

**NEAR EAST UNIVERSITY**  
**GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES**  
**APPLIED (CLINICAL) PSYCHOLOGY MASTER PROGRAM**

**MASTER THESIS**

**EXAMINATION OF UNIVERSITY STUDENTS' VIEWS**  
**ON RELATIONSHIPS ACCORDING TO SOME**  
**SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES**

**GİZEM ÖNERİ UZUN**

**20073286**

**SUPERVISOR**

**ASSOC. PROF. DR. MEHMET ÇAKICI**

**NICOSIA**

**2012**

**NEAR EAST UNIVERSITY**  
**GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES**

**Applied (Clinical) Psychology Master Program**  
**Master Thesis**

Examination of University Students' Views on Relationships According to Some  
Sociodemographic Variables

Prepared by: Gizem ÖNERİ UZUN

**We certify that the thesis is satisfactory for the award of the Degree of  
Master of Science in Applied (Clinical) Psychology.**

**Examining Committee in Charge**

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ebru Tansel Çakıcı

Chairman of the Comitee,  
Chairman of the Department  
of Psychology,  
Near East University

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mehmet Çakıcı

Department of Psychology,  
Near East University  
(Supervisor)

Assist. Prof. Dr. Zihniye Okray

Department of Psychology,  
Near East University

**Approval of The Graduate School Social Sciences**

**Prof. Dr. Aykut Polatoğlu**

**Director**

**ÖZET****Üniversite Öğrencilerinin İlişkilere Bakışının Bazı  
Sosyo-Demografik Değişkenler Açısından İrdelenmesi****Hazırlayan: Gizem ÖNERİ UZUN****Haziran, 2012**

Bu çalışmanın amacı, yaş, cinsiyet, doğum yeri, yerleşim yeri vb. gibi bazı demografik değişkenler ve yalnızlık duygusunun ilişkiler ve evliliğe bakışı nasıl etkilediğini araştırmaktır.

Bu amaçla, Yakın Doğu Üniversitesi Psikolojik Danışmanlık ve Rehberlik Bölümü öğrencilerinden amaçlı örneklem tekniğiyle 70 kız öğrenci ve 70 erkek öğrenci toplam 140 kişi çalışmaya dahil edilmiştir.

Katılımcılara Demografik Bilgi Formu, Çok Boyutlu İlişki Ölçeği, UCLA Yalnızlık Ölçeği uygulanmıştır. Veriler SPSS 17 programı kullanılarak analiz edilmiştir. Öğrencilerin çoğu (%67) ideal evlilik yaşını 30 yaştan önce görmekte ve kiminle evleneceklerine kendilerinin karar vereceğini bildirmektedir. %76 gibi bir oran bekarete önem vermektedir.

Erkeklerin kızlara göre ilişkiye daha iyi uyum sağladıklarını düşündüğü ve ilişki ile ilgili konularda dış kontrole daha yatkın oldukları bulunmuştur. Türkiyeli öğrenciler arasında ilişkiye girerken farkındalık ve uyum daha yüksek bulunmuştur. Üniversitenin ilk 2 yılında ilişki ile ilgili kaygılar son iki yıldaki öğrencilere göre daha yüksektir. Anne-babalarının evlilik durumunun veya öğrencilerin bekarete bakış açısının ilişkiye bakış açısını etkilemediği görülmektedir.

Mevcut çalışma üniversite öğrencilerinin evlilik ve ilişkiye bakış açıları ile ilgili bazı temel bilgiler sunmaktadır. Benzer çalışmaların tekrarlanması bu tutumlarda zaman içinde ortaya çıkan değişimi gösterebilir.

**Anahtar Kelimeler: Evlilik, Eş Seçimi, Aile, İlişki, Gençlik.**

**ABSTRACT****Examination Of University Students' View On Relationships According To Some Sociodemographic Variables**Prepared by: **Gizem ÖNERİ UZUN****June, 2012**

The aim of this research is to evaluate the effects of some different demographic variables, such as, age, gender, place of birth, location along with feelings of loneliness on relationships and views on marriage.

With this aim, the participants were chosen from Psychological Counseling and Guidance Department of Near East University students. At this study sampling technique was employed on 70 female students and 70 male students.

Subjects completed a questionnaire composed of a demographic information form, relationships between other people was measured by using Multidimensional Relationship Questionnaire and loneliness measured by using UCLA. Data were analysed by using SPSS 17. Most of the students (%67) have noted that the ideal age of marriage is before 30s and they will decide with whom they will get married. The 76% percentage of them gives importance to the virginity.

It is thought that males adjust better to the relationship than females and it has been found that males are more prone to outer control to the issues that are related to the relationship, and that awareness and compliance was higher between Turkish students when being in a relationship. The students concerns about relationship is much higher at the last two years then the first two years of university and marital status of the parents or the perspective view of virginity does not affect the students point of view towards the relationship.

The present study offers some basic information on university students perspectives on relationship and marriage. On repetition of similar studies these attitudes may change over the time resulting.

**Keywords: Marriage, Choosing a partner/spouse, Family, Relationship, Young individuals.**

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I thank Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mehmet akıcı for this study. I thank Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ebru Tansel akıcı. I thank Assist. Prof. Dr. Zihniye Okray for the positive criticism, support and unending patience at every stage of my research. I thank all the students that were the source of my study and who answered my survey sincerely. Finally I thank a lot to my husband, mum, dad and sister who supported me through all my research materially and morally.

Gizem NERİ UZUN

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>No</b>	
<b>ÖZET.....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS.....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>I.INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Youth.....	2
1.2 Marriage.....	4
1.2.1 Marriage In Civil Law .....	4
1.2.2 The Reasons Prompting Marriage.....	6
1.2.3 Marriage.....	10
1.2.4 The Types of Marriage .....	13
1.2.5 Spouse Selection .....	20
1.3 Family .....	26
1.3.1 Large Family.....	30
1.3.2 Nuclear Family.....	31
1.4 Studies Conducted Related to The Research.....	35
<b>II.METHOD.....</b>	<b>40</b>
2.1 Topics and Aim of the study .....	40
2.2 Reason and Importance of the study .....	40
2.3 Participants.....	40
2.4 Instruments.....	41
2.4.1 Demographic Information Form .....	41

2.4.2 Multidimensional Relationship Scale .....	41
2.4.3 UCLA Loneliness Scale .....	43
2.4.4 Procedure.....	43
2.4.5 Statistical Analyses.....	44
<b>III.RESULTS.....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>IV. DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>V. CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>75</b>
Appendix 1. Demographic Information Form.....	74
Appendix 2. Multidimensional Relationship Scale.....	76
Appendix 3. UCLA Loneliness Scale .....	79
Appendix 4. Informed Consent.....	80

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page No
<b>Table 1.</b> Demographic Characteristics of Participants.....	45
<b>Table 2.</b> Comparison of MRQ Subscale Scores According to Age of Participants.....	47
<b>Table 3.</b> Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to gender of participants.....	48
<b>Table 4.</b> Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to place of birth of participants.....	49
Table 5. Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to year of study of participants.....	50
Table 6. Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to accomodation of participants...51	51
Table 7. Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to the marital status of the participants' parents.....	52
Table 8. Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to who the participants prefer to spend their free-time with.....	53
Table 9. Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to the importance given to virginity by the participants.....	54
Table 10. Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to who affects the participants' decision of who to marry.....	55
Table 11. Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to financial support from the participants' family.....	56
Table 12. Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to the participants' current emotional relationship.....	57
Table 13. Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to the participants' having experienced an engagement or marriage in the past or not.....	58
Table 14. Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to the social environment of the participants.....	59
Table 15. Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to the number of siblings of the participants.....	60
Table 16. Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to the type of marriage the participants' parents have.....	61
Table 17. Comparison of MRQ subscale scores according to the participants perceived ideal age to get married.....	62
Table 18. Correlation between MRQ subscale and UCLA Loneliness Scale Scores .....	63



## **ABBREVIATIONS**

**MRQ:** The Multidimensional Relationship Questionnaire

**UCLA:** UCLA Loneliness Scale

**RAS:** Relationship Assessment Scale

**PCG:** Psychological Counseling and Guidance

**RT:** Republic of Turkey

**TRNC:** Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus