,362,727)$ | (79.17\%) | (71.91\%) |
| $\square$ Profit (Loss) | 102,084,299 | 86,089,081 | 20.49\% | 27.35\% |
| -ating Expenses ( - ) | (23,707,327) | (13,771,917) | (4.76\%) | (4.37\%) |
| -ft (Loss) from Main Operations | 78,376,972 | 72,317,164 | 15.73\% | 22.97\% |
| -me And Profit From Other Operations | 32,946,122 | 28,901,549 | 6.61\% | 9.18\% |
| eases And Losses From Other Operations | $(6,845,669)$ | (7,130,251) | (1.37\%) | (2.26\%) |
| Erecial Expenses(-) | $(46,381,583)$ | (60,590,671) | (9.31\%) | (19.25\%) |
| erting Profit (Loss) | 58,095,842 | 33,497,791 | 11.66\% | 10.64\% |
| $\square$ Ordinary Income And Profits | 112,410 | 303,278 | 0.023\% | 0,096\% |
| $\xrightarrow{\square}$ Ordinary Expenses And Losses (-) | (1,347,237) | $(583,442)$ | (0.27\%) | (0.18\%) |
| -me Before Taxation | 56,861,015 | 33,217,627 | 11.42\% | 10.55\% |
| extion And Other Legal Liabilities (-) | (14,432,504) | $(4,297,715)$ | (2.90\%) | (1.37\%) |
| er lincome (Loss) | 42,428,511 | 28,919,912 | 8.52\% | 9.19\% |

In analysis of component percentages table 4.2. We observe $0.67 \%$ decrease in net income as a result of $7.26 \%$ increase in cost of sales and $0.39 \%$ increase in operating expenses.

| Table 4.3 | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | 924,092,253 | 498,268,691 | 100\% | 100\% |
| $\geq$ Deduction (-) | $(3,026,596)$ | $(1,681,988)$ | (0.33\%) | (0.34\%) |
| ESales | 921,065,657 | 496,586,703 | 99.67\% | 99.66\% |
| $\pm$ Of Sales (-) | $(636,203,022)$ | $(394,502,404)$ | (68.85\%) | (79.17\%) |
| $\sim$ Profit (Loss) | 284,862,635 | 102,084,299 | 30.82\% | 20.49\% |
| eating Expenses ( - ) | $(37,720,344)$ | (23,707,327) | (4.08\%) | (4.76\%) |
| (toss) from Main Operations | 247,142,291 | 78,376,972 | 26.74\% | 15.73\% |
| mene And Profit From Other Operations | 140,052,267 | 32,946,122 | 15.16\% | 6.61\% |
| teases And Losses From Other Operations | $(6,949,337)$ | $(6,845,669)$ | (0.75\%) | (1.37\%) |
| Eancial Expenses(-) | $(297,938,010)$ | (46,381,583) | (32.24\%) | (9.31\%) |
| enting Profit (Loss) | 82,307,211 | 58,095,842 | 8.91\% | 11.66\% |
| On Ordinary Income And Profits | 354,656 | 112,410 | 0.038\% | 0.023\% |
| $\underline{\square}$ Ordinary Expenses And Losses ( - ) | $(1,942,240)$ | $(1,347,237)$ | (0.21\%) | (0.27\%) |
| -me Before Taxation | 80,719,627 | 56,861,015 | 8.74\% | 11.42\% |
| lation And Other Legal Liabilities (-) | $(26,001,039)$ | $(14,432,504)$ | (2.81\%) | (2.90\%) |
| Elacome (Loss) | 54,718,588 | 42,428,511 | 5.93\% | 8.52\% |

In analysis of component percentages table 4.3. Although there is a $10.32 \%$ decrease in cost of sales and $0.68 \%$ decrease in operating expenses, we observe that net income decreases from $8.52 \%$ to $5.93 \%$ from 2000 to 2001 . This decrease in net income is heavily resulted from $22.93 \%$ increase in financial expenses from 2000 to 2001.

| Table 4.4 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Sales | 1,458,592,092 | 924,092,253 | 100\% | 100\% |
| $=$ Deduction (-) | (4,452,043) | (3,026,596) | (0.31\%) | (0.33\%) |
| le Sales | 1,454,140,051 | 921,065,657 | 99.70\% | 99.67\% |
| at Of Sales ( - ) | (1,108,160,371) | $(636,203,022)$ | (76\%) | (68.85\%) |
| mos Profit (Loss) | 345,979,680 | 284,862,635 | 23.7\% | 30.82\% |
| eating Expenses ( - ) | $(86,215,417)$ | $(37,720,344)$ | (5.9\%) | (4.08\%) |
| net (Loss) from Main Operations | 259,764,263 | 247,142,291 | 17.8\% | 26.74\% |
| eme And Profit From Other Operations | 198,245,165 | 140,052,267 | 13.59\% | 15.16\% |
| Eeases And Losses From Other Operations | (71,806,241) | $(6,949,337)$ | (4.9\%) | (0.75\%) |
| ancial Expenses( - ) | $(269,106,536)$ | (297,938,010) | (18.45\%) | (32.24\%) |
| rating Profit (Loss) | 117,096,651 | 82,307,211 | 8.3\% | 8.91\% |
| Ordinary Income And Profits | 3,339,415 | 354,656 | 0.23\% | 0.038\% |
| In Ordinary Expenses And Losses (-) | $(4,883,104)$ | $(1,942,240)$ | (0.34\%) | (0.21\%) |
| -me Before Taxation | 115,552,962 | 80,719,627 | 7.9\% | 8.74\% |
| ation And Other Legal Liabilities (-) | $(42,564,170)$ | $(26,001,039)$ | (2.9\%) | (2.81\%) |
| a lacome (Loss) | 72,988,792 | 54,718,588 | 5\% | 5.93\% |

In analysis of component percentages table 4.4. The financial expenses shows a decreasing balance respectively to year 2002 but the cost of sales and operating expenses still shows an increasing trend. Thus the result is $5 \%$ net income with decrease of $0.93 \%$ regarding the previous year. ${ }^{10}$

### 4.1.2. Trend Percentages (Horizontal Analysis)

Trend percentage (Horizontal analysis) is a technique for evaluating a series of financial statement data over a period of time. The trend percentages are used to show the extent and direction of change in financial statements items from a base year to following years. During the calculation of trend percentages; Net sales, cost of good sold and gross profit have been taken from the income statement of Vestel's financial statements which appear in appendix 1.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Cet Sales | $188,437,984$ | $312,451,808$ | $496,586,703$ | $921,065,657$ | $1,454,140,051$ |  |
| CO.G.S. | $138,546,912$ | $226,362,727$ | $394,502,404$ | $636,203,022$ | $1,108,160,371$ |  |
| Cross Profit | $49,891,072$ | $86,089,081$ | $102,084,299$ | $284,862,635$ | $345,979,680$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{y}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  |
| Tet Sales | $100 \%$ | $165.8 \%$ | $263.5 \%$ | $488.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 7 1 . 7 \%}$ |  |
| Co.G.S. | $100 \%$ | $163.4 \%$ | $284.7 \%$ | $459.2 \%$ | $799.9 \%$ |  |
| Cmss Profit | $100 \%$ | $172.6 \%$ | $204.6 \%$ | $571 \%$ | $693.5 \%$ |  |

The Trend Percentages analysis in the parameters of Net Sales, Cost Of Good Sold and Gross Profit shows that the amounts and percentages increase steadliy each years and almost couples especially from 2000 to 2001 , which means that the company performs profitable activities and reaches success. ${ }^{11}$

[^2]
### 4.1.3. Dolar and Percentage Changes.

The dollar amount of any change is the difference between the amount for a comparison year and the amount for a base year. This analysis shows dollar and percentage changes for important item each year. During the calculation of dollar and percentage changes; Net sales and Net income have been taken from income statement of Vestel's financial statements which appear in appendix 1.

|  | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 2000 Over 1999 Amount | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ \text { Over } \\ 1999 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1999 Over1998 Amount | $\begin{array}{r} 1999 \\ \text { Over } \\ 1998 \\ \% \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fer } \\ & \text { yies } \end{aligned}$ | 496,586,703 | 312,451,808 | 188,437,984 | 184,134,895 | 59\% | 124,013,824 | 66\% |
|  | 42,428,511 | 28,919,912 | 17,385,236 | 13,508,599 | 47\% | 11,534,676 | 85\% |

In this table, the net sales shows $66 \%$ increase between 1999 and 1998 but net sales increases $59 \%$ between 1999 and 2000. This decrease in percentage change from $66 \%$ to $59 \%$ results from showing growth rate in the net sales between 1999 and 2000 which means the increase in the amount of sales from 1998 to 1999 was greater than the increase regarding 1999 to 2000. The percentage changes in net income also shows a decreasing balance from $85 \%$ to $47 \%$ and this can be attributed to decreasing percentage changes in net sales.

| 2002 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 2002 | 2002 | 2001 | 2001 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | Over2001 | Over2001 | Over2000 | Over2000 |
|  |  |  | Amount | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ |
| $=1,454,140,051$ | $921,065,657$ | $496,586,703$ | $533,074,394$ | $58 \%$ | $424,478,954$ | $86 \%$ |
| $=72,988,792$ | $54,718,588$ | $42,428,511$ | $18,270,204$ | $33 \%$ | $12,290,077$ | $29 \%$ |

In this table, the net sales shows a remarkable increase with a percentage of $86 \%$ between 2000 and 2001 but between 2001 and 2002 the net sales showed a smaller percentage growth than the previous period. Although there is a decrease in percentage of net sales from $86 \%$ to $58 \%$. We observe an increase in the percentage of net income from $29 \%$ to $33 \%$ between 2000-2001 and 2001-2002 periods. This result can be attributed to decrease in cost of good sold or other factors. ${ }^{12}$

### 4.1.4. Ratio Analysis.

Ratio analysis expresses the relationship among selected items of financial statement data. The relationship is expressed in terms of a percentage, a rate or a simple proportion. When we start doing ratio analysis, we are going to calculate three types of ratios. These are short-term liquidity, long-term credit risk and profitability ratio. The data used in calculation of ratios has been taken from Vestel's financial statements, which appear in appendix 1.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rrent Assets | $123,903,136$ | $245,093,517$ | $466,180,586$ | $854,886,015$ | $1,506,667,811$ |
| Current Liabilities Ratio | $105,333,640$ | $205,845,012$ | $326,110,973$ | $616,420,959$ | $744,726,251$ |

The company shows a steadily increasing trend between 1998 and 2000. However in 2001 the ratio declines to 1.39 by the year 2002 the ratio again starts to increase and reaches 2.02 . Many bankers and other short-term creditors traditionally have believed that a company should have current ratio of at least 2 to 1 to quality as a good credit risk. Thus 2.02 is a strong ratio. This shows that the short- term debt paying ability is quite well.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "an Assets | $\mathbf{7 7 , 9 9 9 , 6 3 3}$ | $152,414,681$ | $347,858,773$ | $678,879,995$ | $1,195,880,158$ |
| تnick Liabilities | $105,333,640$ | $205,845,012$ | $326,110,973$ | $616,420,959$ | $744,726,251$ |
|  | $\mathbf{0 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 1}$ |

According to general believes in business world professionals of financial analysts, the quick ratio should be at least 1 tol. The company performs quick ratio, which is almost good in 1998 and 1999. However company starts to have a quick ratios over 1.0 by the year 2000. All the ratios that are over 1.0 shows that the company is strong in short-term debt paying ability.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carrent Assets | $123,903,136$ | $245,093,517$ | $466,180,586$ | $854,886,015$ | $1,506,667,811$ |
| Current Liabilities | $105,333,640$ | $205,845,012$ | $326,110,973$ | $616,420,959$ | $744,726,251$ |
| تorking Capital | $\mathbf{1 8 , 5 6 9 , 4 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 , 2 4 8 , 5 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 , 0 6 9 , 6 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 6 , 4 2 0 , 9 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 1 , 9 4 1 5 6 0}$ |

The company increases the current assets steadily between 1998 and 2002. In 2002 the company incurred $744,726,251$ liabilities but the company reports $1,506,667,811$ current assets. This means that the company has enough ability to pay short-term debt.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Sales | $188,437,984$ | $312,451,808$ | $496,586,703$ | $921,065,657$ | $1,454,140,051$ |
| Average Account <br> Receivable | $37,809,634$ | $86,244,616.5$ | $165,295,636$ | $338,874,916$ | $338,874,916$ |
| Receivable Turn <br> Over Rate | $\mathbf{4 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 9}$ |

The receivables turnover rate actually computed to find the days to collect accounts receivables. Higher the turnover rate quicker the company collects its receivables. However when we analyze the turnover rates we observe that the rate decrease from 4.98 to 2.59 between 1998 and 2002. This result shows that while the company was collecting its accounts receivables 5.00 times in a year in 1998 now it collects only approximately 3.00 times in 2002. Decreasing receivable turnover rate shows that the maturities of account receivables getting longer so the company can not recover its receivables so early.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| less | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 |
| escrivable Turn | 4.98 | 3.62 | 3.00 | 2.72 | 2.59 |
| Irys To <br> allect Account <br> leceivables | $\mathbf{7 3 . 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 4 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 . 9 3}$ |

Since the receivable turnover rate decrease steadily the days to collects account receivables increases while the company was collecting its account receivables in 73 days in 1998 now it collects the account receivables in 140.93 days in 2002. This means that it takes more time for the company to collect the account receivables in 2002 than the past years.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O.G.S. | $138,546,912$ | $226,362,727$ | $394,502,404$ | $636,203,022$ | $1,108,160,371$ |
| verage <br> Iventory | $26,548,295.5$ | $43,489,533$ | $66,362,565$ | $105,260,084$ | $162,215,373.5$ |
| ventory <br> lurnover <br> Late | $\mathbf{5 . 2 2}$ | 5.21 | $\mathbf{5 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 8 3}$ |

Inventory turnover rate means how many times during a year the company sells its inventory. The higher turnover rate means it can sell quicker its inventory and high rate is better for a company. We observe that the turnover rate starts with 5.22 in 1998 and becomes 6.83 in 2002 which means company sells its inventory quicker and converts it into cash or account receivable more quickly than previous years.

|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 |
| y Turn | 5.22 | 5.21 | 5.95 | 6.04 | 6.83 |
| rage |  |  |  |  |  |
| $y$ | 69.92 | 70.06 | 61.35 | 60.43 | 53.44 |

ys to sell average inventory describes in how many days the company can sell its entory and convert them into cash or account receivables. We see that the company nages to sell its inventory and convert them into cash or account receivables most ckly in 2002.

|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ccount <br> les | 73.29 | 100.83 | 121.67 | 134.19 | 140.93 |
| age | 69.92 | 70.06 | 61.35 | 60.43 | 53.44 |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 0 . 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4 . 3 7}$ |

erating cycle refers to the time past to convert the inventory into cash. The operating le is 143.21 in 1998, It increases steadily until 2002 and reaches 194.37 days in 2. This shows that the company requires more days to convert the inventory into h , which is an unfavourable trend.

|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s | $111,030,844$ | $211,086,674$ | $331,112,210$ | $686,992,430$ | $1,294,000,773$ |
| sets | $145,587,968$ | $283,782,457$ | $536,087,163$ | $969,531,711$ | $1,691,450,756$ |
| tio | $76.26 \%$ | $74.38 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 7 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 8 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 5 0 \%}$ |

Debt ratio shows what proportion of total assets is convert by debts, so a high rate means high debts. The lower rate is more preferable. The company reports show decreasing trend in that ratio until 2000 but the ratio reaches the same level in 2002 with the 1998. Each years ratios are over $60 \%$ and this is an unfavourable situation for investors and banks loaning the company.

|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dollar Gross <br> Profit | $49,891,072$ | $86,089,081$ | $102,084,299$ | $284,862,635$ | $345,979,680$ |
| Net Sales | $188,437,984$ | $312,451,808$ | $496,586,703$ | $921,065,657$ | $1,454,140,051$ |
| Gross Profit <br> Rate | 0.265 | 0.276 | 0.206 | 0.309 | 0.238 |

Gross Profit Rate is the expression of gross profit in terms of the percentage of net sales. It measures the profitability of the company products. The rates between $20 \%$ and $50 \%$ are more preferable. When we analyze the company reports we observe that the company achieves between $20 \%$ and $30 \%$ but the rate decreases from 0.309 to 0.238 between 2001 and 2002. This may be an indicator of changing demands for companies products.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating <br> Expenses | $\mathbf{8 , 5 9 6 , 4 4 7}$ | $13,771,917$ | $23,707,327$ | $37,720,344$ | $86,215,417$ |
| Net Sales | $188,437,984$ | $312,451,808$ | $496,586,703$ | $921,065,657$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 5 4 , 1 4 0 , 0 5 1}$ |
| Operating <br> Expense <br> Ratio | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 4 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 4 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 4 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 4 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 5 9 )}$ |

Operating expense ratio refers to the proportion of expenses in net sales so lower the ratio, lower the expenses. This means that lower expenses are more preferable in operations. Here the ratio fluctuates around $4.0 \%$ and $4.5 \%$ between 1998 and 2001 but there is an increase in expense ratio as $5.9 \%$ in 2002.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vet Income | $13,385,236$ | $28,919,912$ | $42,428,511$ | $54,718,588$ | $72,988,792$ |
| Ket Sales | $188,437,984$ | $312,451,808$ | $496,586,703$ | $921,065,657$ | $1,454,140,051$ |
| Ketincome as a <br> Percentages Of <br> Ket Sales | $\mathbf{9 . 2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 9 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0 2 \%}$ |

Net income as a percentage of net sales shows what proportion of net sales is reported as net income. Here higher the percentage, higher the income from sales. In 1998 the percentage is $9.23 \%$ but it decreases steadily and reaches $5.02 \%$ in 2002 this means that the income of the company from the sales decreases year by year.And this is an unfavourable for the company.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating <br> hcome | $41,294,624$ | $72,317,164$ | $78,376,972$ | $247,142,291$ | $259,764,263$ |
| Average <br> Total <br> Assets | $113,945,339$ | $214,685,212.5$ | $409,934,810$ | $752,809,437$ | $1,330,491,233.5$ |
| Return On <br> tusets | $\mathbf{0 . 3 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 5}$ |

This ratio is used in evaluating whether management has earned a reasonable return with the assets under its control. The general agreement among the financial analysts is that $15 \%$ or more return on average total assets is successful. Our company achieves $36.2 \%$ in $1998,33.2 \%$ in $1999,19.1 \%$ in $2000,32.8 \%$ in 2001 but again decrease to $19.5 \%$ in 2002. This means that our company could not manage its assets in 2000 and 2002, and achived less return than other years. However the return on assets is satisfactory for five years period.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Income | $13,385,236$ | $28,919,912$ | $42,428,511$ | $54,718,588$ | $72,988,792$ |
| Average Total <br> Equity | $25,864,506$ | $53,626,453.5$ | $138,835,368$ | $243,757,117$ | $339,994,632$ |
| Return On <br> Equity | $\mathbf{0 . 6 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 5}$ |

The return on equity is one of the profitability measures. It shows how effectively the assets are used a return of $12 \%$ or more is preferable for strong companies. When we analyze the five years period, we see that the company achieve the $67.2 \%$ in 1998 , $53.9 \%$ in $1999,30.6 \%$ in $2000,22.5 \%$ in $2001,21.5 \%$ in 2002 . The company achieved high return in 1998 and 1999 due to their new products and innovations but afterwards the return decrease to its normal levels. Although the return decreases year by year. It is still satisfactory. ${ }^{13}$

[^3]
## L. LIMITATIONS.

lasel Company is one of the most successful Turkish companies, which operates globally. Burever as in many companies it is possible to have difficulties in reaching details. Financial ufrmation about the company. The company has a well-designed web site of the company. Iny be the most important document-annual report for the investors is not available on the $=$ of the company. For this reason the important relationships and explanations of the tenges in the components of financial statements were difficult to find. The company whorities do not tend to announce the annual report on web site or any other request for the mson of secrecy. Since there is not strong sector for financial analysis and assistance in Trkey, it is difficult to reach the financials of companies via other ways as well. Although tere are some companies doing the publication of the financial analysis of some giant firms, intormation on some issues such as earnings per share, dividend yield, book value per share and other components necessary for calculating ratios were also not available. The most tragic xoint was that the financial statements of Vestel Company do not exist after the year 1997 in web site of Istanbul Stock Exchange. There are some partial statements but they are not useful fir analysis since the Turkish accounting system is entirely different from European and anerican accounting system. However it become possible to reach the financials of the empany necessary for analysis by the help of other limited resources, such as web sites of a few investment asistance companies.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The capabilities that are brought by the new century enabled human being to increased the opportunities of investments. Today billions of investment dollars change place between different capital market, industries in different locations globally. Investors want to invest their capital where the risk is minimum. The investors forecast their returns and risks by analyzing accounting information of companies. The accounting provides decision makers and investors useful information. Financial statements derived by the accounting process help asers in understanding the relationships and trends. Financial statement analysis involves all the techniques employed by users of the financial statements to show important relations. Financial measures that are used in the financial statement analysis enable us to rank corporate performance.

As I discussed in this pages the financial statements includes useful information for investors, creditors and external users We also took a closer look at how information in financial satements can be combined, analyzed and used to support important financial decisions. In my discussion of financial statement analysis in this project is divided into three sections. Financial statement, resource methodology section and financial statement analysis of Vestel provided us a general view of statements, tools of analysis use of these information in malysing financial position of Vestel Company.

Vestel is a company, which produces white household goods and has grown well in Turkey. All previous pages gave a general idea about the performance of the company. However the malysis of financial statements of a company may not be enough to understand its position regarding the competitors' performances. By comparing two companies, we will to aderstand the essence and purpose of financial statement analysis more clearly. Here to be able to look at this issue from the point of an investor, I will include comparison of Vestel company with another giant Turkish competitor Beko which has been analyzed by " Shalim AHMED " (2003). The comparison part includes; Component percentage (vertical analysis), Trend percentage (horizontal analysis), Dollar and percentage changes and ratio analysis with bort-term liquidity, long-term solvency and profitability ratios. The calculation part of Vestel uppears in appendix 1 and Beko in appendix 2.

I will make the vertical analysis of Vestel by comparing with Beko. Firstly the comparison of 2001 is available for evaluating the past performance of both companies. I will make the analysis by inspecting important of the income statement of both companies such as net sales cost of good sold, operating expenses, financial expenses and net income.

Starting with net sales we see Vestel has a net sales of $99.67 \%$ of gross sales but beko achieved $98.3 \%$ net sales which is less than Vestel. This means Vestel has reported a better net sales. Again Vestel is better regarding the cost of good sold with $68.85 \%$ where as Beko has $73 \%$ cost of good sold which means Vestel produced the goods in less cost than Beko. A lower cost of good sold is result of better production management higher technology and higher potential for profitable production. These may be an indicator for an investor to choose Vestel in buying Vestel shares because company show potential for innovation. In the comparison of both companies regarding operating expenses we see that Vestel is better with $4.08 \%$ which is lower than Beko with $8.6 \%$. Up to here Vestel company is observed to have lower expense percentages compared with Beko. This is an evidence for the well management of Vestel. However Vestel has greater financial expense with $32.24 \%$ while Beko having $23.59 \%$. This is not due to bad financial management of Vestel. Actually Vestel is a company, which is making great investments in natures. Thus Vestel requires more financial support to make greater investments. As the financial component and may be the most important one, net income, there is a great difference between Vestel and Beko. Vestel achieves $54,718,588$ (million tl ), which is $5.93 \%$ of gross sales while Beko having only $0.076 \%$ net income of gross sales. Net income and overall performance of both companies shows that Vestel achieves a greater return on operations and investments than Beko for the year 2001.

To be able to understand the current positions of both companies, I will make the vertical analysis of income statement for the year 2002. Similarly to year 2001, Vestel again achieves better net sales with $99.7 \%$ while Beko having $98.4 \%$. Vestel also shows a better performance with $76 \%$ cost of good sold which is lower than Beko with $81.9 \%$. Vestel is more successful in operating expenses as well with $5.9 \%$ while Beko having $8.2 \%$. When we analyze the financial expenses of both companies Vestel again has greater expenses than Beko like previous years but it is important to realize that Vestel has decreased its financial expenses $13.79 \%$ respectively to the previous year ( $32.24 \%-18.45 \%$ ). Finally Vestel has again achieved a better net income than Beko with $5 \%$ and proved its ability to make profitable operations. As a result, it is obvious that Vestel is a stronger company than Beko in 2002 as well.
making the vertical analysis of Vestel and Beko, now I will try to make horizontal sis of both companies including net sales, Cost of good sold, gross profit taking 1998 as ase year up to 2002. In the horizontal, analysis of Vestel and Beko we observe stable ase both companies in net sales between 1998 and 2002. Beko reaches 9.48 times more than 1998 while Vestel achieving 7.71 times more. Again the companies show an asing trend regarding C.O.G.S but Beko experienced a greater increase with 10.95 times 398 in 2002 while Vestel experienced 7.99 times. This is a situation in favour of Vestel use Beko has a greater increase in costs than Vestel in 2002 in relation with their own ase rates compared with the base year. We see that Beko achieves a better increase in net with 9.48 times more than 1998 in 2002. But due to a greater increase rate in cost of 1 sold, Beko achieves a smaller growth rate regarding gross profit with $579 \%$ when pared to Vestel with $693.5 \%$ gross profit. This means that Beko has increased its gross it 5.79 times increasing net sales 9.48 times. However Vestel increased its gross profit times by increasing net sales only 7.71 times. In overall performance this numbers lead do reality that Vestel achieved a better performance than Beko regarding gross profit zase rate.
ther way of evaluating performance is dollar and percentage change analysis when we ysis Vestel company, We observe that there are absolute increases year by year in net me and net sales. Although the increases are small in magnitude, the company achieved ease every year. However we can not say the same things for Beko. The company shows nstable trend in net sales and net income. While Trend percentage changes us $56 \%$ for 0 over 1999 we see that, the percentage is $-95 \%$ for 2001 over 2000. Afterwards we erve a $851 \%$ surprisingly for 2002 over 2001 . This result is not due to great increase in 2 but due to a great decrease in 2001, which is taken as base year for calculating of sentage change as of 2002 over 2001. Finally the overall performances of the companies in ar and percentage changes show us that Vestel is a more reliable company with a stable whe year by year.
understand the differences between two companies we may also use most powerful tools inancial statement analysis. "Ratios". This analysis involves 2001 for past performance 2002 for current position. Firstly we will deal with ratios of both companies for the year 1. Measures of short-term liquidity are evaluated as follows. arich ratio Vestel again achieves a better ratio than with 1.10 times. In the analysis of -rriing capital we see that Vestel has an approximately 6 times more working capital than Sato.

4ll above mentioned ratios are measures of short-term liquidity and most important ones are arrent ratio, quick ratio and working capital. We see that Vestel achieved better ratios for bese parameters so Vestel can be considered as a more liquid company than Beko.

We can continue with receivable turnover rate. We see that Beko achieved a better turnover The with 4.16 times when we compare with Vestel achieving 2.72 times. As a result of this shation Beko collects its account receivables within a shorter period as 87.7 days than Vestel. Vestel company achieves 6.04 times inventory turnover rate in 2001 while Beko schieving 10.6 times. This means that Beko replace its inventory with shorter intervals than Vestel and This greater turnover rate means shorter time to sell the inventory on hand. Beko shieves this better than Vestel with 34.4 days. When we look at the operating Beko is more soccessful in selling inventory and collecting account receivable in 122.1 days but Vestel achieves this in 195.45 days.

The measure of long-term credit risk is debt ratio and we see that the percentage of total assets D total liabilities of Beko is worse than Vestel company. Vestel offers less risk to long-term creditors.

The next part in ratio analysis is measuring profitability in gross profit rate Vestel has a better position than Beko because $30.9 \%$ of its sales is reported as gross profit which is better than Beko with $25 \%$. Operating expense ratio measures the management ability to control the expenses. When we look at the ratios of both companies we see that only $4.1 \%$ of its sales is reported operating expense for Vestel while ratio is $8.8 \%$ for Beko. We may continue to analyze of the profitability of both companies by looking at their net income as a percentage of net sales ratio. We find that Vestel achieved to report $5.94 \%$ of its sales as net income shile Beko reporting only $0.08 \%$. When we consider the return on asset, we realize that Beko achieved to better return rate with the assets on hand. The ratio is $35 \%$, which is better than Vestel with $32.8 \%$. Return on equity ratio for Vestel is absolutely better than that of Beko
which is $0.7 \%$. This means that Vestel achieved a better return on stockholders equity than Beko.

As a result we can say that the picture of Vestel seems to be more profitable with better ratios $\tan$ Beko,

Ater evaluating the past performance of both companies according to their 2001 ratios, now I sill try to analyze the ratios for the year 2002 to be able to give an opinion about the current positions of the companies. As it was in the year 2001 Vestel company achieves a better crrent ratio in 2002 than Beko. We see that again Vestel is in a better position with 1.61 quick ratio which is greater than 1.14 of Beko. In the analysis of working capital the great zfference between two companies continues to exist in 2002 as well. The performance of Vestel in the above three ratios is obviously better than Beko in year 2002. Vestel keeps its Squid position in 2002 as well and still stronger than Beko. The Vestel company can not show the same performance in account receivable turnover rate. The company is still not as saccessful as Beko in recovering the account receivables. The account receivable turnover the for Vestel is 2.59 times while Beko is achieving 4.95 times. Thus Beko collects its account receivables in 73.7 days while Vestel is doing the same job in 140.93 days. Beko is more successful than Vestel also in inventory turnover rate. As it was in previous year Beko achieves a greater turnover rate with 12.9 times. However Vestel is again exhibits a poorer performance with 6.83 times in 2002. The higher turnover rate for Beko results in shorter zplacement intervals of inventory as 28.3 days. But Vestel can sell its inventory at least in 53.44 days. In operating cycle perspective, again Beko is more successful than Vestel and achieves 102 days of operating cycle while Vestel achieving 194.37 days.

We can measure long-term credit risk by analysing debt ratio. We observe that Vestel is in better position because its ratio of liabilities to assets is $76.50 \%$. However $81 \%$ of assets of Beko are represented as liabilities.

We may start to measure the profitability of companies by analyzing first gross profit rates. Igain we see that Vestel is more successful than Beko. Because it reports $23.8 \%$ of net sales $\pm$ gross profit while Beko is reporting $16 \%$ in 2002. For operating expense ratio we must say that Vestel is more successful than Beko with $5.9 \%$ ratio. It means that operating expenses of Festel are less than that of Beko. Vestel is able to achieve sales with lower operating
epenses. When we consider net income as a percentage ratio, we understand that Vestel has position compared with Beko. Because Vestel reports $5.2 \%$ of net sales as net income Beko reports only $0.3 \%$. The return on asset ratio for both companies show that Beko merges with ratio of $22 \%$ while it is $19.5 \%$ for Vestel. Finally Vestel company keeps on eing more successful in return on equity ratio as well. Vestel company achieves a great $5 \%$ while Beko is achieving only $0.3 \%$.
a conclusion, we may accept that Vestel is again a more profitable company in 2002 as it es in 2001. The company makes the successful operations especially with low expenses and Es provides higher profit rates.
fer all of the analysis of both companies now we have a picture of, which company Eforms better, in mind. Vestel company achieves powerful ratios in short-term liquidity essures, Long-term credit risk and profitability measures. However Beko performs better in mentory and account receivables turnover rate. The rate of Beko is not greater since it hieves very well but may be it is better than Vestel since Vestel performs badly in turnover Es. Vestel must improve its ability to collect the receivables within a short period. The assible solution for this problem may be making credit sales with shorter maturities. These meesses may enable Vestel to increase cash flow-in and as a consequence help the liquidity increase.
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## APPENDIX I

### 4.1. Findings

### 4.1.1. Component Percentages (Vertical Analysis)

| Table 4.1 | 1999 | 1998 | 1999 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 Sales | 314,805,896 | 195,002,672 | 100\% | 100\% |
| Deduction (-) | $(2,354,088)$ | $(6,564,692)$ | (0.75\%) | (3.37\%) |
| ales | 312,451,808 | 188,437,984 | 99.25\% | 96.63\% |
| Of Sales (-) | $(226,362,727)$ | (138,546,912) | (71.91\%) | (71.05\%) |
| ${ }^{\text {Profit (Loss) }}$ | 86,089,081 | 49,891,072 | 27.35\% | 25.58\% |
| ating Expenses (-) | $(13,771,917)$ | $(8,596,447)$ | (4.37\%) | (4.41\%) |
| (Loss) from Main Operations | 72,317,164 | 41,294,624 | 22.97\% | 21.18\% |
| ne And Profit From Other Operations | 28,901,549 | 13,354,060 | 9.18\% | 6.85\% |
| ases And Losses From Other Operations | (7,130,251) | $(2,679,293)$ | (2.26\%) | (1.37\%) |
| cial Expenses( - ) | $(60,590,671)$ | (31,652,416) | (19.25\%) | (16.23\%) |
| ating Profit (Loss) | 33,497,791 | 20,316,974 | 10.64\% | 10.42\% |
| Ordinary Income And Profits | 303,278 | 89,130 | 0,096\% | 0.046\% |
| Ordinary Expenses And Losses (-) | $(583,442)$ | $(678,277)$ | (0.18\%) | (0.35\%) |
| ae Before Taxation | 33,217,627 | 19,727,828 | 10.55\% | 10.12\% |
| tion And Other Legal Liabilities (-) | $(4,297,715)$ | $(2,342,590)$ | (1.37\%) | (1.2\%) |
| acome (Loss) | 28,919,912 | 17,385,236 | 9.19\% | 8.92\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 4.2 | 2000 | 1999 | 2000 | 1999 |
| Sales | 498,268,691 | 314,805,896 | 100\% | 100\% |
| Deduction (-) | $(1,681,988)$ | $(2,354,088)$ | (0.34\%) | (0.75\%) |
| ales | 496,586,703 | 312,451,808 | 99.66\% | 99.25\% |
| Of Sales (-) | $(394,502,404)$ | (226,362,727) | (79.17\%) | (71.91\%) |
| 8 Profit (Loss) | 102,084,299 | 86,089,081 | 20.49\% | 27.35\% |
| ating Expenses (-) | $(23,707,327)$ | (13,771,917) | (4.76\%) | (4.37\%) |
| (Loss) from Main Operations | 78,376,972 | 72,317,164 | 15.73\% | 22.97\% |
| me And Profit From Other Operations | 32,946,122 | 28,901,549 | 6.61\% | 9.18\% |
| ases And Losses From Other Operations | $(6,845,669)$ | $(7,130,251)$ | (1.37\%) | (2.26\%) |
| acial Expenses(-) | $(46,381,583)$ | (60,590,671) | (9.31\%) | (19.25\%) |
| ating Profit (Loss) | 58,095,842 | 33,497,791 | 11.66\% | 10.64\% |
| 1 Ordinary Income And Profits | 112,410 | 303,278 | 0.023\% | 0,096\% |
| 1 Ordinary Expenses And Losses (-) | (1,347,237) | $(583,442)$ | (0.27\%) | (0.18\%) |
| me Before Taxation | 56,861,015 | 33,217,627 | 11.42\% | 10.55\% |
| tion And Other Legal Liabilities (-) | $(14,432,504)$ | (4,297,715) | (2.90\%) | (1.37\%) |
| acome (Loss) | 42,428,511 | 28,919,912 | 8.52\% | 9.19\% |


|  | 2001 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| les $\quad$ Table 4.3 | 924,092,253 | 498,268,691 | 100\% | 100\% |
| duction (-) | $(3,026,596)$ | $(1,681,988)$ | (0.33\%) | (0.34\%) |
|  | 921,065,657 | 496,586,703 | 99.67\% | 99.66\% |
| Sales (-) | $(636,203,022)$ | $(394,502,404)$ | (68.85\%) | (79.17\%) |
| ofit (Loss) | 284,862,635 | 102,084,299 | 30.82\% | 20.49\% |
| Ig Expenses (-) | $(37,720,344)$ | (23,707,327) | (4.08\%) | (4.76\%) |
| oss) from Main Operations | 247,142,291 | 78,376,972 | 26.74\% | 15.73\% |
| And Profit From Other Operations | 140,052,267 | 32,946,122 | 15.16\% | 6.61\% |
| s And Losses From Other Operations | $(6,949,337)$ | $(6,845,669)$ | (0.75\%) | (1.37\%) |
| 1 Expenses(-) | (297,938,010) | $(46,381,583)$ | (32.24\%) | (9.31\%) |
| ng Profit (Loss) | 82,307,211 | 58,095,842 | 8.91\% | 11.66\% |
| rdinary Income And Profits | 354,656 | 112,410 | 0.038\% | 0.023\% |
| rdinary Expenses And Losses (-) | (1,942,240) | $(1,347,237)$ | (0.21\%) | (0.27\%) |
| Before Taxation | 80,719,627 | 56,861,015 | 8.74\% | 11.42\% |
| n And Other Legal Liabilities ( - ) | $(26,001,039)$ | $(14,432,504)$ | (2.81\%) | (2.90\%) |
| ame (Loss) | 54,718,588 | 42,428,511 | 5.93\% | 8.52\% |


| Table 4.4 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ales | $1,458,592,092$ | $924,092,253$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| eduction (-) | $(4,452,043)$ | $(3,026,596)$ | $(0.31 \%)$ | $(0.33 \%)$ |
| es | $1,454,140,051$ | $921,065,657$ | $99.70 \%$ | $99.67 \%$ |
| Sales ( - ) | $(1,108,160,371)$ | $(636,203,022)$ | $(76 \%)$ | $(68.85 \%)$ |
| rofit (Loss) | $345,979,680$ | $284,862,635$ | $23.7 \%$ | $30.82 \%$ |
| ing Expenses $(-)$ | $(86,215,417)$ | $(37,720,344)$ | $(5.9 \%)$ | $(4.08 \%)$ |
| Loss) from Main Operations | $259,764,263$ | $247,142,291$ | $17.8 \%$ | $26.74 \%$ |
| And Profit From Other Operations | $198,245,165$ | $140,052,267$ | $13.59 \%$ | $15.16 \%$ |
| es And Losses From Other Operations | $(71,806,241)$ | $(6,949,337)$ | $(4.9 \%)$ | $(0.75 \%)$ |
| ial Expenses(-) |  |  |  |  |
| ing Profit (Loss) | $(269,106,536)$ | $(297,938,010)$ | $(18.45 \%)$ | $(32.24 \%)$ |
| Ordinary Income And Profits | $117,096,651$ | $82,307,211$ | $8.3 \%$ | $8.91 \%$ |
| Ordinary Expenses And Losses $(-)$ | $3,339,415$ | 354,656 | $0.23 \%$ | $0.038 \%$ |
| E Before Taxation | $(4,883,104)$ | $(1,942,240)$ | $(0.34 \%)$ | $(0.21 \%)$ |
| on And Other Legal Liabilities $(-)$ | $115,552,962$ | $80,719,627$ | $7.9 \%$ | $8.74 \%$ |
| come (Loss) | $(42,564,170)$ | $(26,001,039)$ | $(2.9 \%)$ | $(2.81 \%)$ |

### 4.1.2. Trend Percentages (Horizontal Analysis)

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sales | $\mathbf{1 8 8 , 4 3 7 , 9 8 4}$ | $312,451,808$ | $496,586,703$ | $921,065,657$ | $1,454,140,051$ |
| G.S. | $138,546,912$ | $226,362,727$ | $394,502,404$ | $636,203,022$ | $1,108,160,371$ |
| Profit | $49,891,072$ | $86,089,081$ | $102,084,299$ | $284,862,635$ | $345,979,680$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sales | $100 \%$ | $165.8 \%$ | $263.5 \%$ | $488.8 \%$ | $771.7 \%$ |
| G.S. | $100 \%$ | $163.4 \%$ | $284.7 \%$ | $459.2 \%$ | $799.9 \%$ |
| s Profit | $100 \%$ | $172.6 \%$ | $204.6 \%$ | $571 \%$ | $693.5 \%$ |

### 4.1.3. Dolar and Percentage Changes.

| 2000 | 1999 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | 2000 <br> Over 1999 <br> Amount | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ <br> Over <br> 1999 <br> $\%$ | Over1998 <br> Amount | 1999 <br> Over <br> 1998 <br> $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $496,586,703$ | $312,451,808$ | $188,437,984$ | $184,134,895$ | $59 \%$ | $124,013,824$ | $66 \%$ |
| $42,428,511$ | $28,919,912$ | $17,385,236$ | $13,508,599$ | $47 \%$ | $11,534,676$ | $85 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | Over2001 | Over2001 | Over2000 | Over2000 |
|  |  |  | Amount | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ |
| $1,454,140,051$ | $921,065,657$ | $496,586,703$ | $533,074,394$ | $58 \%$ | $424,478,954$ | $86 \%$ |
| $72,988,792$ | $54,718,588$ | $42,428,511$ | $18,270,204$ | $33 \%$ | $12,290,077$ | $29 \%$ |

### 4.1.4. Ratio Analysis.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ent Assets | $123,903,136$ | $245,093,517$ | $466,180,586$ | $854,886,015$ | $1,506,667,811$ |
| ent Liabilities | $105,333,640$ | $205,845,012$ | $326,110,973$ | $616,420,959$ | $744,726,251$ |
| rent Ratio | $\mathbf{1 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 2}$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| k Assets | $77,999,633$ | $152,414,681$ | $347,858,773$ | $678,879,995$ | $1,195,880,158$ |
| ent Liabilities | $105,333,640$ | $205,845,012$ | $326,110,973$ | $616,420,959$ | $744,726,251$ |
| k Ratio | $\mathbf{0 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 1}$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ent Assets | $123,903,136$ | $245,093,517$ | $466,180,586$ | $854,886,015$ | $1,506,667,811$ |
| ent Liabilities | $105,333,640$ | $205,845,012$ | $326,110,973$ | $616,420,959$ | $744,726,251$ |
| king Capital | $\mathbf{1 8 , 5 6 9 , 4 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 , 2 4 8 , 5 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 , 0 6 9 , 6 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 6 , 4 2 0 , 9 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 1 , 9 4 1 5 6 0}$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | $188,437,984$ | $312,451,808$ | $496,586,703$ | $921,065,657$ | $1,454,140,051$ |
| age Account <br> ivable | $37,809,634$ | $86,244,616.5$ | $165,295,636$ | $338,874,916$ | $338,874,916$ |
| ivable Turn <br> Rate | $\mathbf{4 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 9}$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eivable Turn <br> rate | 4.98 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 |
| s To <br> ect Account <br> ivables | $\mathbf{7 3 . 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 4 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 . 9 3}$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O.G.S. | $138,546,912$ | $226,362,727$ | $394,502,404$ | $636,203,022$ | $1,108,160,371$ |
| verage <br> ventory | $26,548,295.5$ | $43,489,533$ | $66,362,565$ | $105,260,084$ | $162,215,373.5$ |
| ventory <br> urnover <br> ate | $\mathbf{5 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 8 3}$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ays | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 |
| veentory Turn <br> ver rate | 5.22 | 5.21 | 5.95 | 6.04 | 6.83 |
| a ys To <br> ell Average <br> jventory | $\mathbf{6 9 . 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 4 4}$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days To <br> Collect Account <br> Receivables | 73.29 | 100.83 | 121.67 | 134.19 | 140.93 |
| Days To <br> Sell Average <br> Inventory | 69.92 | 70.06 | 61.35 | 60.43 | 53.44 |
| Operating <br> Cycle | $\mathbf{1 4 3 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 0 . 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4 . 3 7}$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> Liabilities | $111,030,844$ | $211,086,674$ | $331,112,210$ | $686,992,430$ | $1,294,000, \mathbf{7 7 3}$ |
| Total Assets | $145,587,968$ | $283,782,457$ | $536,087,163$ | $969,531,711$ | $1,691,450,756$ |
| Debt Ratio | $\mathbf{7 6 . 2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 3 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 7 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 8 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 5 0} \%$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solar Gross <br> Zafit | $49,891,072$ | $86,089,081$ | $102,084,299$ | $284,862,635$ | $345,979,680$ |
| ex Sales | $188,437,984$ | $312,451,808$ | $496,586,703$ | $921,065,657$ | $1,454,140,051$ |
| Cross Profit <br> Zate | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 8}$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating <br> Erpenses | $\mathbf{8 , 5 9 6 , 4 4 7}$ | $13,771,917$ | $23,707,327$ | $37,720,344$ | $86,215,417$ |
| Eet Sales | $188,437,984$ | $312,451,808$ | $496,586,703$ | $921,065,657$ | $1,454,140,051$ |
| Zperating <br> Zatio | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 4 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 4 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 4 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 4 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 0 . 0 5 9 )}$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Income | $13,385,236$ | $28,919,912$ | $42,428,511$ | $54,718,588$ | $72,988,792$ |
| Ket Sales | $188,437,984$ | $312,451,808$ | $496,586,703$ | $921,065,657$ | $1,454,140,051$ |
| Netincome as a <br> Percentages Of <br> Net Sales | $\mathbf{9 . 2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 9 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0 2 \%}$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating <br> hcome | $41,294,624$ | $72,317,164$ | $78,376,972$ | $247,142,291$ | $259,764,263$ |
| Average <br> Total <br> Assets | $113,945,339$ | $214,685,212.5$ | $409,934,810$ | $752,809,437$ | $1,330,491,233.5$ |
| Return On <br> Assets | $\mathbf{0 . 3 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 5}$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income | $13,385,236$ | $28,919,912$ | $42,428,511$ | $54,718,588$ | $72,988,792$ |
| erage Total <br> uity | $25,864,506$ | $53,626,453.5$ | $138,835,368$ | $243,757,117$ | $339,994,632$ |


| -x-2TEMENTS (Million TL)* | 1998 4. Period | Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EvT ASSETS | 123,903,136 | - |
| IC LSSETS | 591,033 | - |
| Cash | 8,316 | - |
| 1 Eanks | 566,267 | - |
| B other liquid assets | 16,450 | - |
| NETABLE SECURTIIES | 22,153,884 | - |
| Share stocks | 0 | - |
| $z$ F-vate sector bills, notes and bonds | 0 | - |
| 1 Severnment bonds and Treasury bills | 22,153,884 | - |
| 4 Cher marketable securitias | 0 | - |
| $\leq$ Frovision for diminution in value of market | 0 | - |
| L-T-TERM TRADERECEIVABLES | 55,145,468 | - |
| Oustomers | 55,383,280 | - |
| 2 Nes receivable | 1,038;953 | - |
| 3. Deposits and guarantes given | 5,163 | - |
| 4. Other shot-term trade receivables | 637 | - |
| S Fediscount of notes receivable ( - ) | -1,281,927 | - |
| 3 Provision for doubtful recevvables ( - ) | -637 | - |
| EF SHORT-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES | 109,249 | - |
| 1. Due from shareholders | 0 | : |
| 2 Due from investments | 0 | - |
| 3 Due from subsidiaries | 0 | - |
| 1 Other short-term receivables | 109,249 | - |
| I Rediscount of notes receivable ( - ) | 0 | - |
| § Provision for doubtful receivables ( - ) | 0 | - |
| EVTORIES | 30,102,120 | - |
| 1. Raw materials | 14,953,910 | - |
| 2 Semi-finished goods | 958,663 | - |
| 3 Work in progress | 0 | - |
| 4. Finished goods | 1986,890 | $\div$ |
| ¢ Commercial goods | 2,120,655 | - |
| B. Cther inventories | 252,448 | - |
| 7. Provision for diminution in value of invent | 0 | - |
| 6. Order advances given | 9829,553 | - |
| --ER CURRENT ASSETS | 15,801,383 | - |
| FONCURRENT ASSETS | 21,684,838 | - |
| -TGGRM TRADE RECEIVABLES | 35,604 | - |
| 1. Customers | 0 | - |
| 2. Notes receivable | 0 | - |
| 3. Deposits and guarantes given | 35,604 | - |
| 4. Other long-term trade receivables | 0 | - |
| 5. Rediscount of notes receivable ( $(-)$ | 0 | - |
| 6. Provision for doubtful receivables (-) | 0 | - |
| 三 ITHER LONG-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES | 0 | - |
| 1. Due from shareholders | 0 | - |
| 2. Due from investments | 0 | - |
| 3. Due from subsidiaries | 0 | - |
| 4. Other long-term receivables | 0 | - |
| 5. Rediscount of notes receivable (-) | 0 | - |
| 6. Provision for doubtful recejvables ( - ) | 0 | - |
| I. FINANCIAL ASSETS | 409,060 | - |
| 1. Marketable securities issued by subsidiarie | 15,756 | - |
| 2. Provision for diminution in value of market | 0 | - |
| 3. Investments | 267,818 | - |
| 4. Capital commitments to investments | -3,750 | - |
| 5. Provision for diminution in value of invest | 0 | - |
| 6. Subsidiaries | 529,236 |  |
| 7. Capital commitments to subsidiaries ( $($ ) | -400,000 |  |
| 8. Provision for diminution in value of subsid | 0 | - |
| 9. Other non-current financial assets | 0 | - |
| = FIXED ASSETS | 18,624,132 |  |


|  | 298 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Land | 99,660 |  |
| 2. Land improvements | 1,871,969 |  |
| 3. Buildings | 16,686,159 |  |
| 4. Machinery and equipment | 119,840 | - |
| 5. Motor vehicles | 1,556,012 | - |
| 7. Other fixed assets | 0 | - |
| 8. Accumulated depreciation (-) | -3,499,957 |  |
| 9. Construction in progress. | 1,358,156 |  |
| 10. Order advances given | 431,994 | - |
| 三 INTANGIBLEASSETS | 71,545 | - |
| 1. Establishment cost (net) | 36,222 | - |
| 2. Rights | 0 | - |
| 3. Research and development expenses | 35323 | - |
| 4. Other intangible assets | 0 | - |
| 5. Advances gives | 2544,498 | - |
| F OTHER NON=CURRENT ASSETS | 145,587,968 | - |
| OTAL ASSETS | 105,333,640 | - |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | 23,615,426 | - |
| 4. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS | 23,615,426 | - |
| 1. Bank borrowings | 0 | - |
| 2. Principal installments and interest on long | 0 | - |
| 3 Principal installments and interest on bill | 0 | - |
| 4. Notes and bonds issued | 0 | - |
| 5. Other short-term borrowings | 62,107,328 | - |
| \# TRADE PAYABLES | 62,867,096 | - |
| 1. Suppliers | 257,490 | - |
| 2. Notes payable | - 0 | - |
| 3. Deposits and guarantees feceived | 35,368 |  |
| 4. Other trade payables | -1,052,629 |  |
| 5. Rediscount of notes payable (c) | 17,608,920 |  |
| C OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES | 4,367 |  |
| 1. Due fo shareholders | 0 |  |
| 2. Due to investments | 0 |  |
| 3 Due to subsidiaries | 0 |  |
| 4. Accrued expenses | 1786;952 |  |
| 5. Witholdings payable | 1,786,0 |  |
| 6. Deferred payables to government | 45.817 .600 |  |
| 7 Other shor-term liabilifies | 15,810,600 |  |
| 8. Rediscount of notes payable (-) | 121,484 |  |
| - ADVANCES RECEIVED | 121,484 $1,880,484$ |  |
| 三 ALLOWANCE FOR PAYABLES AND EXPENSES | 1,867,191 |  |
| 1. Provision for taxes | 13,293 |  |
| 2. Provision for payables and accruels | 5,697,209 |  |
| L LONG-TERM LIABILITIES | 5,697,209 |  |
| 4. LONG-TERM BORROWINGS | 1407,240 |  |
| 1. Bank borrowings | 1,407,240 |  |
| 2. Bonds issued | 0 |  |
| 3. Other marketable securities issued | 0 |  |
| 4. Other long-term borrowings | 1,179,274 |  |
| E TRADEPAYABLES | 1,311,210 |  |
| 1. Suppliers | 0 |  |
| 2 Notes payable | 0 |  |
| 3. Deposits and guarantees received | 0 |  |
| 4. Other trade payables | -131936 |  |
| 5. Rediscount of notes payable (-) | -1147,492 |  |
| C OTHER LONG TERMBORROWINGS | 1,44,4920 |  |
| 1. Due to shareholders | 0 |  |
| 2. Due to investments | 0 |  |
| 3. Due to subsidiaries | 113,354 |  |
| 4. Deferred payables to government | 1,034,138 |  |
| 5. Other long-term borrowings | 1,034,138 |  |


|  | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S Fediscount of notes payable (-) | 0 | - |
|  | 1,963,203 | - |
| =rovision for retirement pay | 1,963,203 | - |
| 2 Provision for other payables and accruels | 0 | - |
| REHOLDERS' EQUITY | 34,557,124 | - |
| MAEE CAPITAL | 4,000;000 | - |
| PITAL COMMITMENTS ( - ) | 0 | - |
| H-LEEPREMUM | 5,005,873 | - |
| Ex ILUATION SURPLUS | 0 |  |
| 1. Revaluation surplus on fixed assets | 0 |  |
| 2. Revaluation surplus on investments | 0 |  |
| 3 Revaluation sumplus on common stocks | 166014 |  |
| ESERVES | 8,166,014 | - |
| 1. Legal reserves | 0 | - |
| 2 Statutory reserves | 15.146 | - |
| 3. Special reserves | 7,454,665 | - |
| - Extraordinary reserves | +. 503 | - |
| 5. Cost increase fund | 0 | - |
| 6. Fixed assets and investment sales income to | 47,385,236 | - |
| F ET INCOME FOR THE PERIOD | 0 |  |
| - OSS FOR THE PERIOD (-) | 0 | - |
| - PRIOR YEARLOSSES ( $)$ FEVIOUS YEAR LOSSES (-) | 0 | - |
| EVIOUS YEAR LOSSES (-) | 0 | - |
| 1. .........year losses | 0 |  |
| 2. .........year losses | 145,587,968 | . |
| 2L LIABILITIES ANO SHAREHOLDERS \%OSS SALES | 195,002,672 |  |
| 1. Domestic sales | $55.894,316$ |  |
| 1. Domestic sales | 115,105,896 |  |
| 2. Exports 3. Other sales | 24,002,460 | - |
| - sales deductions ( - ) | -6,564,692 |  |
| 1. Sales. returns $(t)$ | 5,604,649 |  |
| 2. Sales discounts (-) | 0 |  |
| 3. Other deductions $(-)$ | -960,043 |  |
| = NET SALES | 188,437,984 |  |
| - COST OF SALES $(-)$ | -138,546;912 |  |
| SOOSS PROFIT (LOSS) | 49,891,072 |  |
| E OPERATING EXPENSES $H$ | -8,596,447 |  |
| 1. Research and development expenses (-) | -387,848 |  |
| 2. Selling ana marketing expenses ( - ) | -3.601,751 |  |
| 3. General and admiinistrative expenses ( - ) | -4,606,848 |  |
| FROFIT (LOSS) FROM MAIN OPERATIONS | 41,294,624 |  |
| = INCOME AND PROFIT FROM OTHER OPERATIONS | 13,354,060 |  |
| 1. Dividends from investments | 0 |  |
| 2. Dividends from subsidiaries | 0 |  |
| 3 Interest and other dividend income | 6,577,186 |  |
| 4. Other operating income and profits | 6,776,874 |  |
| 3. EXPENSES AND LOSSES FROM OTHER OPERATIONS ( - | -2,679,293 |  |
| - FINANCIAL EXPENSES ( - ) | -31,652,416 |  |
| 1. Short-term financial expenses | -31,652,416 |  |
| 2. Long-term financial expenses | 0 |  |
| OPERATING PROFIT (LOSS) | 20,316,974 |  |
| 1 EXTRAORDINARY INCOME AND PROFITS | 89,130 |  |
| 1 Reversal of provisions | 0 |  |
| 2. Prior year income and profit | 0 |  |
| 3. Other extraordinary income and profit | 89,130 |  |
| J. EXTARORDINARY EXPENSES AND LOSSES | -678,277 |  |
| 1 1dle division expenses and losses | -676,479 |  |
| 2. Prior year expenses and losses | -1,798 |  |
| 3. Other extraordinary expenses and losses | 0 |  |
| NCOME BEFORE TAXATION | 19,727,828 |  |

AL STATEMENTS (Million TL)*

## IENT ASSETS

## D ASSETS

1. Cash

2 Banks
3. Other liquid assets

RKETABLE SECURITIES

1. Share stocks
2. Private sector bills, notes and bonds
3. Government bonds and Treasury bills
4. Other marketable securitios
5. Provision for diminution in value of market

ORT-TERM TRADE RECENABLES

1. Customers
2. Notes receivable
3. Deposits and guarantes given
4. Other short-term trade receivables
5. Rediscount of notes receivable ( - )
6. Provision for doubtrul receivables $(-)$

HER SHORT-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES

1. Due from shareholders
2. Due from investments
3. Due from subsidiaries
4. Other short-term receivables
5. Rediscount of notes receivable ()
6. Provision for doubtful receivables $(-)$

## VENTORIES

1. Raw materials
2. Semi-finished goods
3. Work in progress
4. Finished goods
5. Commercial goods
6. Other inventories

1999 4.Period
7. Provision for diminution in value of invent
8. Order advances given

THER CURRENT ASSETS
N-CURRENT ASSETS
ONG-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES

1. Customers

2 Notes receivable 0
3. Deposits and guarantes given $\quad 55,460$
4. Other long-term trade receivables 0

| 5. Rediscount of notes receivable $(-)$ |
| :--- |
| 0 |

6. Provision for doubtful receivables (-)

OTHER LONG-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES

1. Due from shareholders
2. Due from Investments
3. Due from subsidiaries
4. Other long-term receivables
5. Rediscount of notes receivable (-)
6. Provision for doubtful receivables $(-)$
=INANCIAL ASSETS
7. Marketable securities issued by subsidiarie
8. Provision for diminution in value of market
9. Investnments
10. Capital commitments to investments
11. Provision for diminution in value of invest
12. Subsidiaries
13. Capital commitments to subsidiaries ( )
14. Provision for diminution in value of subsid
15. Other non-current financial assets

245,093,517
188,564
15,317
120, 101
53,146
$33,451,581$

| 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| $32,405,652$ | $23,925,452$ |
| $1,045,929$ | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| $118,321,811$ | $211,7,29,782$ |
| $117,105,953$ | $213,485,319$ |
| $4,255,197$ | 31,310 |
| 20,957 | 96,791 |
| 69,317 | 14,168 |
| $-3,060,296$ | $-1,883,638$ |
| 69,317 | $-14,168$ |
| 452,725 | $26,270,250$ |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | $16,948,760$ |
| $4,321,490$ |  |
| 452,725 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |

$75.848,184$
29,441,516
$3,060,212$

4,780,479
2,646,000
98,044
$\begin{array}{lr}19,635,319 & 35,821,933 \\ 35,801,890 & 42,473,629\end{array}$

| $56,876,946$ | $75,848,184$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $29,386,292$ | $29,441,516$ |
| $1,748,970$ | $3,060,212$ |
| 0 | 0 |
| $4,955,108$ | $4,780,479$ |
| 454,741 | $2,646,000$ |
| 696,516 | 98,044 |
| 0 | 0 |

35,801,890
69,906,577
64,272
0
64272
64272
0
0
0
68,940
2000 4.Period
466,180,586
$85,933,289$
37,412
$83,632,250$
2,263,627
23,925,452
0
0


211,729;782 31,310 14,168
$-14,168$
26,270,250
0
$16,948,760$
0
0

64,272
0
$0 \quad 0$
0

| 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| $2,716,766$ | $19,671,417$ |
| 15,756 | 791,684 |
| 0 | 0 |
| $1,238,293$ | $3,368,973$ |
| $-876,950$ | -900 |
| 0 | 0 |
| $4,626,667$ | $15,511,660$ |
| $-2,287,000$ | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| $31,523,610$ | $45,251,132$ |


| 1. Land | 163.029 | 163.029 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Land improvements | 156,941 | 220,192 |
| 3. Buildings | 2,488,655 | 2,657,910 |
| 4. Machinery and equipment | 32,597,272 | 49,570,527 |
| 5. Motor vehicles | 196,042 | 96,614 |
| 6. Furniture and fixtures | 2,786,869 | 3,526,139 |
| 7. Other fixed assets | 0 | 0 |
| 8. Accumulated depreciation (-) | -8,239,731 | -13,458,418 |
| 9. Construction in progress | 540,099 | 1,540,973 |
| 10. Order advances given | 834,434 | 934,166 |
| NGIBLE ASSETS | 1,267,758 | 1,099,213 |
| 1. Establishment cost (net) | 36,222 | 36,222 |
| 2. Rights | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Research and development expenses | 15,574 | 15,574 |
| 4. Other intangible assets | 1,215,962 | 1,047,417 |
| 5. Advances gives | 0 | 0 |
| HER NONGURRENT ASSEIS | 3,125,346 | 3,820,543 |
| ASSETS | 283,782,457 | 536,087,163 |
| ENT LIABILITIES | 205,845,012 | 326,110,973 |
| DRT-TERM BORROWINGS | 54,079,109 | 74,839,700 |
| 1. Bank borrowings | 54,079,109 | 74,839,700 |
| 2. Principal installments and interest on long | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Principal installiments and interest on bill | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Notes and bonds issued | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Other short-term borrowings | 0 | 0 |
| DE PAYABLES | 117,586,141 | 199,551,947 |
| 1. Suppliers | 114,354,655 | 170,970.517 |
| 2. Notes payable | 4,908,449 | 32,541,740 |
| 3. Deposits and guarantees received | 190 | 89,067 |
| 4. Other trade payables | 74,523 | 0 |
| 5. Rediscount of notes payable () | -1,751,676 | 4,049,377 |
| HER CURRENT LIABILITIES | 31,985,858 | 36,818,631 |
| 1. Due to shareholders | 4126 | 3,714 |
| 2. Due to investments | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Due to subsidiarles | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Accrued expenses | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Witholdings payable | 2.125,142 | 5,077,060 |
| 6. Deferred payables to government | 0 | 0 |
| 7. Other shor-termliabilities | 29,856,590 | 31,737.857 |
| 8. Rediscount of notes payable (-) | 0 | 0 |
| JANCES RECEIVED | 77,024 | 160,027 |
| OWANCE FOR PAYABLES AND EXPENSES | 2,116,880 | 14,740,668 |
| 1. Provision for taxes | 2,116,880 | 14,432,504 |
| 2. Provision for payables and accruels | 0 | 308,164 |
| G-TERM LIABILITIES | 5,241,662 | 5.001,237 |
| VG-TERM BORROWINGS | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Bank borrowings | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Bonds issued | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Other marketable securities issued | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Other long-term borrowings | 0 | 0 |
| IDE PAYABLES | 1,134,002 | 420;077 |
| 1. Suppliers | 1,240,009 | 444,848 |
| 2. Notes payable | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Deposits and guarantees received | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Other trade payables | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Rediscount of notes payable (-) | -106,007 | -24,771 |
| HER LONG-TERM BORROWINGS | $1.034,138$ | 8;859 |
| 1. Due to shareholders | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Due to investments | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Due to subsidiaries | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Deferred payables to government | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Other long-term borrowings | 1,034,138 | 8,859 |

6. Rediscount of notes payable (-)
7. Provision for retirement pay
8. Provision for other payables and accruels :HAREHOLDERS' EQUITY SHARE CAPITAL
CAPITAL COMMITMENTS (-)

## SHARE PREMMIUM

FEVALUATION SURPLUS

1. Revaluation surplus on fixed assets
2. Revaluation surplus on investments
3. Revaluation surplus on common stocks
=ESERVES
4. Legal reserves
5. Statutory reserves
6. Special reserves.
7. Extraordinary reserves
8. Cost increase fund
9. Fixed assets and investment sales income to

NET INCOME FOR THE PERHOD
LOSS FOR THE PERIOD (-)
PRIOR YEAR LOSSES F-
FREVIOUS YEAR LOSSES (-) 1...........year losses

TAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY GROSS SALES

1. Domestic sales
2. Exports

3 Other sales
SALES DEDUCTIONS (-)
1 Sales returns ( -
$(-)$
3 Other deductions (-)
VET SALES

## COST OF SALES ( -

## ROSS PROFIT (LOSS)

## JPERATING EXPENSES ( )

1. Research and development expenses ( - )
2. Selling ana marketing expenses $(-)$
3. General and admiinistrative expenses ( - ) ROFIT (LOSS) FROM MAIN OPERATIONS NCOME AND PROFIT FROM OTHER OPERATIONS
4. Dividends from investments
5. Dividends from subsidiaries
6. Interest and other dividend income
7. Other operating income and profits

## EXPENSES AND LOSSES FROM OTHER OPERATIONS (I)

## FINANCIAL EXPENSES ( - )

1. Short-term financial expenses
2. Long-term financial expenses

DERATING PROFIT (LOSS) XTRAORDINARY INCOME AND PROFITS

1. Reversal of provisions
2. Prior year income and profit
3. Other extraordinary income and profit

EXTARORDINARY EXPENSES AND LOSSES

1. Idle division expenses and losses
2. Prior year expenses and losses
3. Other extraordinary expenses and losses

COME BEFORE TAXATION

4,572,301 0

| 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| $3,073,522$ | $4,572,301$ |
| $3,073,522$ | $4,572,301$ |
| 0 | 0 |
| $72,695,783$ | $204,974,953$ |
| $4,000,000$ | $159,099,887$ |
| 0 | 0 |
| $5,005,873$ | 0 |
| $8,326,739$ | $1,123,736$ |
| $8,322,239$ | 609,736 |
| 4,500 | 0 |
| 0 | 514,000 |
| $26,443,259$ | $2,322,819$ |
| 800,000 | 800,000 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 15,146 | 15,146 |
| $22,826,799$ | $1,507,673$ |
| 503 | 0 |
| $2,800,811$ | 0 |
| $28,919,912$ | $42,428,541$ |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |

$283,782,457 \quad 536,087,163$ 314,805,896 498,268,691 $81,151,852$ 345,280,115 71.836 .724

$$
-1,681,988
$$

$-1,651,526$
496,586,703
$-394,502,404$ 102,084,299 $-23,707,327$

$$
-1,182,994
$$

$-10,953,708$
-11,570,625
78,376;972
32,946,122 588
$\begin{array}{rr}12,372,030 & 15,878,269 \\ 16,529,519 & 17,067,265 \\ -7,130,251 & -6,845,669 \\ -60,590,671 & -46,381,583 \\ -60,590,671 & -46,381,583\end{array}$

| 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $33,497,791$ | 58,$095 ; 842$ |
| 303,278 | 112,410 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 303,278 | 112,490 |
| $-583,442$ | $-1,347,237$ |
| $-564,235$ | $-1,341,641$ |
| 0 | 0 |
| $-19,207$ | $-5,596$ |
| $33,217,627$ | $56,861,015$ |


| STATEMENTS (Million TL)* | 2001 4.Period | 2002 4.Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NT ASSETS | 854,886,015 | 1,506,667,811 |
| ASSETS | 146,329,076 | 441,370,316 |
| Cash | 68,602 | 32,254 |
| Banks | 146,255,029 | 441,300,468 |
| Other liquid assets | 5,445 | 37,594 |
| CETABLE SECURITIES | 2,477, 886 | 36,594,222 |
| Share stocks | 0 | 0 |
| Private sector bills, notes and bonds | 0 | 0 |
| Government bonds and Treasury bills | 2,477,186 | 36,594,222 |
| Other marketable securities | 0 | - 0 |
| Provision for diminution in value of market | 0 | 0 |
| RT-TERM TRADE RECEVABLES | 464,308,955 | 657,857,080 |
| Customers | 464,264,513 | 657,589,875 |
| Notes receivable | - 0 | 0 |
| Deposits and guarantes given | 63,554 | 267,221 |
| Other shoit-term trade receivables | 86,806 | 12.459 |
| Rediscount of notes receivable (-) | -19,112 | -16 |
| Provision for doubtru receivables ( - ) | -85,806 | -12,459 |
| R SHORT-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES | 65,764,778 | 60,058,540 |
| Due from shareholders. | 0 | 0 |
| Due from investments | 0 | 0 |
| Due from subsidiaries | 57,043,892 | 53,331,177 |
| Other shor-term receivables | 8,720,886 | 6,727,363 |
| Rediscount of notes receivable (-) | 0 | 0 |
| Provision for doubtful receivables (-) | 0 | 0 |
| VTORIES | 134,671,984 | 189758763 |
| Raw materials | 53,438,978 | 80,429,727 |
| Semi-finished goods | 5,310,872 | 8,264,394 |
| Work in progress | 0 | 0 |
| Finished goods | 10,203,876 | 24,041,295 |
| Commercial goods | 1,947,462 | 79,529 |
| Other inventories | 2,773,119 | 664,966 |
| Provision for diminution in value of invent | 0 | 0 |
| Order advances given | 60,997,677 | 76,278,852 |
| ER CURRENT ASSETS | 41,334,036 | 121,028,890 |
| URRENT ASSETS | 114,645,696 | 184,782,945 |
| --TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES | 28,467 | 18,880 |
| Customers | 0 | 0 |
| Notes receivable | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Deposits and guarantes given | 28,467 | 18888 |
| 4. Other long-term trade receivables | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Rediscount of notes receivable ( $)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 8. Provision for doubtful receivables (-) | 0 | 0 |
| ER LONG-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES | 0 | 0 |
| Due from shareholders | 0 | 0 |
| Due from investments | 0 | 0 |
| Due from subsidiaries | 0 | 0 |
| Other long-term receivables | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Rediscount of notes receivable (-) | 0 | 0 |
| - Provision for doubtful receivables (-) | 0 | 0 |
| NCIAL ASSETS | 20,879,478 | 22,508,566 |
| Marketable securities issued by subsidiarie | 2,102,323 | 2,547,260 |
| 2. Provision for diminution in value of market | 0 | 0 |
| 3 Investments | 3,030,825 | 3,030,825 |
| 4. Capital commitments to investments | -675 | 0 |
| 5 Provision for diminution in value of invest | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Subsidiaries | 15,747,005 | 17,287,327 |
| C.Capital commitments to subsidiaries ( - ) | 0 | -356,846 |
| 3. Provision for diminution in value of subsid | 0 | 0 |
| \% Other non-current financial assets | 0 | 0 |
| D ASSETS | 76,084,303 | 138,055,825 |


| Land | 163,029 | 163.029 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land improvements | 404,406 | 642,502 |
| Buildings | 4,193,596 | 7,389,088 |
| Machinery and equipment | 90,790,089 | 183,393,764 |
| Motor vehicles | 181,904 | 215,395 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 4,949,057 | 8,243,509 |
| Other fixed assets | - 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Accumulated depreciation (-) | -28,920,177 | -67,727,748 |
| Construction in progress | 2,597.522 | 5,594,678 |
| 0 Order advances given | 1,724,877 | 141,608 |
| VGIBLE ASSETS | 1.741 .056 | 1,787.770 |
| Establishment cost (net) | 36,222 | 0 |
| 2 Rights | 0 | 79,107 |
| 3. Research and development expenses | 248,720 | 184,983 |
| . Other intangible assets | 1.456.f14 | 1.523;680 |
| 5. Advances gives | 0 | 0 |
| ER NON-CURRENT ASSEIS | 15,912,392 | 22,411,904 |
| SSETS | 969,531,711 | 1,691,450,756 |
| ENT LIABILITIES | 616.420 .959 | 744,726,251 |
| RT-TERM BORROWINGS | 193,226, 126 | 9,342,818 |
| 1. Bank borrowings | 193,226,126 | 9,342;848 |
| 2. Principal instaliments and interest on long | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Principat installments and interest on bill | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Notes and bonds issued | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Other shot-tern borrowings | - 0 | 1-1. |
| DE PAYABLES | 359,973,776 | 612,628,665 |
| 1. Suppliers | 302,387,717 | 598,238,202 |
| 2. Notes payable | 60,049,408 | 17,573,641 |
| 3. Deposits and guarantees received | 22,000 | O |
| 4. Other trade payables | 406,719 | 132,857 |
| 5. Rediscount of notes payable ( - ) | -2,892;068 | -3,316:035 |
| IER CURRENT LIABILITIES | 36,994,461 | 112,619,449 |
| 1. Due to shareholders | 3,669 | 0 |
| 2. Due to investments | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Due to subsidiaries | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Accrued expenses | 0 | 3, 0 |
| 5. Witholdings payable | 6,369,658 | 3,101,674 |
| 6. Deferred payables to government | 0 | 109517775 |
| 7. Other short-term liabities | 30,621,134 | 109,517,775 |
| 8. Rediscount of notes payable (-) | 0 | 0 |
| IANGES RECEIVED | 27,774 | 85,391 |
| OWANCE FOR PAYABLES AND EXPENSES | 26,198,825 | 10,049,928 |
| 1. Provision for taxes | 26,001,039 | 6,456,096 |
| 2. Provision for payables and accruels | 197,786 | 3,593,832 |
| G-TERM LIABILITIES | 70,571,47 | 549,274,522 |
| NG-TERM BORROWINGS | 63,405,750 | 481,785,427 |
| 1 Bank borrowings | 63,405,750 | 481,785,427 |
| 2. Bonds issued | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Other marketable securities issued | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Other long-term borrowings | 0 | 0 |
| QDE PAYABLES | 0 | 0 |
| 1. Suppliers | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Notes payable | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Deposits and guarantees received | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Other trade payables | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Rediscount of notes payable (-) | 0 | 0 |
| HER LONG-TERM BORROWINGS | 8;859 | 4,503;807 |
| 1. Due to shareholders | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Due to investments | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Due to subsidiaries | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Deferred payables to government | 0 | 03807 |
| 5. Other long-term borrowings | 8,859 | 4,503,807 |

6. Rediscount of notes payable (-)
D. ADVANCES RECEIVED
E. PROVISION FOR PAYABLES AND EXPENSES
7. Provision for retirement pay
8. Provision for other payables and accruels
III. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
A. SHARE CAPITAL
B. CAPITAL COMMITMENTS $(-)$
C. SHARE PREMIUM
D. REVALUATION SURPLUS
9. Revaluation surplus on fixed assets
10. Revaluation surplus on investments
11. Revaluation surplus on common stocks
E. RESERVES
1 Legal reserves

## APPENDIX II

## IV. FINANCIAL STATEMENT ANALYSIS OF BEKO

(CALCULATIONS)

### 4.1. FINDINGS

4.1.1. Component Percentages (Vertical Analysis):

| Table 4.1 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Sales | $134,802,460$ | $100,266,720$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sales Deduction (-) | $(1,891,763)$ | $(1,093,968)$ | $(1.4 \%)$ | $(1 \%)$ |
| Net Sales | $132,910,697$ | $99,172,752$ | $98.6 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| Cost Of Sales (-) | $(105,590,971)$ | $(72,363,384)$ | $(68.3 \%)$ | $(72 \%)$ |
| Gross Profit (Loss) | $27,319,726$ | $26,809,368$ | $20.27 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Operating Expenses (-) | $(19,451,080)$ | $(12,007,611)$ | $(14.43 \%)$ | $(12 \%)$ |
| Profit (Loss) from Main <br> Operations | $7,868,646$ | $14,801,757$ | $5.84 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Income And Profit From <br> Other Operations | $5,433,624$ | $1,564,661$ | $4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Expenses And Losses <br> From Other Operations (- <br> ) | $(2,201)$ | $(879,064)$ | $(0.001 \%)$ | $(0.87 \%)$ |
| Financial Expenses(-) | $(14,311,044)$ | $(9,343,069)$ | $(10.62 \%)$ | $(9.3 \%)$ |
| Operating Profit (Loss) | $1,010,975$ | $6,144,285$ | $0.75 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Extra Ordinary Income <br> And Profits | $6,464,168$ | $1,360,588$ | $4.8 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| Extra Ordinary Expenses <br> And Losses ( -$)$ | $(337,633)$ | $(268,718)$ | $(0.25 \%)$ | $(0.26 \%)$ |
| Income Before Taxation | $5,115,560$ | $7,236,155$ | $3.7 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| Taxation And Other <br> Legal Liabilities (-) | 763,350 | $1,609,821$ | $(0.57 \%)$ | $(1.6 \%)$ |
| Net Income (Loss) | $4,352,210$ | $5,626,334$ | $3.23 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |


| Table 4.2 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gross Sales | $257,921,029$ | $134,802,460$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sales Deduction (-) | $(3,329,890)$ | $(1,891,763)$ | $(1.29 \%)$ | $(1.4 \%)$ |
| Net Sales | $254,591,139$ | $132,910,697$ | $98.7 \%$ | $98.6 \%$ |
| Cost Of Sales (-) | $(202,180,368)$ | $(105,590,971)$ | $(78 \%)$ | $(68.3 \%)$ |
| Gross Profit (Loss) | $52,410,771$ | $27,319,726$ | $20.3 \%$ | $20.27 \%$ |
| Operating Expenses (-) | $(25,647,585)$ | $(19,451,080)$ | $9.9 \%)$ | $(14.43 \%)$ |
| Profit (Loss) from Main <br> Operations | $26,763,186$ | $7,868,646$ | $10.3 \%$ | $5.84 \%$ |
| Income And Profit From <br> Other Operations | $8,168,966$ | $5,433,624$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Expenses And Losses From <br> Other Operations (-) | $(3,322,837)$ | $(2,201)$ | $(1.2 \%)$ | $(0.001 \%)$ |
| Financial Expenses(-) | $(21,171,280)$ | $(14,311,044)$ | $(8.2 \%)$ | $(10.62 \%)$ |
| Operating Profit (Loss) | $10,438,035$ | $1,010,975$ | $4 \%$ | $0.75 \%$ |
| Extra Ordinary Income And <br> Profits | 5,142 | $6,464,168$ | $0.001 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Extra Ordinary Expenses And <br> Losses (-) | $(1,015)$ | $(337,633)$ | $(0.0003 \%)$ | $(0.25 \%)$ |
| Income Before Taxation | $10,442,162$ | $5,115,560$ | $4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| Taxation And Other Legal <br> Liabilities (-) | $(3,638,420)$ | $(763,350)$ | $(1.41 \%)$ | $(0.57 \%)$ |
| Net Income (Loss) | $6,803,742$ | $4,352,210$ | $2.6 \%$ | $3.23 \%$ |


| Table 4.3 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gross Sales | $424,988,538$ | $257,921,029$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sales Deduction (-) | $(6,858,188)$ | $(3,329,890)$ | $(1.6 \%)$ | $(1.29 \%)$ |
| Net Sales | $418,130,350$ | $254,591,139$ | $98.3 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ |
| Cost Of Sales (-) | $(310,450,540)$ | $(202,180,368)$ | $(73 \%)$ | $(78 \%)$ |
| Gross Profit (Loss) | $107,679,810$ | $52,410,771$ | $25.3 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ |
| Operating Expenses (-) | $(36,722,331)$ | $(25,647,585)$ | $(8.6 \%)$ | $9.9 \%)$ |
| Profit (Loss) from Main <br> Operations | $70,957,479$ | $26,763,186$ | $16.7 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| Income And Profit From <br> Other Operations | $33,639,754$ | $8,168,966$ | $7.9 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Expenses And Losses From <br> Other Operations (-) | $(3,803,435)$ | $(3,322,837)$ | $(0.89 \%)$ | $(1.2 \%)$ |
| Financial Expenses(-) | $(100,267,164)$ | $(21,171,280)$ | $(23.59 \%)$ | $(8.2 \%)$ |
| Operating Profit (Loss) | 526,634 | $10,438,035$ | $0.12 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Extra Ordinary Income <br> And Profits | 1,963 | 5,142 | $0.0004 \%$ | $0.001 \%$ |
| Extra Ordinary Expenses <br> And Losses (-) | $(11,272)$ | $(1,015)$ | $(0.0026 \%)$ | $(0.0003 \%)$ |


| Income Before Taxation | 517,325 | $10,442,162$ | $0.12 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Taxation And Other Legal <br> Liabilities $(-)$ | $(194,010)$ | $(3,638,420)$ | $(0.045 \%)$ | $(1.41 \%)$ |
| Net Income (Loss) | 323,315 | $6,803,742$ | $0.076 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |


| Table 4.4 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gross Sales | $940,745,083$ | $424,988,538$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Sales Deduction (-) | $(14,744,093)$ | $(6,858,188)$ | $(1.56) \%$ | $(1.6 \%)$ |
| Net Sales | $926,000,90$ | $418,130,350$ | $98.4 \%$ | $98.3 \%$ |
| Cost Of Sales (-) | $(770,549,094)$ | $(310,450,540)$ | $(81.9) \%$ | $(73 \%)$ |
| Gross Profit (Loss) | $155,451,896$ | $107,679,810$ | $16.5 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ |
| Operating Expenses (-) | $(77,921,860)$ | $(36,722,331)$ | $(8.2) \%$ | $(8.6 \%)$ |
| Profit (Loss) from Main <br> Operations | $77,530,036$ | $70,957,479$ | $8.24 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| Income And Profit From <br> Other Operations | $5,406,785$ | $33,639,754$ | $.57 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ |
| Expenses And Losses From <br> Other Operations (-) | $(8,783,641)$ | $(3,803,435)$ | $(.93) \%$ | $(0.89 \%)$ |
| Financial Expenses(-) | $(64,583,197)$ | $(100,267,164)$ | $(6.86) \%$ | $(23.59 \%)$ |
| Operating Profit (Loss) | $9,569,983$ | 526,634 | $1 \%$ | $0.12 \%$ |
| Extra Ordinary Income And <br> Profits | 818 | 1,963 | $.0008 \%$ | $0.0004 \%$ |
| Extra Ordinary Expenses And <br> Losses (-) | $(4,007,320)$ | $(11,272)$ | $(.43) \%$ | $(0.0026 \%)$ |
| Income Before Taxation | $5,563,481$ | 517,325 | $.59 \%$ | $0.12 \%$ |
| Taxation And Other Legal <br> Liabilities (-) | $(2,487,663)$ | $(194,010)$ | $(.26) \%$ | $(0.045 \%)$ |
| Net Income (Loss) | $3,075,818$ | 323,315 | $.33 \%$ | $0.076 \%$ |

### 4.1.2. Trend Percentages (Horizontal Analysis)

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net Sales | 99.172 .752 | 132.910 .697 | 254.591 .139 | 418.130 .350 | 940.745 .083 |
| C.O.G.S. | 72.363 .384 | 105.590 .971 | 202.180 .368 | 310.450 .540 | 770.549 .094 |
| Gross <br> Profit | 26.809 .368 | 27.319 .726 | 52.410 .771 | 107.679 .810 | 155.451 .896 |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net Sales | $100 \%$ | $134 \%$ | $256 \%$ | $421 \%$ | $948 \%$ |
| C.O.G.S. | $100 \%$ | $145 \%$ | $279 \%$ | $429 \%$ | $1095 \%$ |
| Gross <br> Profit | $100 \%$ | $101 \%$ | $195 \%$ | $401 \%$ | $579 \%$ |

4.1.3. Dollar and Percentage Changes.

|  | 2000 | 1999 | 1998 | 2000 Over <br> 1999 <br> Amount | 2000 <br> Over <br> 1999 | 1999 Over <br> Amount | 1999 <br> Over <br> 1998 <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net <br> Sales | $254,591,139$ | $132,910,697$ | $99,172,752$ | $121,680,44$ | $92 \%$ | $33,737,945$ | $34.6 \%$ |
| Net <br> Income | $6,803,742$ | $4,352,210$ | $5,626,334$ | $2,451,532$ | $56 \%$ | $(1,274,124)$ | $(22 \%)$ |


|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 2002 <br> Over2001 <br> Amount | 2002 <br> Over <br> 2001 <br> 2001 <br> Over2000 <br> Amount | 2001 <br> Over2000 <br> $\%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net <br> Sales | $926,000,990$ | $418,130,350$ | $254,591,139$ | $507,870,640$ | $121 \%$ | $163,539,211$ | $64 \%$ |
| Net <br> Income | $3,075,818$ | 323,315 | $6,803,742$ | $2,752,503$ | $851 \%$ | $(-6,480,427)$ | $(-95 \%)$ |

4. Ratio Analysis.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Current Assets | $58,929,460$ | $83,291,321$ | $143,334,543$ | $197,053,275$ | $400,362,886$ |
| Current | $45,779,444$ | $70,268,783$ | $109,706,827$ | $155,332,312$ | $253,313,637$ |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quick Assets | $27,408,919$ | $38,512,069$ | $103,731,355$ | $173,481,322$ | $288,914,283$ |
| Current <br> Liabilities | $45,779,444$ | $70,268,783$ | $109,706,827$ | $155,332,312$ | $253,313,637$ |
| Quick Ratio | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.95 | 0.86 | 1.14 |


|  | 1998 | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | 2002 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Current Assets | $58,929,460$ | $83,291,321$ | $143,334,543$ | $197,053,275$ | $400,362,886$ |
| Current <br> Liabilities | $45,779,444$ | $70,268,783$ | $109,706,827$ | $155,332,312$ | $253,313,637$ |
| Working <br> capital | $13,150,016$ | $12,950,538$ | $33,627,716$ | $41,720,963$ | $147,049,249$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net sales | $99,172,752$ | $132,910,697$ | $254,591,139$ | $418,130,350$ | $962,000,990$ |
| Average <br> account <br> receivables | $13,053,924$ | $28,050,622$ | $52,886,807$ | $100,351,920$ | $187,108,085$ |
| Receivable <br> turnover rate | 7.6 | 4.73 | 4.81 | 4.16 | 4.95 |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ys | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 |
| 2turnover rate | 7.6 | 4.73 | 4.81 | 4.16 | 4.95 |
| ys To Collect average $\mathbf{A} / \mathbf{R}$ | 48 | 72.2 | 75.9 | 87.7 | 83.7 |


|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O. <br> . S | $72,363,384$ | $105,590,971$ | $202,180,368$ | $310,450,540$ | $770,549,094$ |
| ver <br> ge <br> ven <br> rey | $12,101,425$ | $15,347,969.5$ | $19,999,426$ | $29,339,921.5$ | $59,645,884.5$ |
| aven <br> ory <br> urn <br> ver <br> cate | 5.98 | 6.88 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 12.9 |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ays | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 |
| ventory turnover rate | 5.98 | 6.88 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 12.9 |
| ays To sell average inventory | 61 | 53.1 | 36.1 | 34.4 | 28.3 |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ays to collect average A/R | 61 | 77.2 | 75.9 | 87.7 | 83.7 |
| ays to sale average inventory | 48 | 53.1 | 36.1 | 34.4 | 28.3 |
| perating cycle (days) | 109 | 130.3 | 112 | 122.1 | 102 |


|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tal | $49,871,576$ | $76,607,578$ | $129,761,978$ | $181,527,223$ | $380,091,795$ |
| bilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| tal Assets | $67,905,768$ | $102,553,738$ | $170,505,879$ | $232,781,530$ | $471,784,031$ |
| bt ratio | $73 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $81 \%$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lar <br> lss <br> fit | $26,809,368$ | $27,319,726$ | $52,410,771$ | $170,679,810$ | $155,451,896$ |
| sales | $99,172,752$ | $132,910,697$ | $254,591,139$ | $418,130,350$ | $962,000,990$ |
| sss Profit <br> e | $27 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $16 \%$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| erating <br> penses | $12,007,611$ | $19,451,080$ | $25,647,585$ | $36,722,331$ | $77,921,860$ |
| t sales | $99,172,752$ | $132,910,697$ | $254,591,139$ | $418,130,350$ | $962,000,990$ |
| erating <br> pense <br> tio | $12 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| t income | $5,626,334$ | $4,352,210$ | $6,803,742$ | 323,315 | $3,075,818$ |
| t sales | $99,172,752$ | $132,910,697$ | $254,591,139$ | $418,130,350$ | $962,000,99$ <br> 0 |
| t income as <br> ercentages <br> Net Sales | $5.6 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |


|  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| erating <br> ome <br> erage <br> tal <br> ets | $14,801,757$ | $7,868,646$ | $26,763,186$ | $70,957,479$ | $77,530,036$ |
| turn on |  |  |  |  |  |
| ets |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Income | $5,626,334$ | $4,352,210$ | $6,803,742$ | 323,315 | $3,075,818$ |
| arage <br> al <br> uity | $14,377,577$ | $21,990,176$ | $33,345,030.5$ | $45,999,104$ | $71,473,271.5$ |
| urn On <br> ity | $39.13 \%$ | $19.79 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |

NANCIAL STATEMENTS (Million TL)* CURRENT ASSETS
$58,929,460$

ALIQUID ASSETS

1. Cash 915
2. Banks 24,178
3. Other liquid assets

B MARKETABLE SECURITIES

1. Share stocks

2 Private sector bills, notes and bands
3. Government bonds and Treasury bills
4. Other marketable securities
5. Provision for diminution in value of market
C. SHORT-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES

1. Customers 273770 20,309,426
2. Notes receivable 8863,088
3. Deposits and guarantes given
4. Other sthorterm trade recovables
5. Rediscount of notes receivable (-)
6. Provision for doubtut receivables $(-)$
$-1,795,423$
D. OTHER SHORT-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES 6,735

D Due from shareholders0
2. Due from investments ..... 0
3. Due from subsidiaries ..... 0
4. Other short-term receivables ..... 6,735
5 Rediscount of notes receivable ( $)$ ..... 0
6. Provision for doubtful receivables (-)E INVENTORIES$14,988,539$

1. Raw materials ..... 118,6002. Sem-finished goods
2. Work in progress38357864. Finished goods
3. Commercial goods0
4. Other mventories
0
5. Provision for diminution in value of invent8. Order advances given$6,046,245$
F. OTHER CURRENT ASSETS ..... 16,532,002
II: NON-CURRENT ASSETS ..... 8.976,309
A. LONG-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES ..... 18,446
6. Customers0
7. Notes receivable0
18,446
8. Deposits and guarantes given ..... 0
9. Other long-term trade receivables0
10. Rediscount of notes receivable ( )
0
11. Provision for doubtful receivables (-) ..... 0
B OTHER LONGTERM TRADE RECEIVABLES
0
12. Due from shareholders ..... 0
2 Due from investments3. Due from subsidiaries4. Other long-term receivables0
13. Rediscount of notes receivable (-)0
6 . Provision for doubtful receivables (-)$1,685,750$$1,685,750$
0 1. Marketable securities issued by subsidiarie
1.701,813
14. Investments$-16,063$
15. Capital commitments to investments
0
16. Provision for diminution in value of invest ..... 0
17. Subsidiaries
0
18. Capital commitments to subsidiaries $(-)$
0
0
19. Provision for diminution in value of subsid
20. Provision for diminution in value of subsid ..... 0
D. FIXED ASSETS ..... 7,058,136


I. CURRENT ASSETS

ALIQUID ȦSSETS

E INVENTORIES

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Million TL)*

1. Cash

2 Banks
3. Other liquid assets

B MARKETABLE SECURITES

1. Share stocks
2. Private sector bills, notes and bonds
3. Government bonds and Treasury bills
4. Other marketable securities
5. Provision for diminution in value of market
C. SHORT-TERM TRADE RECENABLES
6. Customers

2 Notes receivable
3. Deposits and guarantes given
4. Other shout-term trade receivables
5. Rediscount of notes receivable (-)
6. Provision for doubfful recervables ( - )
D. OTHER SHORT-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES

1 Due from shareholders
2. Due from investments

3 Due from subsidiarles
4. Other short-term receivables

5 Rediscount of notes receivable (
6. Provision for doubtful receivables $(-)$

1. Raw materials
2. Semifinished goods
3. Work in progress

4 Finished goods
5. Commercial goods
6. Other inventories
7. Provision for diminution in value of invent
8. Order advances given
F. OTHER CURRENT ASSETS
II. NON CURRENT ASSETS
A. LONG-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES

1 Customers
2. Notes receivable
3. Deposits and guarantes given
4. Other long-term trade receivables
5. Rediscount of notes receivable ( -
6. Provision for doubtful receivables (-)
B. OTHER LONG-TERM TRADE RECENABLES

1. Due from shareholders
2. Die from investments
3. Due from subsidiaries
4. Other long-term receivables
5. Rediscount of notes receivable ( - )
6. Provision for doubtful receivables ( - )
C. FINANCIAL ASSETS
7. Marketable securities issued by subsidiarle
$3,080,541$

| 2. Provision for diminution in value of market | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3. Investments | $3,110,021$ | $5,211,551$ |
| 4. Capital commitments to investments | $-29,480$ | $-29,250$ |
| 5. Provision for diminution in value of invest | 0 | 0 |
| 6. Subsidiaries | 0 | 0 |
| 7. Capital commitments to subsidiaries $(-)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 8. Provision for diminution in value of subsid | 0 | 0 |
| 9. Other non-current financial assets | 0 | 0 |
| XED ASSETS | $15,988,408$ | $21,629,339$ |

1999 4.Period
83,219321 151,034

9,122
141,912
0
2.025,000

| 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| $2,025,000$ | $11,949,489$ |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |

36,244,006 $\quad 39,515,879$
35,791,818 69,981,796
22,489,178
164,536
$-3,119,631$
0
2.479

0
0
0
2,479
0
24.291,452

9,836,419
163,608
8,363;375
496;273
5.431,777

15,311,736
27,171,336
17,295
0
0
17.295

0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
5,182,301
0
5,211,551
29,250

0
6. Subsidiaries
$15,988,408$
21,629,339

1. Land
2. Land improvements
3. Buifdings
4. Machinery and equipment
5. Motor vehicles
6. Furniture and fixtures
7. Other fixed assets
8. Accumulated depreciation ( - )
9. Construction in progress
10. Order advances given
E.
INTANGIBLE ASSETS
11. Establishment cost (net)
12. Rights
13. Research and development expenses
14. Other intangible assets

|  | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. Rediscount of notes payable() | 0 | 0 |
| ADVANCES RECEIVED | 3,917,863 | 6,950,727 |
| 1. Provision for retirement pay | 3,917,863 | 6,950,727 |
| 2 Provision for other payables and accruels | 0 | 0 |
| III. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | 25,946,160 | 40,743,901 |
| A SHARE CAPITAL | 10,600,000 | 15,900,000 |
| B. CAPITAL COMMITMENTS ( - ) | 0 | 0 |
| C. SHARE PREMIUM | 4.266 | 4,266 |
| D. REVALUATION SURPLUS | 3,922,786 | 9,225,832 |
| 1. Revaluation surplus on fixed assets | 3,358,486 | 8,639,932 |
| 2. Revaluation surplus on investments | 564,300 | 585,900 |
| 3. Revaluation surplus on common stocks | \% 0 | - 0 |
| E. RESERVES | 7,066,898 | 8,810,061 |
| 1. Legal reserves | 1,376,903 | 1,632,681 |
| 2. Statutory reserves | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Special reserves. | 564 6 | 7,090703 |
| 4. Extraordinary reserves | 5,644,271 | 7,090,703 |
| 5. Cost increase fund | 8 | 86;671 |
| 6. Fixed assets and investment sales income to | 0 | 680 |
| F NET INCOME FOR THE PERIOD | 4,352,210 | 6,803,742 |
| G. LOSS FOR THE PERIOD (-) | 0 | 0 |
| H. PRIOR YEAR LOSSES (-) | 0 | 0 |
| I. PREVIOUS YEAR LOSSES (-) | 0 | O |
| 1..........year losses | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| 2. ...........year losses | 0 | 10 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | 102,553,738 | 170,505,879 |
| A. GROSS SALES | 134,802,460 | 257,921,029 |
| 1 Domestic sales | 63.411 .459 | 141,881,971 |
| 2. Exports | 68,911,232 | 108,928,113 |
| 3. Other sales | 2,479,769 | 7.110.945 |
| B. SALES DEDUCTIONS (-) | -1,891,763 | -3,329,890 |
| 1. Sales returns $)^{\text {a }}$ ) | -190,827 | -778,235 |
| 2. Sales discounts ( - ) | -1,700,936 | -335,002 |
| 3. Other deductions (-) | 0 | -2,216,653 |
| C. NET SALES | 132,910,697 | 254,591,139 |
| D. COST OF SALES: | -105,590,971 | 202,180,368 |
| GROSS PROFIT (LOSS) | 27,319,726 | $52,410.771$ |
| E. OPERATING EXPENSES $(-)$ | -19,451,080 | -25,647,585 |
| 1. Research and development expenses ( - ) | -1,607,245 | -2,516,866 |
| 2. Selling ana marketing expenses ( - ) | -6.421.716 | -6,827,408 |
| 3. General and admiinistrative expenses ( - ) | -11,422,119 | -16,303,311 |
| PROFIT (LOSS) FROM MAIN OPERATIONS | 7.868,646 | 26,763,186 |
| F. INCOME AND PROFIT FROM OTHER OPERATIONS | 5,433,624 | 8,168,966 |
| 1. Dividends from investments. | 1,258,200 | 2,225,216 |
| 2. Dividends from subsidiaries | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Interest and other dividend income | 1,049,426 | 1,831,765 |
| 4. Other operating income and profits | 3,125,998 | 4,111,985 |
| G. EXPENSES AND LOSSES FROM OTHER OPERATIONS $\dagger$ | -2,201 | -3,322,837 |
| H. FINANCIAL EXPENSES (-) | -14,311,044 | -21,171,280 |
| 1. Short-termfinancial expenses | -13,576,785 | -20,473,891 |
| 2. Long-term financial expenses | -734,259 | -697,389 |
| OPERATING PROFI (LOSS) | -1010,975 | 10,438,035 |
| 1. EXTRAORDINARY INCOME AND PROFITS | 6,464,168 | 5,142 |
| 1. Reversal of provisions | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Prior year income and profit | 6,464,168 | 0 |
| 3. Other extraordinary income and profit | 0 | 5,142 |
| J. EXTARORDINARY EXPENSES AND LOSSES | -337,633 | -1,015 |
| 1 Idle division expenses and losses | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Prior year expenses and losses | -337,586 | 0 |
| 3. Other extraordinary expenses and losses | 47 | -1,015 |
| INCOME BEFORE TAXATION | 5,115,560 | 10,442,162 |

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Million TL)*
I. CURRENT ASSETS

ALIQUID ASSETS

1. Cash

2 Banks
3. Other liquid assets
B. MARKETABLE SECURITIES

1. Share stocks
2. Pinvate sector bills, notes and bands

2001 4.Period
197,053,275
6,482,454
1,594
$6,480,860$
2002 4.Period
400,362,886
45,247,396
2,388
45,245,008
0
.
3. Government bonds and Treasury bills
4. Other marketable securities $\quad 0$
5. Provision for diminution in value of market

C SHORT-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES

1. Customers

130,726,452
$130,722,044$
4.581

2 Notes receivable
0
3. Deposits and guarantes given
4. Other short-ferm trade receivables 0
5. Rediscount of notes receivable $(-) \quad-173$
6. Provision for doubtful receivables ()
D. OTHER SHORT-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES

1 Due from shareholders
272,416
2. Due from investments

0
3 Due from subsidiaries $\quad$ O
4. Other short-term receivables $\quad 272,416$

5 Rediscount of notes receivable ( $)$
6. Provision for doubtful receivables $(-)$

E INVENTORIES

1. Raw materials

34,388,391

| $18,620,091$ | $45,843,255$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 637,524 | 2,178001 |
| 0 | 0 |

3. Work in progress
4. Finished goods
5. Commercial goods

0
6 Other inventories
0
7. Provision for diminution in value of invent
8. Order advances given
F. OTHER CURRENT ASSETS
II. NON-CURRENT ASSETS
A. LONG-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES

1. Customers
$24,394,375$
0
0
7,358,855
25,183,562
12,487,747
35,728,255
115,093
26,545,225
71,421.145
128,864
2. Notes receivable 0
3. Deposits and guarantes given $\quad 115,093$
4. Other long-term trade receivables 0

5 Rediscount of notes receivable $(\rightarrow)$. 0
6. Provision for doubtful receivables $(-) \quad 0$
B. OTHER LONG-TERM TRADE RECEIVABLES $\quad 0$

1. Due from shareholders

2 Due from investments 0
3. Due from subsidiaries 0
4. Other long-tarm receivables
5. Rediscount of notes receivable $(-) \quad 0$
6. Provision for douttul seceivables $(-) \quad 0$
C. FINANCIAL ASSETS

1. Marketable securtes ssued by subsidiarie
2. Provision far dim nutorn in viue of market
3. Investerts

10,132,301
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { 4. Capial commithentste nuestitents } & -3,375,000 \\ \text { 5. Provision for dimintion in value of invest } & 0\end{array}$
5. Provision for dimintion in valae of invest

6 Subsidares
7. Captai carraterats $=$ absiaries (-)
8. Provision for dinnton in vilue It absd $^{\text {a }}$
9. Other non-cinely fermed asee
D. FIXED ASSETS

| $6,757,301$ | $7,794,951$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| $10,132,301$ | $1,169,951$ |
| $-3,375,000$ | $-3,375,000$ |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| $26,399,371$ | $61,314,383$ |


| 1. Land | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Land improvemerts | 745,198 | 1,154,610 |
| 3. Buildings | 9,132,930 | 17,463,179 |
| 4. Machinery ans equionert | 54,955,395 | 112,350,271 |
| 5. Motor vehicles | 348,334 | 711,624 |
| 6. Furniture and ficres | 21,225,727 | 12,815,084 |
| 7. Other fired assets | 0 | 0 |
| 8. Accumulated decrecation ( - ) | -59,963,280 | -85,478,559 |
| 9. Construction in yrug ess | 1.955,067 | 2,298,174 |
| 10. Order advances given | 0 | 0 |
| E INTANGIBLE ASSETS | 456,490 | 1,208,466 |
| 1. Establshmert oost (/et) | 0 | 0 |
| 2 Rights | 435766 | 1,069,300 |
| 3. Research and devalopment expenses | 0 | O |
| 4. Other intangble assets | 20,724 | 139,166 |
| 5. Advances gives | 0 | 0 |
| F. OTHER NON-CURRENT ASSETS | 0 | 974,481 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | 232,781,530 | 471,784,031 |
| 1. CURRENT LIABIUTIES | 155,332,312 | 253,313,637 |
| A. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS | 114,070,265 | 116,448,269 |
| 1. Bank borrowings | 87,865,488 | 95,441,137 |
| 2. Principal installments and interest on long | 26,204,777 | 21,007,132 |
| 3. Principal installments and interest on bill | + 0 | 0 |
| 4. Notes and bonds issued | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Other short-term borrowings. | 0 | 18 |
| B. TRADE PAYABLES | 22,532,939 | 119,463,369 |
| 1. Suppliers | 22,532,939 | 119,370,068 |
| 2. Notes payable | 0 | 0 |
| 3 3 Deposits and guarantees received | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Other trade payables | 0 | 93,301 |
| 5. Rediscount of notes payable ( $)$ | 0 | 0 |
| C. OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES | 17,240,504 | 10,232,555 |
| 1 Due to shareholders | 292,564 | 246.740 |
| 2. Due to investments | 0 | 0 |
| 3 Due to subsidiaries | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Accrued expenses | 0 | 0 |
| 5 Witholdings payable | 2,494,507 | 5,917,656 |
| 6 . Deferred payables to government | 67,560 | 836,465 |
| 7 Other short-term liabilites | $14.685,873$ | 3,231,694 |
| 8. Rediscount of notes payable (-) | 0 | 0 |
| D ADVANCES RECEIVED | 0 | - 0 |
| E. ALLOWANCE FOR PAYABLES AND EXPENSES | 1,488,604 | 7,169,444 |
| 1. Provision for taxes | 11,384 | 2,608,395 |
| 2. Provision for payables and accruels | 1,477,220 | 4,561,049 |
| II. LONG-TERM LIABILITIES | 26,194,911 | 126,778,158 |
| A. LONG-TERM BORROWINGS | 11,694,813 | 108,958,805 |
| 1. Bank borrowings | $11,694,813$ | 108;958,805 |
| 2. Bonds issued | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Other marketable securities issued | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Other long-term borrowings | 0 | 0 |
| B. TRADE PAYABLES | 1,409,025 | 0 |
| 1. Suppliers | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Notes payable | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Deposits and guarantees received | 1,109,025 | 0 |
| 4. Other trade perables | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Rediscount of notes payable $(-)$ | 0 | 0 |
| C OTHER LONG-TERM EOR =OWNGS | 1,997,151 | 1,808,859 |
| 1. Due to sharehoidert | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Due to mvesthers | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Due to subsidaries | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Deferred paplables $=$ goenmart | 1,997,151 | ¢,808,859 |
| 5. Other long-tarn horrunps | 0 |  |


| 6. Rediscount of notes payable (-) | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. ADVANCES RECEIVED | 0 | 0 |
| E PROVISION FOR PAYABLES AND EXPENSES | 11.393,922 | 16,010:494 |
| 1. Provision for retirement pay | 11,393,922 | 16,010,494 |
| 2 Provision for other payables and accruels | 0 | - 0 |
| III. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | 51,254,307 | 91,692,236 |
| A SHARE CAPIEAL | 23,850,000 | 60,000,000 |
| B. CAPITAL COMMITMENTS (-) | 0 | 0 |
| C. SHARE RREMIUM | 4;266 | 149.968 |
| D. REVALUATION SURPLUS | 15,428,906 | 15,833,918 |
| 1 Revaluation surplus on fixed assets | 14.843,006 | 1.4,119,418 |
| 2. Revaluation surplus on investments | 585,900 | 1,714,500 |
| 3. Revaluation supplus on common stocks | 0 | - 0 |
| E. RESERVES | 11,647,820 | 12,632,532 |
| 1. Legal reserves | 1,972;868 | 1,989,034 |
| 2. Statutory reserves | 0 | 0 |
| 3 Special reserves | 6 | 6 |
| 4. Extraordinary reserves | 9,579,258 | 9,886,407 |
| 5 Cost increase fund | 95,688 | 757.085 |
| 6. Fixed assets and investment sales income to | 0 | 0 |
| F NET WCOME FOR THE PERIOD | 323,315 | 3,075,818 |
| G. LOSS FOR THE PERIOD (-) | 0 | 0 |
| H PRIOR YEAR LOSSES( $)$ | 0 | 0 |
| 1. PREVIOUS YEAR LOSSES (-) | 0 | 0 |
| 1...........year losses | 0 | 0 |
| 2. ..........year losses | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | 232781,530 | 471,784,031 |
| A. GROSS SALES | 424,988,538 | 940,745,083 |
| 1 Domestic sales | $130,678.458$ | 167,603,080 |
| 2. Exports | 282,895,515 | 756,046,602 |
| 3. Other sales | 11,414,565 | 17,095,401 |
| B. SALES DEDUCTIONS ( - ) | -6,858,188 | -14,744,093 |
| 1 Sales returns ( $)$ | 726,833 | -1,793,566 |
| 2. Sales discounts (-) | -270,342 | -371,708 |
| 3 Other deductions $(-)$ | -5,861,013 | -12,578,819 |
| C. NET SALES | 418,130,350 | 926,000,990 |
| D. COST OF SALES ( $)$ | -310,450,540 | -770,549,094 |
| GROSS PROFIT (LOSS) | 107,679,810 | 155,451,896 |
| E OPERATING EXPENSES 4 | -36,722,331 | -77,921,860 |
| 1. Research and development expenses ( - ) | -3,347,821 | -5,231,607 |
| 2 Selling ana marketing expenses (-) | -11,297,285 | -35,385,739 |
| 3. General and admiinistrative expenses (-) | -22,077,225 | -37,305,114 |
| PROFIT (LOSS) FROM MAIN OPERATIONS | 70,957,479 | 77,530,036 |
| F. INCOME AND PROFIT FROM OTHER OPERATIONS | 33,639,754 | 5,406,785 |
| 1 Dividends from nvestments | 637,500 | 0 |
| 2. Dividends from subsidiaries | 0 | 0 |
| 3 Interest and other dividend income | 9.047,983 | 393,899 |
| 4. Other operating income and profits | 23,954,271 | 5,012,886 |
| G. EXPENSES AND LOSSES FROM OTHER OPERATIONS ( $)$ | -3.803,435 | -8,783,641 |
| H. FINANCIAL EXPENSES (-) | -100,267,164 | -64,583,197 |
| 1. Shortterm financial expenses | -83,831,962 | -56,065;853 |
| 2. Long-term financial expenses | -16,435,202 | -8,517,344 |
| OPERATING PROFIT (LOSS) | 526,634 | 9,569,983 |
| I. EXTRAORDINARY INCOME AND PROFITS | 1,963 | 818 |
| 1. Reversal of provisions | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Prior year income and profit | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Other extraordinary income and profit | 1.963 | 818 |
| J. EXTARORDINARY EXPENSES AND LOSSES | -11,272 | -4,007,320 |
| 1. Idle divison arpenses and losses | 0 | 0 |
| 2 Pror year tepenses and losses | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Oher entaordinary mpenses and losses | -11,272 | -4,007,320 |
| INCOME EERORETEXKHOs | 517,325 | 5,563,481 |
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