

NEAR EAST UNIVERSITY

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES

DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING

USE OF FIRST LANGUAGE IN EFL CLASSROOMS: THE PERCEPTIONS OF TEACHERS IN NORTHERN CYPRUS

MASTER THESIS

Gülsen BURAT

Nicosia

June, 2020



NEAR EAST UNIVERSITY

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATIONAL SCIENCES

DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING

USE OF FIRST LANGUAGE IN EFL CLASSROOMS: THE PERCEPTIONS OF TEACHERS IN NORTHERN CYPRUS

MASTER THESIS

Gülsen BURAT

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Çise ÇAVUŞOĞLU

Nicosia

June, 2020

APPROVAL OF THE THESIS

We certify that we have read the thesis submitted by Gülsen Burat titled "Use of First Language in EFL Classrooms: The Perceptions of Teachers in Northern Cyprus" and that in our combined opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and in quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Educational Sciences.

	Name-Surname	Signature
Head of the Committee:	Asst. Prof. Dr. Hanife Bensen Bostancı	
Supervisor:	Assoc. Prof. Dr. Çise Çavuşoğlu	
Committee Member:	Dr. Aida Ariannejad	
Head of the Department	t: Prof. Dr. Mustafa Kurt	
Approved by the Gradu	ate School of Educational Sciences	
		/2020

Prof. Dr. Fahriye ALTINAY

Director of Graduate School of Educational Science

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that all information in this document has been obtained and presented in accordance with academic rules and ethical conduct. I also declare that, as required by the rules and conduct, I have fully cited and referenced all material and results that are not original to this work.

Name and S	Surname:	Gülse	en	В	u	ra	ıt
Signature: .							

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Firstly, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Çise Çavuşoğlu for her invaluable guidance, patience, and constructive feedback throughout my research study.

Secondly, I am thankful to my beloved family for being my source of strength and inspiration during this journey. My mother, father, brother and my best friend have all been supportive and patient in many ways during this process, and I am grateful to have them in my life. Thanks for their support, encouragement and great trust in me through my whole life.

Finally, I would like to thank all the participants who took part in my study. They kindly spent their time to participate and fill the questionnaire voluntarily.

Gülsen Burat

To my parents and my brother...

ABSTRACT

Use of First Language in EFL Classrooms: The Perceptions of Teachers in Northern Cyprus

Burat Gülsen

MA Program, Department of English Language Teaching

Supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Çise Çavuşoğlu

Jun, 2020, 193 pages

The use of the first language (L1) in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) classes has been a controversial topic in the field. There are approaches towards using L1 in EFL classrooms; While some teachers claim that L1 should not be used, others think that it has an important role in facilitating EFL learning. The present study aimed at investigated EFL teachers' perceptions about using L1, the frequency of teachers' L1 use, and the cases in which they do so in the context of state schools in northern Cyprus. In addition, possible differences in their perceptions and practices based on age, gender, first language, level of education, place of graduation, faculty of graduation, years of teaching experience and grade level taught were analyzed. In total, 170 EFL teachers participated in the study, where a researcher-made questionnaire was employed to collect data about their perceptions. Data were analyzed quantitatively through descriptive statistics and parametric tests were also run to identify possible differences based on the given categories. The results showed that the majority of the teachers had neutral approach towards using L1 in EFL classrooms, they prefer to use L1 when there is a need. The results also revealed that while there is no difference in their perceptions and uses of L1 in the classroom based on gender, several differences were identified in terms of years of teaching experience, year group taught, level of education, school of graduation and first language.

Keywords: use of first language, L1, English as a foreign language, teachers' perceptions, mother tongue use in EFL

Yabancı Dil Olarak İngilizce Sınıflarında Anadil Kullanımı: Kuzey Kıbrıs'taki Öğretmenlerin Algıları

Burat Gülsen

Yüksek Lisans, İngilizce Öğretmenliği Ana Bilim Dalı

Tez Danışmanı: Doç. Dr. Çise Çavuşoğlu

Haziran, 2020, 193 sayfa

Yabancı dil olarak İngilizce (YDİ) sınıflarında, anadil (AD) kullanımı konusunda devam eden bir tartışma söz konusudur. YDİ sınıflarında AD kullanımına yönelik yaklaşımlar vardır; Bazı öğretmenler YDİ eğitiminde AD'nin kullanılmaması gerektiğini iddia ederken, diğerleri ise öğrenimi kolaylaştırmada önemli bir rol oynadığını düşünmektedir. Bu çalışma, kuzey Kıbrıs'taki devlet okullarında çalışan YDİ öğretmenlerinin AD kullanımına ilişkin algılarını, öğretmenlerin AD kullanım sıklığını ve hangi durumlarda AD kullandıklarını araştırmayı amaçlamıştır. Ayrıca, öğretmenlerin yaş, cinsiyet, anadil, eğitim düzeyi, mezuniyet yeri, mezuniyet fakültesi, öğretim yılı tecrübesi ve öğretilen sınıf düzeyine dayalı algı ve uygulamalarındaki olası farklılıklar incelenmiştir. Araştırmacı tarafından hazırlanan bir anketin kullanıldığı çalışmaya 170 YDİ öğretmeni katılmıştır. Veriler tanımlayıcı istatistikler kullanılarak nicel olarak analiz edilmis ve belirlenen değişkenlere göre olası farklılıkları belirlemek için çıkarımsal istatistikler de kullanılmıştır. Sonuçlar, öğretmenlerin çoğunun YDİ sınıflarında AD kullanmaya yönelik tarafsız bir yaklaşıma sahip olduklarını ve ihtiyaç duyulduğunda AD kullanmayı tercih ettiklerini göstermiştir. Sonuçlar ayrıca, öğretmenlerin AD kullanımına yönelik cinsiyete dayalı algıları ve kullanımları arasında bir fark olmamakla birlikte diğer faktörlere bağlı olarak öğretmenlerin görüşlerinde çeşitli farklılıklar olduğunu göstermiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: ana dil kullanımı, yabancı dil olarak İngilizce, öğretmen bakış açısı, İngilizce dil sınıflarında anadil kullanımı,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL OF THE THESIS	1
DECLARATION	2
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	3
ABSTRACT	5
ÖZ	6
TABLE OF CONTENTS	7
LIST OF APPENDICES	10
LIST OF TABLES	13
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	14
CHAPTER I	15
Introduction	15
Statement of the Problem	15
Purpose of the Study	17
Significance of the Study	18
Definition of Terms	19
Bilingual Approach	19
English as a Foreign Language (EFL)	20
EFL Teachers' Perceptions	20
First Language (L1)	20
Monolingual Approach	20
Limitations of the Study	21
CHAPTER II	22
Literature Review	22
Theoretical Frameworks in EFL Teaching	22
Perceptions about Using the Bilingual Approach	25
Perceptions about Using the Monolingual Approach (English Only Policy)	28
EFL Teachers' Perceptions of Using L1	30
Advantages and Disadvantages of Using L1 in EFL Classrooms	34

CHAPTER III	37
Methodology	37
Research Design	37
Participants and Setting	38
Data Collection Instrument	40
Data Collection Procedures	43
Reliability and Validity	44
Data Analysis	44
CHAPTER IV	45
Findings and Discussions	45
Perceptions of EFL Teachers in North Cyprus about Using L1	45
The Main Purposes of Using L1	47
Differences Among Groups Based on Variables	49
Age	49
Gender	51
First Language	52
Level of Education	55
Place of Graduation	57
Faculty of Graduation	58
Years of Teaching Experience	58
Grade Taught	59
The Cases in which EFL Teachers Prefer to Use L1	61
Differences in the Frequency of Using L1	61
Age	61
Gender	63
First Language	63
Level of Education	65
Place of Graduation	66
Faculty of Graduation	67

Years of Teaching Experience	68
Grade Taught	69
Discussion of Findings	70
CHAPTER V	73
Conclusion and Recommendations	73
Summary of the Main Results	73
Pedagogical Implications for Teachers	75
Recommendations for Further Studies	76
REFERENCES	78
APPENDICES	89

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A. Teacher Questionnaire	89
Appendix B. Permission Granted by the Scientific Research Ethics	
Committee	95
Appendix C. Permission Granted by the Ministry of	
Education9	96
Appendix D. Results of the Data Analysis: Inferential	
Statistics	97
Appendix D.1. Differences in Perceptions Based on Age	07
Appendix D1.1. Differences in Perceptions Based on	97
Age	00
Appendix D.2. Differences in Perceptions Based on Gender	03
	U3
Appendix D.3 . Differences in Perceptions Based on First	
Language1	08
Appendix D.4. Differences in Perceptions Based on Level of	
Education	11
Appendix D.5. Differences in Perceptions Based on Place of	
Graduation1	14
Appendix D.5.1. Differences in Perceptions Based on Place of	
Graduation1	18
Appendix D.6. Differences in Perceptions Based on Faculty of	
Graduation	21
Appendix D.6.1. Differences in Perceptions Based on Faculty of	
Graduation	26
	_ 5
Appendix D.7. Differences in Perceptions Based on Years of Teaching	20
Experience1	29

Appendix D.7.1. Differences in Perceptions Based on Years of Teaching Experience	132
Appendix D.8. Differences in Perceptions Based on Grades	
Taught	135
Appendix D.8.1. Differences in Perceptions Based on Grade	
Taught	139
Appendix E. Frequency of Teachers' L1 Use.	142
Appendix E.1 . Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on	
Age	142
Appendix E.1.1. Frequency of L1 Use Based on Age	147
Appendix E.2. Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on	
Gender	150
Appendix E.3. Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on First Language	155
Appendix E.4. Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on Level of	100
Education	159
Appendix E.5. Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on Place of	
Graduation	162
Appendix E.5.1. Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on place of	
Graduation	166
Appendix E.6. Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on Faculty of	1.50
Graduation	169
Appendix E.6.1. Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on Faculty of Graduation	176
Oraquation	1/0
Appendix E.7. Differences in Frequency of Use Based on Years of Teaching	
Experience	179

Appendix E.7.1. Differences in Frequency of Use Based on Years of Teaching
Experience
Appendix E.8. Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on Grades
Taught186
Appendix E.8.1. Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on Grade
Taught
Appendix F. Similarity Report.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Demographic Information of the participants.	39
Table 2. The Statements Modified and Adapted from Elmetwally's (2014)	41
Questionnaire	41
Table 3. The Statements Adapted from Kayaoğlu's (2012)	
Questionnaire	42
Table 4. Reliability Statistics for the Questionnaire	44
Table 5. EFL Teachers' Perceptions about Using L1.	46
Table 6. EFL Teachers' Perceptions about the Frequency of Using L1	48
Table 7. The Statistics Based on Gender.	51
Table 8. Teachers with Different First Languages.	54
Table 9. BA Level Teachers and MA Level	
Teachers	56
Table 10. The Statistics Based on First Languages.	64
Table 11. BA and MA Level of Education	65

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

L1 : First Language

L2 : Second Language

TL: Target Language

EFL: English as a Foreign Language

TEFL: Teaching English as a Foreign Language

ELT: English Language Teaching

GTM: Grammar Translation Method

DM: Direct Method

CLT: Communicative Language Teaching

NEU: Near East University

SPSS: Statistical Package for Social Sciences

SARS-CoV2: Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Related Coronavirus

FOS: Free Online Surveys

CHAPTER I

Introduction

During the decades, the use of the first language (L1) has been one of the arguments in English Language Teaching (ELT). There have been various opinions of researchers and English as a Foreign Language (EFL) teachers about using L1 in EFL classrooms because of different factors. The primary purpose of the foreign language teachers should be enhancing students' skills in the target language. In this case, teachers should apply the most appropriate methods and approaches while teaching a foreign language. Therefore, different approaches and teaching methods have been used by the teachers according to their perceptions. While some teachers support using L1 in EFL classes which is called the bilingual approach, others support using the only target language (TL) in EFL classes which is called the monolingual approach or "English only" policy. According to the monolingual approach, the use of L1 in TL classes could cause some problems. Thus, teaching English can only be pursued by the monolingual approach. Using TL is very crucial to make students enhance their language skills, especially speaking skills (Atkinson, 1987; Cole, 1998; Krashen, 1981). In this first chapter of the thesis, in the following sections, detailed information about the background of the study, the problem statement, the purpose of the study, the significance of the study, limitations, and definition of terms will be provided.

Statement of the Problem

Using L1 has been a controversial topic, and the problem is there has been no certainty whether the use of L1 is necessary for ELT or not. The attitudes and perceptions of the EFL teachers towards using L1 in second language (L2) classrooms have been investigated in different contexts and the results have been quite contradictory. Some researchers investigate that L1 is a facilitator in teaching and learning L2 (Atkinson 1987; Cook, 2001; Cummins, 1978; Freeman, 2011; Machaal, 2012; Nation, 2003; Tang, 2002; Vygotsky, 1962). Şahin and Şahin (2019) stated that teachers prefer to use L1 when their students have difficulties in grammar.

Yenice (2018) conducted a study on state elementary school teachers, and the results showed that L1 is very important for instructional reasons to make the meaning clear.

On the other hand, some other researchers reject using L1 in EFL classes (e.g. Cook, 2001; Cameron, 2001; Ellis, 2012; Krashen, 1982). Cook (2001) provides several arguments about the avoidance of L1. For instance, students should learn TL in a way that they learn their L1, and also students should keep the two languages separate in their minds (Cook, 2001). The primary purpose of the EFL teachers is to enable students to improve their knowledge and skills in the TL, and that works best when the students are exposed to the language by listening, speaking, reading and writing in the target language rather than using L1 (Puchta & Williams, 2013). Inal and Turhanlı's (2019) study investigates that teachers should use English in the classroom because the students take teachers as role models, so if the teachers prefer to use L1, students will also prefer to use L1 rather than using English. In Debreli's (2016) study on non-native English teachers in northern Cyprus context, it is stated that English is best learned when the students get exposed to English in the classroom. Therefore, teachers should provide them L2, and they should minimize the use of L1. L1 can be used when there is a necessary situation like defining new vocabulary and explaining difficult topics. Moreover, it was stated that the use of L1 should be more minimized with higher-level students than lower-levels (Debreli, 2016). Bensen and Çavuşoğlu (2013) also stated that teachers prefer to use codeswitching to clarify the meaning for the students to prevent any misunderstanding. However, if the tendency of using the native language is high, it may lead students to get used to instructions being translated into their L1. As the students do not have enough chance to get exposed to TL, they may lose their interest in TL (Bensen & Çavuşoğlu, 2013). Kaymakamoğlu and Yıltanlılar's (2019) study on five non-native English teachers at a preparatory school in Northern Cyprus investigated that L2 should be used mostly because getting used to the L1 may not enable students to acquire the target language. However, they claimed that they prefer to use L1 with lower-level students to make the meaning clear for them.

Furthermore, according to the researcher's observations on teachers' L1 use during her internship in a state secondary school, it was investigated that the majority of the EFL teachers prefer to use Turkish in EFL classrooms. She observed four teachers and only one of them preferred to use English more than Turkish. The

students whose teachers used L1 always preferred to use L1. This means that students got used to using L1 in the classroom and they were not exposed to the TL so that they could enhance it. The researcher observed that students were unable to speak in English. They gave answers and asked questions using Turkish. If a teacher's talking time in L1 is more than L2, this means that students may not be exposed to enough L2 to acquire it appropriately. Thus, students may not enhance their pronunciation, listening, and speaking skills. Moreover, the teachers who mostly prefer to use L1 in the class use the Grammar Translation Method (GTM). The researcher claims that the GTM turns students into robots because they always need to memorize grammatical rules and meanings of the given vocabulary. They just memorize them for a short time, they do not learn them effectively. EFL classes should be much more communicative by using TL to develop their language skills. L1 can be used when students have difficulties and it can be only clarified by using their mother tongue. On the other hand, only one of the teachers preferred to use L2 more than L1 in the class. The researcher observed her students, and it was very obvious that most of the students were willing to use L2 and they were active in the class activities. She also used L1 but when it was necessary, not often.

Teachers' L1 use is a problem in this case because students need to be exposed to the TL they are learning. The researcher argues that if teachers use L1 carefully while teaching a foreign language, it may not prevent students from enhancing the target language. Because of all these factors and disadvantages, this study attempts to investigate the EFL teachers' frequency of use of L1, and the cases in which they do this.

Purpose of the Study

The present study aimed to investigate the perceptions of the EFL teachers at state secondary schools in northern Cyprus about using L1 (Turkish) to teach the target language (English). This study aimed to reveal whether the teachers preferred to use the bilingual teaching method which includes either using both L1 and L2 or monolingual method which supports the "English only" policy. Moreover, it investigated how often the EFL teachers prefer to use L1 in their classes and in

which cases they preferred to do so. In this respect, the current study aimed to answer the following research questions:

- What are the perceptions of EFL teachers in northern Cyprus about using Turkish (L1) in English language classes at the secondary level?
 - What are the main purposes of EFL teachers for using L1?
- Are there any significant differences between the participants' perceptions based on:
 - o age?
 - o gender?
 - o first language?
 - o level of education?
 - o place of education?
 - o faculty of education?
 - o years of teaching experience?
 - o and the grades they teach?
- In what cases do EFL teachers prefer to use L1?
 - o To what extent do EFL teachers feel the need to use L1?
 - Are there any differences in the frequency of L1 use based on:
 - age?
 - gender?
 - first language?
 - level of education?
 - place of education?
 - faculty of education?
 - years of teaching experience?
 - and the grades they teach?

Significance of the Study

EFL teachers may have different perceptions of using L1 in English language classrooms. Some of them prefer to use L1 while some others do not because of several factors, and this study was designed to investigate whether the perceptions of teachers show differences according to several factors such as; their age, gender,

level of education, faculty of graduation, place of graduation, years of teaching experience and the grades of the students. The need to reevaluate the EFL teachers' perceptions about using L1 emerged from the gaps in the previously conducted studies. There have been several studies (Bensen & Cavusoğlu, 2013; Celik & Aydın, 2018; Debreli, 2016; Kayaoğlu, 2012; Mahmutoğlu & Kıcır, 2013; Sali, 2014; Şahin & Şahin, 2019; Yenice, 2018) which have investigated the teachers' and students' attitudes towards code-switching and the role of the L1 in L2 classes in the Turkish context, but little attention has been paid to teachers' perceptions about using L1 in secondary-level state schools in northern Cyprus. Therefore, the present study was designed to focus on this controversy in the Turkish Cypriot context by investigating EFL teachers' perceptions of using L1 in EFL classes. In this respect, the present study offers valuable contributions with significant data on these aspects. This study will also reveal which approach is commonly used in English Language Teaching (ELT) classrooms in northern Cyprus, which can pave the way for further in-service teacher trainings as well as further research on the issue in the future. Therefore, this study will have contributions for the ELT education programs and teacher training programs to revise the courses to make teachers and teacher candidates be aware of the importance of using the TL in the EFL classroom.

Definition of Terms

The operational definitions of the key terms in the study are given in this part to understand and clarify their meanings.

Bilingual Approach

The bilingual approach is one of the approaches which is used by teachers in EFL classrooms, supports using the first language (L1) in EFL classes (Afzal, 2013; Ahsan et al., 2016; Jadallah & Hasan, 2011; Kayaoğlu, 2012; Liu et al., 2004; Mahmutoğlu & Kıcır, 2013). According to this approach, using L1 is very important to provide scaffolding for students in their foreign language learning process (Brown, 2001). In this study, using the bilingual approach means that the state secondary

school EFL teachers prefer to use both Turkish (L1) and English (L2) during the teaching and learning process.

English as a Foreign Language (EFL)

Iwai (2011) defined EFL as learning English in non-English-speaking countries (Iwai, 2011). EFL in this context means the English language taught in secondary state schools' context in northern Cyprus. The students' native language is Cypriot Turkish and they are learning English as a foreign language.

EFL Teachers' Perceptions

The perceptions are the thoughts which teachers have about their professional area, and there are various perceptions of EFL teachers about using L1 while teaching English (Ahsan et al., 2016; Çelik & Aydın, 2018; Debreli, 2016; Kayaoğlu, 2012; Mahmutoğlu & Kıcır, Sali, 2014). This study aims to investigate state secondary school EFL teachers' perceptions about using Turkish (L1) in English classes.

First Language (L1)

According to Pokharel (2001), first language, mother tongue, and native language are the common terms for the language which a person acquires from birth. It means that L1 is the language that is learned naturally by people from their environment before they learn other languages (Silvani, 2014). In the context of the current study, the first language (L1) is Turkish.

Monolingual Approach

The monolingual approach is also called "English only" policy. Using learners' L1 is avoided in EFL classes according to this approach (Cook, 2001). In

this study, using the monolingual approach means that using only English rather than using Turkish at the state secondary schools in northern Cyprus.

Limitations of the Study

The participants of the study were targeted as the whole population of the English teachers at secondary-level state schools in northern Cyprus. It was planned to collect the data by going to the relevant schools and distributing the questionnaires to the EFL teachers in pen-and-paper form. However, the researcher could collect only 85 questionnaires out of 130 which were distributed. Many teachers were unwilling to respond to the questionnaire and therefore the collected data in the initial phase of the study was limited to the volunteers only.

On top of the issues faced with the response rate, due to the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Related Coronavirus (SARS-CoV2) pandemic, which broke out during the time of the data collection, the data collection process had to be stopped. The researcher could not maintain going to the relevant schools and therefore an electronic version of the questionnaire was prepared. In this case, the most important limitation of the study was due to the fact that only those teachers to whom the researcher was able to reach through social media sites were invited to the study. Those who did not have any accounts on these social media sites were automatically out of reach of the researcher.

Finally, the questionnaire designed to be used in this study focused on issues raised by the previous studies and also issues observed by the researcher in her experience of learning English as a foreign language. However, since this study is designed as a quantitative study, the results do not provide answers to the rationale behind teachers' use of the L1 in EFL settings.

This chapter provided information about the topic under investigation and presented the statement of the problem, the purpose of the study, the significance of the study, limitations of the study, and definition of terms. In the following chapters, a review of the relevant literature, the methodology followed during the study, and the findings of the quantitative research will be presented.

CHAPTER II

Literature Review

Utilization of the first language (L1) has been one of the main arguments in the field of Teaching English as a Foreign Language (TEFL). There are different perceptions about using L1 in the English as a Foreign Language (EFL) classes, and several studies have been carried out on this issue until now. According to the previous studies (Ahsan et al., 2019; Çelik & Aydın, 2018; Erkan, 2019; Kayaoğlu, 2012; Kaymakamoğlu & Yıltanlılar, 2019; Levine, 2003; Mahmutoğlu & Kıcır, 2013; Miles, 2004; Sali, 2014; Scoot & Fuente, 2008; Tang, 2002), the majority of the EFL teachers do not reject the use of L1, especially in lower-level English language classes. They mostly prefer to apply the teaching methods which support using the mother tongue as a facilitator in the EFL classes. However, some teachers who reject using L1 support the idea that learners should be exposed to the target language (TL) to enhance it effectively (Chaudron, 1988; Krashen, 1981; Littlewood, 2011; Nazary, 2008; Philipson, 1992). There are several reasons for teachers for accepting or rejecting the idea of using L1 in the EFL classes, and in this part of the study, these reasons will be discussed with the previous studies.

In this chapter, three main research areas about L1 usage in the EFL classroom settings will be presented. First, the theoretical frameworks in EFL teaching will be discussed according to the previous studies so that the reader can identify which methods and approaches support using L1 and which of them reject using L1 in the EFL classes with the given arguments and examples. Then, the perceptions about the bilingual and monolingual approach in the EFL class will be investigated. Furthermore, teachers' perceptions about using L1 will be discussed according to several questions that are also the main research questions of this study. Finally, the advantages and disadvantages of using L1 in EFL classrooms will be discussed by investigating the previous studies.

Theoretical Frameworks in EFL Teaching

EFL teaching methods do not have stability because there have been various changes in this area. The history of using L1 in the target language (TL) classrooms

reveals changes periodically about how this practice is perceived (Auerbach, 1993). Several methodological shifts can be observed in English Language Teaching (ELT) until now. However, there has not been any evidence that indicates which method is the best for EFL teaching. While one group of educators and researchers support using L1, others reject using it in the EFL teaching and learning process. Hence, it is important to know the extent to which L1 should be used in the EFL class. Although many teachers and researchers support using L1 in EFL classes as it supports the teaching and learning process, some teachers assure that the excessive use of L1 in EFL classes may be disadvantageous for the students' linguistic and cognitive development (Atkinson, 1987; Butzkamm, 2003; Jadallah & Hasan, 2011; Littlewood, 2011; McMillan & Rivers, 2011; Scott, 2008; Vaezi & Mirzaei, 2007).

The Grammar Translation Method (GTM) is one of the methods that strongly support using L1 in EFL classes. It has been one of the most common teaching methods used in schools, especially for low-level students. Richards and Rodgers (2003) state that GTM was very popular from the 1980s to 1990s, and also the medium of instruction is L1 in EFL classes according to this method. In GTM, the main aim is to develop students' reading and writing skills through vocabulary items and translation. This method follows the bilingual approach because according to GTM, it is very crucial to use the mother tongue to make students understand every detail of the target language by translating it into the first language. Moreover, grammar is taught by giving the rules directly and let students just memorize the rules. Therefore, the main purposes of GTM are helping students enhance grammar through the rules and do the translation (Afzal, 2013; Atkinson, 1987; Schweers, 1999; Wharton, 2007).

Although there are teachers who support the monolingual teaching methods, many teachers still prefer to use GTM in schools nowadays because it is much more practical and time-saving for the teachers (Cook, 2001; Copland & Neokleous, 2010; Kayaoğlu, 2012; Kaymakamoğlu & Yıltanlılar, 2019; Macaro, 2001; Nation, 1989; Sali, 2014; Sarıçoban, 2010; Wharton, 2007).

When the popularity of another method, the Direct Method (DM) started rising, teachers started avoiding the use of L1 as a medium of instruction in the EFL classes (Sampson, 2011). Instead, they started to shift their focus on using TL to

make students be exposed to TL, not the mother tongue. Furthermore, the emphasis was shifted from writing skills to speaking skills. Researchers (Kellerman, 1995; Krashen, 1981; Philipson, 1992; Sipra, 2007) believe that EFL teachers should use the second language (L2) to make students exposed to the input of TL to enhance it. The main argument of this method was that if the students are exposed to L1 more than L2, it can cause some problems in their language learning process. Students may also have a fear of using L2 while speaking, so they prefer to use L1 to feel comfortable in the class (Bolitho, 1983; Erkan, 2019; Levine, 2003; Samadi, 2011; Sarıçoban, 2010; Tajgozari, 2017). In this case, teachers have an important role to motivate students to use L2 rather than L1. Atkinson (1987) argues that students are capable of thinking and speaking in English, but when it comes to speaking to their teachers, they use their L1. Students are mostly afraid of mispronouncing words or making grammatical mistakes while speaking. Therefore, they prefer to use their L1 rather than L2 or they prefer to be passive during class activities (Al-Hinai, 2011; Erkan, 2019; Samadi, 2011; Sarıçoban, 2010; Tajgozari, 2017). In these situations, the teacher should motivate students to feel comfortable while using TL according to DM.

In contrast to the GTM and DM, Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) is another teaching method and the supporters of the CLT method believe that L1 is the barrier to foreign language learning rather than a facilitator and they reject the use of L1 in EFL classrooms (Nazary, 2008). It is also stated that the extensive use of TL in a classroom can facilitate students' communication skills (Crichton, 2009). Students are forced to improve their language skills naturally through communications involved in classroom activities. According to this methodology, the use of L1 is minimized and students are encouraged to use L2. Freeman (2012) states that teachers should not only use TL during the communicative activities but also for giving instructions, clarifying difficult structures, or giving homework. The main aim of this method is to make students be able to use TL for communicative purposes (Genesee, 1987; Nunan, 1989; Pennycook, 1994; Power, 2003; Richards & Rodgers, 2001).

Some other methods, such as Suggestopedia, Community Language Learning, and the Silent Way support the limited use of L1 too. Some researchers (Auerbach, 1993; Macaro, 2001; Mitchell, 1988; Pacek, 2003; Stern, 1992) do not agree with the idea of the complete omission of L1 in L2 classes, and they believe that L1 does not have any negative effect on students' language skills when it is used only when it is needed. Researchers (Afzal, 2013; Auerbach 1993; Jadallah & Hasan, 2011; Macaro, 2001; Mitchell, 1988; Pacek, 2003; Stern, 1992) claim that it is important to use L1 as a facilitator in necessary situations. According to Cook (2001), teachers should be well-planned and careful about using L1 to make the lesson effective for the students. It is all up to the teacher and if the teacher brings the appropriate materials for the students' level, age, and background, the students will not need to use L1 unless there is a complex situation.

Perceptions about Using the Bilingual Approach

There have been several studies about using L1 in L2 classes to identify whether it is effective for the teaching and learning process or not. The bilingual approach is one of the approaches that are used by the teachers in EFL classroom settings (Afzal, 2013; Ahsan et al., 2016; Jadallah & Hasan, 2011; Kayaoğlu, 2012; Liu et al., 2004; Mahmutoğlu & Kıcır, 2013; Paker & Karaağaç, 2015; Rabani et al., 2014; Samadi, 2011; Wharton, 2007; Zacharias, 2003). Vygotsky (1962), Cummins, 1978; Cook (2001), Freeman (2011), Nation (2003), Atkinson (1987), Machaal (2012), and Tang (2002) are the main supporters of the bilingual approach. Vygotsky (1962) who is one of the earliest supporters of the bilingual approach claimed that "success in learning a foreign language is contingent on a certain degree of maturity in the native language" (p. 110). Teachers who support the bilingual approach, believe that using L1 is important to provide scaffolding for the students' learning process. Brown (2000) states that L1 should be used in English classrooms. Miles (2004) conducted a study at the University of Kent with first-year male students only. All the students were 18-19 years old and their L1 was Japanese. There were two different experiments in the study. The first one compared the process of three EFL classes which were MG 8, MG 9, MG 10 (with MG8 being the highest, and MG10 being the lowest class). Only one of the teachers could speak Japanese. All the classes studied English for the same amount of time. On the first placement test (KET test), all the scores were very low. Then, the teacher who could speak Japanese explained the things that were not very clear and clarified new vocabulary and

grammar. They showed more improvement in the second KET exam than in the other two classes. The other two teachers used the monolingual method because they were unable to speak Japanese. The first part of the experiment compared the process of three classes to reveal if the use of the L1 by the teacher affects students' learning. The second experiment focused on only MG 9. Each lesson, the teacher used L1 to teach new vocabulary, to clarify topics, to give instructions, and to check the comprehension of the students when it is necessary. After two weeks they took exams for each topic and the figures indicated possible support for the argument that L1 use in the classroom can facilitate the learning of an L2. Both of the experiments were supportive of the use of L1 in the classroom. Moreover, the study concluded that if L1 is used purposefully and systematically, it will play a constructive role in teaching and learning a foreign language (Miles, 2004).

There are some cases where teachers prefer to use L1 in their classes. De La Campa (2009) states that L1 should be used in the EFL classrooms, and its use promotes and eases EFL learning. One of these cases is teaching complex grammar. Comparing English grammar with the mother tongue's grammar can be very effective for students (Kaymakamoğlu & Yıltanlılar, 2019). It can be a more practical and easier way to teach complex grammar without confusing the students (Arifin, 2015). For example, while teaching the tenses, students can feel confused because in English there are sixteen tenses and in Turkish, they do not exist. Therefore, it is argued that it will be easier for the students if the teacher explains the new tenses in Turkish (L1). Another case is teaching new vocabulary items. Bouangeune's (2009) case study, which he carried out in China with both native and non-native English teachers and students with different English proficiency levels as well. The findings revealed that the majority of them except native English teachers support the idea of using L1 shows that using L1 (Chinese) in the class. Bouangeune's (2009) study revealed that teaching vocabulary through translation is very important to prevent misunderstanding and to convey the meaning easily. Cook (2001) supports the bilingual approach because he claims that students can learn grammar and vocabulary superior and faster by using L1.

In addition to grammatical and vocabulary issues, the bilingual approach is used for instructional purposes. Using L1 improves the metalinguistic awareness of the students, and in certain cases, it supports students' comprehension abilities and

language skills (Kaymakamoğlu & Yıltanlılar, 2019; Sali, 2014; Sarıçoban, 2010; Tang, 2002; Thorne, 2009). Especially the lower-levels who have little or no knowledge of TL can identify the differences between their mother tongue and the TL and they can identify the similar utterances with L1 (Cole, 1998). The bilingual approach provides the gaining of linguistic knowledge and conceptional development that are related to each other. According to Cook (2001), learning a second language has a similar process with enhancing L1. Therefore, it is vital to make students be exposed to TL to acquire it effectively. Ross (2000) maintains that translation is very important for social skills and effective communication. Students can interlink two languages and discover the similarities between them. Freeman (2000) states that students feel safe when their L1 is allowed in the classroom environment and also L1 has a role like a bridge to reach L2 knowledge and it is practical for the teachers and students. It creates a comfortable environment to have a better interaction between teachers and students, and also, students do not feel anxious or nervous during the activities.

According to a recent study, teachers prefer to use L1 for classroom management purposes (Sali, 2014). For example, when the teacher wants to get students' attention to the lesson and to make the students quiet, L1 works better than using L2 (Kim & Petraki, 2009; Sali, 2014). Findings indicate that under certain circumstances, using L1 provides autonomy to the teacher to get students' attention and manage the class effectively. Han and Park (2017) conducted a study on 20 graduate and undergraduate public university students and they had a variety of cultural and linguistic backgrounds. English was their common language and Korean was the target language to learn. Participants were divided into two groups. The first group was the monolingual teaching method class and the other group was the bilingual teaching method class. Both groups were provided with the same materials. The survey results showed that the students taught by bilingual methods were actively involved in the activities and interacted with each other and with the teacher. It revealed that using the bilingual approach creates a comfortable environment for the students to be active in the activities, and the bilingual approach is practical for teaching complex grammar and new vocabulary items through translation. It is also very effective for classroom management to get over the managerial problems in the classroom (Sali, 2014).

Even though the bilingual approach is supported in various EFL settings, using L1 only when it is required is very important according to some researchers (Butzkamm, 2003; Çelik & Aydın, 2018; Jadallah & Hasan, 2011) because excessive use of L1 may be problematic for the students' achievement in the language learning progress. Jadallah and Hasan (2011) conducted a study on EFL teachers and students of Messina University in Italy. The results stated that L1 should be only used in a purposive manner when it is needed to make the lesson more comprehensible for the students. House (1997) stated that there are certain times and circumstances that the usage of L1 is a more practical and effective way to interact with the students to make them comprehend the difficult structures. Butzkamm (2003) also stated that "the mother tongue generally regarded as being an evasive manner which is to be used only in emergencies" (p. 29).

Perceptions about Using the Monolingual Approach (English Only Policy)

There is one common criticism against using L1 in L2 classes that are using L1 as input is disadvantageous for the learners' productivity on TL (Turnbull & Arnett, 2002). Kellerman (1995) and Krashen (1981) support the idea that the monolingual approach is the only key to the success of teaching English. The monolingual approach is also called "English only policy". Several English teaching methods and approaches have adopted the monolingual approach, such as the DM, audio-lingual method, task-based, and CLT (Howatt, 1984). According to Howatt (1984), L1 should be abandoned in EFL classrooms. Krashen's (1981) theory indicates that while learning a foreign language, learners follow the same process as they do when they acquire their mother tongue. L1 is like a source of mistakes in learners' L2 performance. Second language acquisition is similar to first language acquisition and it improves over time with listening, it is not necessary to teach grammatical rules (Krashen, 1981). Furthermore, in contrast to Freeman's approach which is about using L1 is very important, Auerbach (1993) asserts that students will be more successful in developing the skills of TL when they are allowed to be exposed to it more. It can also help students to think in L2, otherwise, they do not have any chance to develop their thinking abilities (Auerbach, 1993; Brown, 2001;

Scott, 2008). In L2, it is important to get the input to be able to produce output as well. Teachers should emphasize speaking and listening skills in the class to improve students' abilities to enhance the language effectively (Auerbach, 1993; Sharma, 2006; Sipra, 2007; Willis, 1981). If they understand what they hear, they will speak in that language. For example, if the teacher prefers to speak in L1, students feel comfortable to use L1 as well instead of using TL.

According to Phillipson (1992), the following five principles explain the reasons why it is crucial to use only English in EFL classrooms;

- a. English is taught best monolingually.
- b. The ideal teacher of English is a native speaker.
- c. The earlier English is taught the better result.
- d. The more English is taught, the better the result.
- e. If the other languages are used too much, standards of English will drop (p.185).

Furthermore, Sipra (2007) states that teachers have big responsibilities about bringing materials into the class which is appropriate to students' level, age, and educational background. By doing this, students can easily comprehend the given tasks and they do not need any interference of their mother tongue. Sipra (2007) supports the idea that teachers should use English and motivate their students to speak in English to become better speakers of TL. It is important to be exposed to the TL to use it effectively. At this point, teachers have crucial roles in students' use of the TL. Teachers should bring appropriate materials and prepare activities according to students' age, and proficiency levels (Brown, 2000; Littlewood, 1981; Stern, 1992).

Teachers' role here is to motivate and force students to practice the TL. For example, if an English teacher always uses L1 during the lesson, this will also lead students to use their L1 rather than L2. By doing this, students do not have a chance to use L2 to practice and improve their skills in L2. If teachers do not prefer to use L2 and the students do not be exposed to L2 in the class, it cannot be called as foreign language teaching (Krashen, 1981; Phillipson, 1992; Sipra, 2007). Therefore, teachers need to use L2 and motivate students to use L2 to make them improve their skills.

Although some researches support the monolingual approach, they claim that teachers should take into consideration the students' mother tongue, culture, and identity as well. As Cook (2001) mentioned, there is no evidence that the monolingual approach is the best one because in some cases it can demotivate students when they are forced to not use their mother tongue. The excessive use of TL and no permission for using the mother tongue can make students feel uncomfortable and nervous in the class. Therefore, teachers should be very careful while managing how to use "English only policy" (Huang, 2009; Littlewood, 1981, Sipra, 2007). If there is a necessary situation, using L1 can be helpful for both the teacher and the students. Atkinson (1987) supports using the mother tongue in English classes, notably in monolingual classes. The mother tongue can be used as a facilitator when it is necessary to make the task meaningful. Using only English can also cause interaction problems between teachers and students (Mahmutoğlu & Kıcır, 2013).

EFL Teachers' Perceptions of Using L1

EFL teachers are expected to help students to improve their English language skills and increase their proficiency levels in English. Using the mother tongue of the students is one of the arguments that differ from teacher to teacher. Various studies were conducted on EFL teachers in different concepts to investigate their perceptions about using L1 (e.g. Ahsan et al., 2016; Bensen & Cavusoğlu, 2013; Cudi et al., 2014; Çelik & Aydın, 2018; Debreli, 2016; Kayaoğlu, 2012; Kaymakamoğlu & Yıltanlılar, 2019; Mahmutoğlu & Kıcır, 2013; Rabani et al., 2014; Sali, 2014; Şahin & Şahin, 2019; Yenice, 2018). According to these studies, teachers who prefer to use L1 stated several reasons why they preferred to use L1 in their classes. Teachers have different perceptions about when, for what purposes and to what extent they prefer to use L1. Although there are many teachers support using L1 in their classes, some others believe that it should be used when it is necessary. For example, Mahmutoğlu and Kıcır (2013) conducted a study at the University of Lefke, English Preparatory School with the EFL teachers and intermediate and upper-intermediate students to reveal their perceptions about using L1 (Turkish) in the class. on the EFL. In the study, the teacher participants underlined that L1 should be the last option to use and

it should be used when it is necessary (Mahmutoğlu & Kıcır, 2013). Çelik and Aydın's (2018) study also revealed that overusing L1 limits the input that is required for students because they need to have input in TL to enhance it. It is important to use L1 when it is required to prevent misunderstanding. There are three main functions of L1 in EFL classes according to the teachers which are academic, managerial, and social/cultural functions.

First, teachers prefer to use L1 for academic purposes. For example, they use L1 for explaining the aspects of L2, translating words and sentences to make the meaning clear and checking comprehension (Sali, 2014). The majority of the teachers stated that it is important to use L1 while teaching complex grammar structures and new vocabulary items because it is very practical and time-saving. In this study, the teachers' primary reason for using L1 is to provide better learner comprehension and to help them to be able to complete the given tasks successfully. Additionally, according to the study of Ahsan et al., (2016), the teacher participants believe that L1 is vital as it saves time and makes L2 teaching and learning process easier. Apart from teaching vocabulary and grammar, they use L1 to correct the mistakes of the students' written work. Teachers in this study show positive perceptions towards L1 because they think it is a good facilitator in language teaching and learning. Bensen and Çavuşoğlu (2013) conducted a study on four English teachers two of whom were bilinguals of English and Cypriot Turkish to reveal their acts about code-switching. The researchers revealed that participants including the native speakers of English code-switched during teaching because it was the shortest and easiest way to teach a topic. They code-switched to move from one topic to another, to clarify the meaning for the students while teaching grammar points, to clarify the meaning by repeating exact words, to clarify students' misunderstanding by using code-switching (Bensen & Çavuşoğlu, 2013). Şahin and Şahin (2019) also conducted a study on thirty-four English teachers from both state and private primary and secondary schools in Malatya. Most of the teachers in this study had positive attitudes towards using L1 in necessary situations. They preferred to use L1 to teach complex grammar points and to help students when they had difficulties. Therefore, comparing English grammar with the mother tongue's grammar can be very effective for the students' learning process. However, they claimed that it was very important to use TL during speaking and listening activities. Tajgozari (2017) found out that, almost all the teachers believe that L1 must be used reasonably and most of them support using L1 while teaching grammar points. Afzal (2013) conducted a study on intermediate level students and teachers in EFL reading classes. The majority of the teachers in Afzal's (2013) study use L1 to translate some words and to clarify the complex ideas. In this manner, L1 is like a facilitator and supporter in the EFL learning process. Rabani et al. (2014) researched on 30 high school EFL teachers in the Persian context, and the results of this study revealed that teachers support L1 as it enhances the reading comprehension of the students. Another study by Ahsan et al. (2016) conducted on both students and teachers in the Urdu context, revealed that the teachers mostly wanted to use L1 in their classes because it was a facilitator, especially for low-level students who have a very little and limited background of the target language. Teachers in this study also believed that L1 was very important for L2 pedagogy because it was more practical, time-saving and it eased the teaching and learning process. The results revealed that L1 is vital while teaching difficult concepts of TL.

Kayaoğlu's (2012) study on 44 English teachers in the School of Foreign Languages at Karadeniz Technical University indicates that all of the teachers are strict about using TL in speaking and listening courses because it is important to be exposed to the language. On the other hand, all of them use L1 to teach grammar and to clarify the topics. Üstünel and Seedhouse (2005) conducted a study in Muğla and they came up with the result that the teachers use L1 when they explain new grammatical points. In this study, teachers showed their willingness to use L1. Sarıçoban (2010) researched on preparatory class students and teachers at Ufuk University about using the first language in EFL classes. He found out that teachers need to use L1 in some situations when it is required. L1 is seen as a good facilitator in learning a foreign language by the teachers in many contexts. Debreli's (2016) study on non-native English teachers at English Preparatory Schools of four universities in northern Cyprus revealed that all of the teachers used L1 in L2 classrooms. They mostly preferred to use L1 to give instructions, to explain difficult topics and to define new vocabulary items for the students to make the meaning clear to them. However, all of them agreed on the minimum use of L1 with higher proficiency level students (Debreli, 2016).

Secondly, teachers use L1 for managerial issues such as; giving instructions, managing discipline and drawing the students' attention to the lesson. For instance, when there is serious misbehavior in the classroom, the use of L1 by the teacher might be required to strengthen the effect of the message conveyed (Cameron, 2001). Students might take the warning more seriously when it is given in their mother tongue. L1 is also a tool to get students' attention when they seem out of the task. Thorne (2009) found out that L1 comes to class naturally and it should be maintained not to lose students' attention and willingness to be active in the lesson. Another example can be given for drawing attention with Sali's (2014) study on secondary school teachers in the Turkish context, and according to the results of the study, teachers use Turkish which is the familiar linguistic and social code for the students to get their attention easily. Kaymakamoğlu and Yıltanlılar (2019) conducted a study on five non-native English preparatory school teachers at Lefke European University to investigate their perceptions about using L1. The results investigated that they did not reject using L1 except one of them, and they mostly preferred to use L1 to make instructions clearer and more understandable. In Macaro's (2001) study, the predominant reason of the teachers for using L1 in secondary school was giving the procedural instructions. Erkan's (2019) study revealed that teachers use L1 for giving complex instructions and feedback. Cudi et al.'s (2014) study on six freshmen and four teacher educators from the ELT department of a state University revealed teachers preferred to use L1 when it was necessary, especially to give clear instructions. Yenice (2018) researched on elementary school students and EFL teachers from different public schools and different parts of Turkey. In this study, teachers used Turkish to some extent in their English classes. It was seen that L1 mostly used for instructional reasons to make the meaning clear by doing a translation.

Finally, EFL teachers use L1 for social and cultural functions. For example, teachers use shared cultural expressions such as idioms and jokes for rapport construction and to praise their students (Sali, 2014). The results of Paker and Karaağaç's (2015) study revealed that teachers mostly use L1 for making jokes, showing concern to the students, showing empathy, explaining difficult concepts, and grammar rules. Teachers prefer to use L1 to bring fun to the classroom. For example, in Erkan's (2019) study, it is revealed that teachers use L1 when they want

to make jokes because using L1 increases students' self-confidence. Students may enjoy a joke told in their mother tongue more, so this will create a less stressed learning environment. All of the participants but one in Erkan's (2019) study shared the same idea about the indispensability of the use of L1. In Kayaoğlu's (2012) study, 59% of the teacher participants stated that they use L1 to increase students' motivation. Some teachers in this study also claimed that students feel more comfortable and their anxiety levels decrease when the teachers use L1. They believed that it is important to use L1 for creating a more relaxing learning environment for the students. According to Ahsan et al.'s (2016) study, teachers use L1 to converse with the students during the breaks to build a good relationship with the students to make them feel comfortable to ask whenever they have any problem. In Sali's (2014) study, it is revealed that teachers use L1 in some situations where they would like to praise their students to create a more motivational and less threatening classroom atmosphere. The common thought of the teacher participants in this study is all of the teachers use L1 when there is a need. In Cudi et al.'s (2014) study, students stated that TL should be used, but they preferred to use L1 as well for better understanding and expressing themselves.

Advantages and Disadvantages of Using L1 in EFL Classrooms

Being exposed to L1 as input has both advantages and disadvantages as Cook (2001) mentioned. There are several advantages of using L1 in EFL classes. According to House (1997), there are certain times and situations that the use of L1 is the most effective and practical way to ease the difficult structures. Wharton (2007) describes L1 as a "time-saving device". Using L1 is a very practical and time-saving tool for teachers to teach complex grammar structures and new vocabulary items. It is easier to translate them into L1 and make them more comprehensible for the learners. Students' proficiency level may not be efficient to get the meaning, so they become feel nervous as they do not understand anything. Thus, using L1 is very important to increase the metalinguistic awareness and comprehension of the students. L1 is like a scaffolding tool to prevent meaning loss and confusion. Çelik and Aydın (2018) stated three main advantages of using L1. First, L1 is the facilitator in EFL class especially for the lower-levels because it supports students by

explaining the meanings of the words and grammar structures. L1 is instrumental, and saves time, simplifies the complex content, and prevents misunderstanding. It is useful for organizing class, conveying, and clarifying meaning, and encouraging learning cooperatively (Çelik & Aydın, 2018). Second, L1 is thought of as a source of confidence and success as well (Çelik & Aydın, 2018). L1 makes students feel relaxed in the class, so they feel comfortable being active during class activities. When they get the meaning in their mother tongue, they can understand the topic better and they can be more successful. Third, by using the students' L1 their cognitive awareness between L1 and L2 will raise, and they can make a comparison between two languages (Çelik & Aydın, 2018).

Al-Hinai (2011) highlights four main advantages of using L1 by summarizing the collected ideas from his study:

- 1. It reduces learner anxiety and creates a more relaxing and comfortable environment
- 2. It brings students' cultural background into the class
- 3. It facilitates checking students' comprehension and giving instructions
- 4. It helps to explain vocabulary and grammar items (p.1)

Additionally, Butzkamm (2003) summarized other advantages. First of all, the use of L1 gives a sense of security and decreases the stress level of the students. It also saves students from a feeling of frustration. Moreover, using L1 means more comprehensible input and faster acquisition because teachers use richer and more authentic texts with L1 techniques. L1 can be beneficial to maintain a conversation in the classroom (Butzkamm, 2003). Students can express themselves better in their mother tongue. When they are incapable of understanding the meaning, L1 is important to clarify the meaning.

The use of L1 can be disadvantageous in certain cases, especially when it is overused. If teachers prefer to use L1 more than English in the classroom, students might feel dependent on their L1. Atkinson (1987) stated that the teacher and the students begin to feel that they do not understand any item unless it is translated. If the teacher prefers to use L1, the students also prefer to speak in L1 when they want to ask something to the teacher even though they are capable of speaking in TL.

According to Çelik and Aydın (2018), there are three main disadvantages of excessive use of L1. The first one is hindering the desired fluency. Students do not improve their speaking skills in TL if they do not have a chance to practice is more to speak it fluently. Successful acquisition-like learning is only possible by keeping the EFL separate from the L1, but it is not possible in the classes that the teachers prefer to use L1 most of the time. The second one is that learners' productivity and awareness may be automatically reduced (Çelik & Aydın, 2018). If the students are not exposed to input in TL, they may not be able to produce output as speaking and writing. Excessive use of L1 may hinder the opportunity to hear EFL leading unable to develop speaking strategies. The third one is that the excessive use of L1 keeps learners' attraction away from learning English. A child being monolingual and acquiring their L1 does not need another prior language, so L1 cannot be included as a parallel language in the EFL course. The use of L1 reduces the effectiveness and richness of the language learning environment by preventing interaction and metalinguistic competence.

As the review of the literature here has shown, the use of L1 in the EFL classrooms is still a controversial issue among educators. To this end, the current study will focus on the case of the secondary school EFL teachers in the context of Northern Cyprus to investigate their L1 use in their classrooms. The next chapter will provide an overview of the methodology used to collect and analyze data for this purpose.

CHAPTER III

Methodology

This chapter gives information about the research methodology used to consolidate the various arguments and assumptions related to the subject of the present study. It explains the research design, participants, data collection instrument, data analysis, and ethical procedures that were followed during the study.

Research Design

The present study was carried out through a quantitative approach. As this study was quantitative, it aimed to observe the perceptions of teachers about using Turkish (L1) in English as a foreign language (EFL) classes. The research was designed as a survey that encompassed the use of a scientific sampling method with a designed questionnaire to measure a given population's perceptions and beliefs about the main argument of the study through the use of statistical methods (Sukamolson, 2007). Aliaga and Gunderson (2002) also described quantitative research methods as the explanation of the issue or phenomenon through gathering data in numerical form.

As it was mentioned in Chapter I, the following research questions were considered in the study:

- What are the perceptions of EFL teachers in Northern Cyprus about using Turkish (L1) in English language classes at the secondary level?
 - What are the main purposes of EFL teachers for using L1?
- Are there any significant differences between the participants' perceptions based on:
 - o age?
 - o gender?
 - o first language?
 - o level of education?
 - o place of education?
 - o faculty of education?

- o years of teaching experience?
- o and the grades they teach?
- In what cases do EFL teachers prefer to use L1?
 - o To what extent do EFL teachers feel the need to use L1?
 - Are there any differences in the frequency of L1 use based on:
 - age?
 - gender?
 - first language?
 - level of education?
 - place of education?
 - faculty of education?
 - years of teaching experience?
 - and the grades they teach?

Participants and Setting

The participants in this study were 170 voluntary EFL teachers who were actively working at the secondary schools in the 2019-2020 academic year. The study was conducted at the state secondary schools, including colleges and vocational schools, in northern Cyprus. Only state secondary schools were selected as the setting of the current study because the researcher had observed the EFL teachers at a state secondary school and her preliminary observations revealed several disadvantages of teachers' L1 use in the EFL classes. In addition, although code-switching in adult EFL classrooms have been investigated (Bensen & Çavuşoğlu, 2013) in this context, the secondary school state school setting has not been researched.

There are 335 teachers in total at the secondary level in state schools in northern Cyprus and the researcher targeted to collect the data from the whole population by going to all the relevant schools. Table 1 shows the distribution of the participants' demographic information.

Table 1.

Demographic Information of the Participants

Demographic Variable		Frequency	Percent
Age	Younger teachers	24	14.1
	Middle-Aged Teachers	131	77.1
	Older Teachers	15	8.8
Gender	Male	61	35.9
	Female	109	64.1
First language	Turkish	160	94.1
	English	10	5.9
Level of education	BA	109	64.1
	MA	61	35.9
Place of graduation	Cyprus	65	38.2
	Turkey	64	37.6
	Other	41	24.1
Faculty of graduation	Faculty of education	128	75.3
	Faculty of Arts and Sciences	27	15.9
	Other	15	8.8
Years of teaching experience	1-10	28	16.5
	11-15	94	55.3
	16 or more	48	28.2
Grade taught	Younger students	53	31.2
	Older students	76	44.7
	All groups	41	24.1

Data Collection Instrument

For this study, a researcher-made questionnaire was used as a data collection instrument. The teachers were provided with the questionnaire to evaluate their perceptions about using L1 in English language classes.

The first version of the questionnaire included three parts. There were eight demographic questions in Part 1, and the statements were first pooled into 60 items in Part 2 and Part 3. The questionnaire was developed by the researcher, but some statements were adapted from other researchers' (Elmetwally, 2012; Kayaoğlu, 2012) studies. Several statements were adapted from Elmetwally's (2012) questionnaire, prepared for the Arabic-speaking EFL context, by making necessary modifications and adaptations by the researcher according to the Turkish context (see Table 2). Kayaoğlu's (2012) questionnaire was also used to add more statements into the questionnaire which are presented in Table 3.

Later, items which were double-barreled in the original version of the questionnaire were separated into two different items. For example, "I prefer to use Turkish for both listening and reading comprehension" item was separated as two items because it was double-barreled. "Using L1 reduces barriers to language learning and develops rapport between students and teachers" item was also double-barreled. Thus, it was separated into two items. Some statements were omitted. For instance, "when I use English to explain complex points, I lose lots of time. Therefore, I prefer to use Turkish" item was omitted because it was similar to "using Turkish is time-saving for me" item. In omitting items, in most cases, the shorter item was preferred to prevent any misunderstandings but at the same time making sure that the items were identical content-wise. "I force my students to speak in English rather than Turkish" item was omitted because it was related to the students, not teachers.

Moreover, some items in Part 2 were moved to Part 3 because they were not inquiring about agreement; they were related to the frequency of using codeswitching and L1 use. For example, "I use Turkish while giving instructions to make the meaning clear" item was originally in Part 2 but it was not an agreement statement. Therefore, it was moved to Part 3.

Table 2.

The Statements Modified and Adapted from Elmetwally's (2012) Questionnaire

Statements

- 5. I think using Turkish is practical while teaching grammar
- 6. I think using Turkish is more practical while teaching new vocabulary
- 9. I think talking about daily life in Turkish makes students relaxed
- 10. I think using Turkish reduces barriers to language learning
- 12. Students do not understand anything in English, so I think it is better to speak in Turkish while teaching
- 13. Turkish should be used in the classroom so that students can make connections between two languages
- 14. I support using Turkish more than English in the classroom
- 15. I think Turkish should be used as a facilitator when teaching English
- 20. It is important to use Turkish in order to form closer relationships with the students
- 21. I think using Turkish makes students feel comfortable to ask me whenever they have questions
- 25. It is important to give instructions in Turkish to make sure that students are clear about the instructions
- 28. I give Turkish equivalents of the unknown words
- 31. I compare English grammar with Turkish grammar while teaching new grammatical structures.
- 32. I speak in Turkish rather than English during the class activities
- 33. I use Turkish to create a comfortable environment for students
- 43. I ask students to use bilingual dictionaries in class
- 45. I speak in Turkish while students do pair/group work
- 51. I support the "English only" policy in the classroom
- 55. I use Turkish to teach complex grammar structures

Table 3.

The Statements Adapted from Kayaoğlu's (2012) Questionnaire

Statements

- 4. I think using Turkish is time-saving in the classroom
- 27. I use Turkish at the warm up stage to remind students what we have covered at the previous lesson
- 29. I translate the reading passages into Turkish
- 30. I use Turkish to summarize the topics that we have already covered
- 41. I explain the gist of listening passages in Turkish.

Finally, the prepared questionnaire was sent to three instructors from Near East University (NEU) English Language Teaching (ELT) department to get feedback about the content and the construct validity of the questionnaire. As a result of this review, "I use Turkish to teach complex grammar structures because students can understand better with Turkish" item was deleted because it was very similar to "I think using Turkish is more practical while teaching grammar" item. Moreover, "reading passages" in the item "It is important to translate reading passages into Turkish to make students understand each detail" changed into "a reading passage" to provide parallelism with the next item "By explaining the gist of a listening passage into Turkish, students can easily understand what it is about."

The final version of the questionnaire (see Appendix A), includes three parts; the first part includes eight demographic questions to get teachers' information about their age, gender, first language, level of education, place of graduation, faculty of graduation, years of teaching experience, and the grades they teach. Each of these variables was thought to be relevant to their perceptions. In the second part, it aims to investigate the perceptions of the teachers on using L1 (Turkish) in EFL classes. This part includes 25 statements, and the teacher participants are asked to indicate their agreement with the given statements on a five-point Likert-scale from 1 to 5 (Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral, Agree, Strongly Agree). The statements in this part focused on teachers' attitudes towards the use of L1 concerning the purposes

for which they preferred to use L1. The third part of the questionnaire contains 30 statements and for each statement, the respondents were asked to indicate how often they used L1 in certain cases. Their responses were coded using a five-point Likert-scale from 1 to 5 of frequency (Never, Seldom, Sometimes, Often, Always).

Data Collection Procedures

First of all, the first version of the questionnaire was piloted with a small group of teachers to check if there were any ambiguous and unclear statements and to check the questionnaire in terms of validity and reliability. Comments on the design and length of the questionnaire were also required. All of the participants gave positive feedback on the items and the length of the questionnaire. Therefore, no changes were required in the questionnaire after the pilot study. The reliability of the piloted version was calculated using Cronbach Alpha, which showed that the alpha score was 0.953. This indicates that the piloted version of the questionnaire was highly reliable (Tavakol & Dennick, 2011).

The researcher then applied to the Ethical Committee of Near East University and the Ministry of Education separately to get the necessary permissions to initiate the research. After the permissions were granted (see Appendix B and Appendix C), the questionnaires were distributed to the participants by visiting the schools. A total of 130 copies of the questionnaires were distributed by hand to the EFL teachers in 14 secondary schools but only 85 were filled and returned to the researcher. Then, due to the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Related Coronavirus (SARS-CoV2) pandemic, which broke out during the time of the data collection, the same questionnaire was prepared as an online survey by using Free Online Surveys (FOS) website and this was shared with the EFL teachers via several social media sites. Each participant was also asked to share it with their colleagues from their schools. In total 85 teachers filled the online survey. Therefore, the total number of questionnaires filled in by the participants was 170 at the end of the data collection, which was finalized on the 25th of April 2020. Finally, the gathered data were put onto the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) to analyze and get the result of the study.

Reliability and Validity

Firstly, as explained earlier, the questionnaire was sent to three instructors in the ELT department at NEU and then the study was piloted with ten secondary level English teachers to check the face validity, content validity, and construct validity of the questionnaire. Changes were made as necessary based on the feedback received.

Reliability relates to the consistency of a measure, so it is very important to measure if the questionnaire is reliable. After piloting the questionnaire, the reliability of the study was calculated by using Cronbach Alpha which showed the alpha score as 0.953. The study, then, was conducted on 170 teachers, and the reliability coefficient was 0.975 (see Table 4) of the final version of the collected data, which shows that the data collected in the mail study was highly reliable too.

Table 4.

Reliability Statistics for the Questionnaire

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,975	55

Data Analysis

Results of the data of the current study were analyzed using the Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) program. The data were analyzed quantitatively using descriptive statistics, including frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviation of the participants' responses to the statements. Inferential statistics, namely t-tests and ANOVA were also used to compare groups of participants to see if there were significant differences among them (Mishra et al., 2019).

This chapter focused on the methodology of the study by providing explanations about the participants, procedures in the data collection, and analysis of the study. In the next chapter, the findings of the statistical analysis will be presented.

CHAPTER IV

Findings and Discussions

In this chapter, the findings of the gathered data from the questionnaires are presented and discussed concerning the current literature on the teachers' perceptions about using L1 in EFL classrooms. The chapter also provides insights into the significant differences that have emerged throughout the data analysis. The results were analyzed according to the perceptions of EFL teachers about using L1, main purposes of using L1, to what extent the teachers feel the need of L1, and significant differences and the differences in the frequency of using L1 based on age, gender, first language, level of education, place of graduation, faculty of graduation, years of teaching experience and grade taught. In the following sections, each of these issues will be discussed about the findings of the study.

Perceptions of EFL Teachers in North Cyprus about Using L1

The analysis of the data has shown that most of the EFL teachers in state secondary schools had negative perceptions of using L1 in the classroom. The majority of the teachers disagreed with using L1 more than English in the classroom. There were no mean scores for any of the items above 3.5 which means that the teachers did not agree or strongly agree with the given items, showing that they do not have a positive attitude towards using L1 in the classroom. The highest mean score was 3.47 which means that they were sort of neutral about using L1 in most of the statements (see Table 5). On average, they were not sure whether using L1 is time-saving, practical, and a facilitator for them in the classroom or not. This means that they do not agree using L1 in the classroom but when there is a necessary situation, they may use it. In Sarıçoban's study (2010), most of the teachers did not prefer to use L1 more than L2 in the classroom, and they preferred to use L1 in necessary situations, especially, while teaching a complex grammar structure. This shows that they do not support using a bilingual approach during the whole lesson, but they use it when students do not have enough vocabulary knowledge and high proficiency level in TL to get the meaning.

Table 5.

EFL Teachers' Perceptions about Using L1

					Std.
Statements	N	Min.	Max.	Mean	Deviation
I think using Turkish is practical while teaching grammar.	170	1.00	5.00	3.34	.962
I think Turkish provides scaffolding for me to help the students when they	170	1.00	5.00	3.34	.793
have problems.					
It is important to make students understand their mistakes, so I use Turkish to	170	1.00	5.00	3.32	.773
explain them their mistakes.					
I think using Turkish makes students feel comfortable to ask me whenever	170	1.00	5.00	3.31	.893
they have questions.					
I think making jokes in Turkish creates a friendly environment in the class.	170	1.00	5.00	3.22	.849
I prefer using Turkish to warn students because they take it more seriously	170	1.00	5.00	3.20	.928
when I use Turkish.					
I think Turkish should be used as a facilitator when teaching English.	170	1.00	5.00	3.18	.799
I think using Turkish is more practical while teaching new vocabulary.	170	1.00	5.00	3.17	.956
I think using Turkish is time-saving in the classroom.	170	1.00	5.00	3.17	.956
I think that using bilingual education is better than using only the target	170	1.00	5.00	3.13	.903
language (English) while teaching.					
I think talking about daily life in Turkish makes students relaxed.	170	1.00	5.00	3.11	.862
Turkish should be used in the classroom so that students can make	170	1.00	5.00	3.09	.823
connections between two languages.					
It is important to give instructions in Turkish to make sure that students are	170	1.00	5.00	3.01	1.01
clear about the instructions.					
I think using Turkish to summarize the lesson is better to make it more	170	1.00	5.00	2.99	.932
comprehensible for the students.					
I think using Turkish reduces barriers to language learning.	170	1.00	5.00	2.99	.893
It is important to use Turkish to get the students' attention when they get	170	1.00	5.00	2.93	.898
bored.					
I think that using Turkish in English language classrooms can help students to	170	1.00	5.00	2.79	.960
do better in the exams.					
Students do not understand anything in English, so I think it is better to speak	170	1.00	5.00	2.77	1.01
in Turkish while teaching.					
By explaining the gist of a listening passage into Turkish, students can easily	170	1.00	5.00	2.64	.987
understand what it is about.					
It is important to use Turkish in order to form closer relationships with the	170	1.00	5.00	2.61	.924
students.					
I prefer using Turkish because my students do not listen to me when I use	170	1.00	5.00	2.57	.977
English all the time.					
I prefer using Turkish because my students find me strict if I always speak in	170	1.00	5.00	2.53	.955
English.					
It is important to translate a reading passage into Turkish to make students	170	1.00	5.00	2.42	1.01
understand each detail.					
I support using Turkish more than English in the classroom.	170	1.00	4.00	2.04	.794
It is important to greet students in Turkish to make them feel comfortable	170	1.00	4.00	1.75	.686
before starting the lesson.					

The Main Purposes of Using L1

The analysis of the third part of the questionnaire showed that teachers did not often prefer to use L1 in the classroom. The highest mean score in this section was 3.42 which means that most of the teachers prefer to use L1 'sometimes', not 'often' (see Table 6). The teachers most frequently preferred to clarify the meaning by using visuals, gestures, and basic words in English rather than using L1. It can be said that they try to make the meaning clear without using L1 first, but when the students are not able to get the meaning, they feel the need for L1 use. They sometimes prefer to use L1 in certain cases as can be seen from Table 6. They most frequently prefer to use L1 to deal with the problems in the classroom when they feel the need of using L1 to help students get over the problem and to clarify the meaning of the given instruction, grammatical structure, or new vocabulary items. This means that most of them do not always prefer to use L1, but they sometimes use it when there is a necessary situation in which they need to make the meaning clear for the students. Teachers prefer to use L1 because their students may not have enough vocabulary knowledge in the target language, and they facilitate the students' learning by using L1. Kayaoğlu's (2012) study was indicated that the teachers sometimes used Turkish to clarify the meaning. Mahmutoğlu and Kıcır (2013) also found that participants believed that the mother tongue should be sometimes used in EFL classrooms. Teachers stated that they sometimes used L1 to explain grammar concepts and new vocabulary. The results showed that teachers like to use L1 for dealing with the problems in the classroom. This means that they know that their students take warnings more seriously and they understand the warnings better when told in Turkish. They also prefer to use L1 to clarify meanings for the students to make them understand each detail. Another purpose of using L1 is to motivate students. If there is a comfortable teaching and learning environment in the classroom, students may feel more relaxed to be active during the lesson. Mugla and Seedhouse (2005) also came up with the fact that teachers made use of L1 when they want to explain complex grammatical points.

Table 6 reveals that the teachers less frequently prefer to use Turkish for humor to create a more comfortable teaching and learning environment for their students when they realize that the students look anxious and uncomfortable about the topic.

Table 6.

EFL Teachers' Perceptions about the Frequency of Using L1

Statements	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
I use gestures, mimes or visuals to clarify the meaning rather than using	170	1.00	5.00	3.42	1.00
Turkish.					
I use Turkish to deal with discipline problems in the classroom.	170	1.00	5.00	3.39	.950
I speak in Turkish when I talk about something unrelated with the lesson	170	1.00	5.00	3.20	.978
in the class.					
I give Turkish equivalents of the unknown words.	170	1.00	5.00	3.15	.993
When students have problems, I help them by speaking in Turkish.	170	1.00	5.00	3.14	.927
I first give the instructions in English and then in Turkish.	170	1.00	5.00	3.14	1.11
I use Turkish to teach complex grammar structures.	170	1.00	5.00	3.11	.971
I use Turkish to motivate my students when they get bored.	170	1.00	5.00	2.93	.930
I can easily get students' attention by using Turkish.	170	1.00	5.00	2.85	.888
I compare English grammar with Turkish grammar while teaching new	170	1.00	5.00	2.83	.921
grammatical structures.					
Students do not understand anything in English, so I use Turkish in the	170	1.00	5.00	2.83	.901
classroom.					
I explain homework tasks in Turkish.	170	1.00	5.00	2.80	1.00
I use Turkish to correct students' mistakes.	170	1.00	4.00	2.77	.775
I use Turkish to make jokes.	170	1.00	5.00	2.74	.884
I use Turkish to create a comfortable environment for students.	170	1.00	4.00	2.72	.797
When students ask me something in Turkish, I reply to them in Turkish	170	1.00	5.00	2.71	.969
as well.					
I use Turkish to give feedback to students.	170	1.00	5.00	2.63	.888
I support the 'English only' policy in the classroom.	170	1.00	5.00	2.60	1.35
I use Turkish to summarize the topics that we have already covered.	170	1.00	5.00	2.60	.975
I ask students to use bilingual dictionaries in class.	170	1.00	5.00	2.44	1.09
I speak in Turkish rather than English during the class activities.	170	1.00	4.00	2.36	.854
I speak in Turkish while students do pair/group work.	170	1.00	4.00	2.34	.771
I use Turkish to tell stories related to the topic.	170	1.00	5.00	2.32	.958
I ask my students to translate the reading texts into Turkish.	170	1.00	5.00	2.31	1.06
I explain the gist of listening passages in Turkish.	170	1.00	4.00	2.28	.993
I use Turkish at the warm up stage to remind students what we have	170	1.00	5.00	2.25	.912
covered at the previous lesson.					
I translate the reading passages into Turkish.	170	1.00	5.00	2.19	1.11
I use Turkish to ask students if they have any questions about the lesson.	170	1.00	4.00	2.18	1.01
I use Turkish to greet students.	170	1.00	5.00	1.38	.747
I give minus to the students who use Turkish in classroom.	170	1.00	4.00	1.30	.696

Most teachers 'seldom' prefer to use L1 to translate the reading passage and the gist of a listening passage for the students. In Mahmutoğlu and Kıcır's (2013) study, teachers stated that they seldom used L1 to explain what it said in the listening. The results of this study show that most of the teachers expose their students to the TL. According to the results in this study, teachers 'seldom' use translation. Therefore, it can be said that teachers want their students to work with the TL and try to get the meaning without using the L1. On the other hand, most of the teachers never give minus to their students when they use L1. It shows that most of the teachers do not punish their students for using L1 in the classroom because it is important to make the teaching and learning environment comfortable for the students. If the teachers punish their students for using L1, they may prefer not to talk and not be active in the classroom when they think they are not able to speak in English.

In general, the teachers are aware of the importance of using L2, but they need to act according to students' needs to make the teaching and learning more effective. Kaymakamoğlu and Yıltanlılar (2019) also stated that teachers may use L1 according to the students' psychological, cognitive and linguistic needs because the teaching and learning process can be more effective when there is a comfortable and suitable environment for students. This study shows that most of the teachers supported limited use of L1 which is consistent with Ellis (1984) claiming that overusing the L1 should be rejected because it does not provide valuable input in L2.

Differences Among Groups Based on Variables

Age

The analysis showed that there are no differences in perceptions of middle-aged teachers and older teachers. However, in the majority of the items younger teachers are found to have more positive views than older teachers towards using Turkish (see Appendix D.1 and Appendix D.1.1). The results show that the younger teachers tend to use Turkish during the lesson because they believe that students can learn better when they make connections between the two languages (F (2,167) = 4.95, p = .008). They think that it is more practical to teach new vocabulary (F

(2,167) = 2.24, p = .11), it is time-saving to use Turkish in the classroom (F (2,167) = 2.40, p = .93). They think that Turkish provides scaffolding for them when students have problems (F (2,167) = 2.54, p = .082). Younger teachers also prefer to use L1 more than older teachers to make the meaning more comprehensible for the students. They give instructions in Turkish to make the meaning more comprehensible for the students (F (2,167) = 7.07, p = .001), they explain the gist of a listening passage to make it more comprehensible (F (2,167) = 4.09, p = .018), they support using L1 during the lesson more than older teachers to make their students understand each detail of the lesson (F (2,167) = 5.9, p = .003), and they also use L1 to make their students understand their mistakes (F (2,167) = 7.38, p = .001). They prefer to use the bilingual approach more than the monolingual approach (F (2,167) = 5.96, p = .003). It can be stated that younger teachers mostly prefer to use the bilingual approach in their classes because it is easier to do translation rather than spending time and trying to make the meaning comprehensible by using TL.

Younger teachers prefer to use translation maybe because of not having enough teaching experience. They want to make their students understand each detail, so they support their learning process with their mother tongue. They use L1 to decrease students' anxiety levels and make them motivated for being active in the classroom. Younger teachers prefer to use Turkish to get the students' attention when they get bored (F (2,167) = 10.3, p = .0) and they talk about daily life by using L1 to make students feel relaxed (F (2,167) = 6.01, p = .003). They also support using L1 to makes jokes in their L1 to create a friendly environment (F (2,167) = 9.1, p = .0). They may think that students feel less nervous when they hear a joke and a motivational speech in their L1. Kaymakamoğlu and Yıltanlılar (2019) revealed that older teachers tend to minimize the use of L1 more in their classrooms and this is also consistent with the findings related to the years of teaching experience. In contrast to the middle-aged teachers, younger teachers and older teachers want to form closer relationships with students and they prefer to use L1 to do it (F (2,167) = 2.53, p = .08). Therefore, it is revealed that younger teachers and older teachers have more positive attitudes towards using L1 for motivating students and establishing rapport with the students than middle-aged teachers. This is similar to Erkan's (2019) study which indicated that both pre-service teachers and older teachers prefer to use L1 to increase students' motivation and create close relationships with the students.

Gender

The descriptive statistics based on gender show that there are no statistically significant differences between males and females in terms of their perceptions

Table 7.

The Statistics Based on Gender

	Gender	N	Mean
It is important to greet students in Turkish to make them feel comfortable before starting the lesson.	Male	61	1.72
	Female	109	1.77
It is important to translate a reading passage into Turkish to make students understand each detail.	Male	61	2.39
	Female	109	2.44
By explaining the gist of a listening passage into Turkish, students can easily understand what it is about.	Male	61	2.54
Tablebooks, Waldeb below and a last survey.	Female	109	2.70
I think using Turkish is time-saving in the classroom.	Male Female	61 109	3.19
I think using Turkish is practical while teaching grammar.	Male	61	3.36
Talling Tallian is placed with coloning grammar.	Female	109	3.33
I think using Turkish is more practical while teaching new vocabulary.	Male	61	3.16
	Female	109	3.18
I think using Turkish to summarize the lesson is better to make it more comprehensible for the students.	Male	61	3.06
	Female	109	2.95
It is important to use Turkish to get the students' attention when they get bored.	Male	61	3.13
	Female	109	2.85
I think talking about daily life in Turkish makes students relaxed.	Male	61	3.14
	Female	109	3.10
I think using Turkish reduces barriers to language learning.	Male	61	3.00
	Female	109	2.99
I prefer using Turkish to warn students because they take it more seriously when I use Turkish.	Male Female	61 109	3.14
Students do not understand anything in English, so I think it is better to speak in Turkish while teaching.	Male	61	2.77
students to not understand unjuming in English, so I tallik it is octed to speak in I takish while teaching.	Female	109	2.77
Turkish should be used in the classroom so that students can make connections between two languages.	Male	61	3.06
Turkish should be used in the classistom so that students can make connections between two aniguages.	Female	109	3.11
I support using Turkish more than English in the classroom.	Male	61	2.03
•	Female	109	2.04
I think Turkish should be used as a facilitator when teaching English.	Male	61	3.26
	Female	109	3.14
I think Turkish provides scaffolding for me to help the students when they have problems.	Male	61	3.27
	Female	109	3.38
I think that using Turkish in English language classrooms can help students to do better in the exams.	Male	61	2.81
	Female	109	2.77
I think making jokes in Turkish creates a friendly environment in the class.	Male	61	3.24
I prefer using Turkish because my students find me strict if I always speak in English.	Female Male	109 61	3.22 2.44
I prefet using Turkish because my students find the strict if I always speak in English.	Female	109	2.58
It is important to use Turkish in order to form closer relationships with the students.	Male	61	2.55
	Female	109	2.64
I think using Turkish makes students feel comfortable to ask me whenever they have questions.	Male	61	3.21
	Female	109	3.37
I prefer using Turkish because my students do not listen to me when I use English all the time.	Male	61	2.57
	Female	109	2.57
I think that using bilingual education is better than using only the target language (English) while teaching.	Male	61	3.09
	Female	109	3.15
It is important to make students understand their mistakes, so I use Turkish to explain them their mistakes.	Male	61	3.32
	Female	109	3.32
It is important to give instructions in Turkish to make sure that students are clear about the instructions.	Male	61	3.16

towards using Turkish (see Table 7 and Appendix D.2). The mean scores of both groups are roughly the same for each item, which means that they have mostly the same perceptions about using L1 in EFL classrooms. This reveals that the perceptions about using L1 do not show differences according to gender. They mostly have a neutral approach to using L1 while teaching grammar and vocabulary. They do not have positive items about using L1 because the highest mean scores of male and female teachers are not above 3.4. The results indicate that gender does not affect the use of L1 because there are not any significantly different perceptions, the results are almost the same. Şahin and Şahin (2019) revealed the same result that there were not significantly different perceptions between male and female teachers in their study. Bensen and Çavuşoğlu's study (2013) revealed that both male and female participants code-switched nearly the same amount of time, and they preferred to use L1 to teach vocabulary, grammar and to clarify the meaning for the students when they feel the need of using it.

First Language

There are significantly different perceptions about using L1 between the teachers whose L1 is Turkish and the teachers whose L1 is English (see Table 8). Those teachers whose L1 is Turkish are more positive towards using Turkish, and they support using L1 rather than L2 more than other teachers (M = 2.07, SD = .79, t (168) = 2.24, p < .05). They believe that bilingual education is better than using only English (M = 3.19, SD = .87, t (168) = 3.48, p < .05) because L1 reduces barriers to language learning (M = 3.03, SD = .86, t (168) = 2.19, p < .05), and it helps students to make connections between the two languages (M = 2.4, SD = .84, t (168) = 2.8, p < .05). They also think that it is practical for teaching grammar (M = 3.38, SD = .92, t (168) = 2.21, p < .05), teaching vocabulary (M = 3.23, SD = .92, t(168) = 3.05, p < .05) .05), it is time-saving (M = 3.23, SD = .91, t (168) = 3.43, p < .05), and it is a facilitator (M = 3.24, SD = .76, t (168) = 3.76, p < .05). Teachers whose L1 is Turkish also have more positive attitudes than other teachers towards using Turkish to clarify the meaning to make it easier and more comprehensible for the students (see Table 8 and Appendix D.3). Therefore, they use Turkish to summarize the lesson (M = 3.03, SD = .89, t (168) = 2.46, p < .05), to explain the meaning when

students do not understand anything (M = 2.82, SD = 1, t (168) = 2.53, p < .05), to make the given instructions clear (M = 3.06, SD = .99, t (168) = 2.68, p < .05), to make students understand their mistakes (M = 3.36, SD = .74, t (168) = 3.12, p < .05). It is also seen that teachers whose L1 is Turkish prefer to use Turkish more than other teachers for humor to form closer relationships with students and to motivate their students. They use Turkish to get students' attention when they get bored (M = 2.98, SD = .87, t (168) = 3.1, p < .05), they use Turkish to talk about daily life because talking about daily life in their mother tongue makes students relaxed (M = 3.15, SD = .85, t (168) = 2.36, p < .05) and they use Turkish to tell jokes to create a friendly environment (M = 3.26, SD = .82, t (168) = 2.45, p < .05). Students' L1 is Turkish and they may understand the jokes in Turkish better than English. The teachers who like joking in the classroom think that students may enjoy a joke told in Turkish. This will create a less-stressed learning environment, and so they help their students learn better. Erkan (2019) stated that the majority of the teachers whose L1 is Turkish like to use Turkish in their classes to make jokes because they believe that the mother tongue increases students' self-confidence. This result is also consistent with Levine (2003) who found that students who can use their L1 were less anxious about learning TL. It can be seen that they use their L1 to build a good rapport with their students (M = 2.65, SD = .91, t (168) = 2.18, p < .05). Students may feel free to talk about their problems by using Turkish. Some students are shy and afraid of speaking in English, so Turkish provides scaffolding for the teachers whose L1 is Turkish to help those students. Therefore, teachers whose L1 is Turkish prefer to use L1 in order not to be a strict teacher according to the students (M = 2.58, SD = .95, t (168) = 2.54, p < .05), and they prefer to use L1 to make students feel relax and listen to the lesson (M = 2,61, SD = .97, t (168) = 1.93, p < .05). They also prefer to use more Turkish than other teachers to warn their students to make students understand and pay more attention to the issue emphasized (M = 3.25, SD = .89, t (168) = 2.88, p < .05).

On the other hand, native English language teachers do not prefer to use L1 as much as others. The reason for not preferring to use L1 maybe they are not native speakers of Turkish and they are afraid of confusing students by do not use Turkish correctly. This is consistent with the study of Bensen and Çavuşoğlu (2013) which stated that the teacher who was a native-speaker of English had a lower frequency

level of code-switching in the class than the others because she had lower proficiency in Turkish.

Table 8.

Teachers with Different First Languages

	First		Standard	
T4'1 ' 77 1'1' 2' ' ' 4 1	language	N	Deviation	Mean
I think using Turkish is time-saving in the classroom.	Turkish	160	.914	3,23
****	English	10	1.13	2,20
I think using Turkish is practical while teaching grammar.	Turkish		.924	3,38
	English	10	1.33	2,70
I think using Turkish is more practical while teaching new vocabulary.	Turkish	160	.926	3,23
	English	10	1.05	2,30
I think using Turkish to summarize the lesson is better to make it more comprehensible for	Turkish	160	.896	3,03
the students.	English	10	1.25	2,30
It is important to use Turkish to get the students' attention when they get bored.	Turkish	160	.875	2,98
	English	10	.875	2,10
I think talking about daily life in Turkish makes students relaxed.	Turkish	160	.850	3,15
	English	10	.849	2,50
I think using Turkish reduces barriers to language learning.	Turkish	160	.864	3,03
	English	10	1.17	2,40
I prefer using Turkish to warn students because they take it more seriously when I use	Turkish	160	.891	3,25
Turkish.	English	10	1.17	2,40
Students do not understand anything in English, so I think it is better to speak in Turkish	Turkish	160	1.00	2,82
while teaching.	English	10	.942	2,00
Turkish should be used in the classroom so that students can make connections between two	Turkish	160	.804	3,13
languages.	English	10	.843	2,40
I support using Turkish more than English in the classroom.	Turkish	160	.797	2,07
	English	10	.527	1,50
I think Turkish should be used as a facilitator when teaching English.	Turkish	160	.766	3,24
	English	10	.823	2,30
I think making jokes in Turkish creates a friendly environment in the class.	Turkish	160	.822	3,26
,	English	10	1.07	2,60
I prefer using Turkish because my students find me strict if I always speak in English.	Turkish		.954	2,58
,	English	10	.632	1,80
It is important to use Turkish in order to form closer relationships with the students.	Turkish		.912	2,65
a is important to the raining in state to form closer reactionings with the state in	English	10	.942	2,00
I prefer using Turkish because my students do not listen to me when I use English all the	Turkish		.977	2,61
time.	English	10	.816	2,00
I think that using bilingual education is better than using only the target language (English)	Turkish		.872	3,19
while teaching.	English	100	.918	
It is important to make students understand their mistakes, so I use Turkish to explain them	Turkish			2,20
·			.749	3,36
their mistakes.	English	10	.843	2,60
It is important to give instructions in Turkish to make sure that students are clear about the	Turkish		.997	3,06
instructions.	English	10	.918	2,20

Level of Education

The teachers who have only BA degree have more positive attitudes towards using L1(see Table 9 and Appendix D.4). Those teachers who have only BA degree like to use Turkish in their classes more than the ones who did their MA degree, and they mostly prefer to use L1 because it is time-saving (M = 3.28, SD = .91, t (168) =1.98, p < .05), and also they think that it reduces barriers to language learning (M =3.1, SD = .88, t(168) = 2.10, p < .05). Teachers with only a BA degree also prefer to use L1 more than others to make the meaning clearer and more comprehensible. They use L1; to summarize the lesson to make it more comprehensible (M = 3.14,SD = .85, t (168) = 2.91, p < .05), to make the meaning clear when students do not understand anything in the target language (M = 2.89, SD = 1.02, t (168) = 2.13, p < .05), to translate reading passages (M = 2.57, SD = 1.01, t (168) = 2.70, p < .05), and to give a listening gist in Turkish to make the meaning comprehensible for the students (M = 2.76, SD = .97, t (168) = 2.03, p < .05). They believe that it is better for the students to make connections between the two languages (M = 3.19, SD =.79, t (168) = 2.10, p < .05). Teachers who do not have an MA degree also tend to use L1 for humor more than the others with an MA degree to form a closer relationship with the students. Therefore, they use L1; to greet their students (M = 1.84, SD = .70, t (168) = 2.34, p < .05), to create a friendly environment by telling jokes in Turkish (M = 3.3, SD = .74, t (168) = 2.08, p < .05), and to get students' attention when they get bored (M = 3.05, SD = .84, t (168) = 2.35, p < .05). They also use L1 to help students do better in the exams (M = 2.49, SD = .94, t (168) =3.15, p < .05).

On the other hand, teachers with MA degrees are more careful about using L1, and they do not prefer to use L1 more than TL in the class. It can be argued that teachers with MA degrees have more awareness regarding research and theory about the use of L1 in the classroom. This is consistent with Mansor (2017) who stated that teachers' level of education has an impact on their perceptions towards L1 use in EFL classrooms. Teachers who use L2 exclusively might be influenced more by the monolingual perspective during their training and education process. Since the recent literature in this regard favors a more monolingual approach in general, they may be

Table 9.

BA Level Teachers and MA Level Teachers

	Level of education	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
It is important to greet students in	BA	109	1,84	,709
Turkish to make them feel	MA	61	1,59	,615
comfortable before starting the lesson.				
It is important to translate a reading	BA	109	2,57	1,01
passage into Turkish to make students	MA	61	2,14	,963
understand each detail.				
By explaining the gist of a listening	BA	109	2,76	,970
passage into Turkish, students can	MA	61	2,44	,992
easily understand what it is about.				
I think using Turkish is time-saving in	BA	109	3,28	,913
the classroom.	MA	61	2,98	1,00
I think using Turkish to summarize	BA	109	3,14	,858
the lesson is better to make it more	MA	61	2,72	1,00
comprehensible for the students.				
It is important to use Turkish to get	BA	109	3,05	,848
the students' attention when they get	MA	61	2,72	,950
bored.				
I think using Turkish reduces barriers	BA	109	3,10	,881
to language learning.	MA	61	2,80	,891
Students do not understand anything	BA	109	2,89	1,02
in English, so I think it is better to	MA	61	2,55	,957
speak in Turkish while teaching.				
Turkish should be used in the	BA	109	3,19	,799
classroom so that students can make	MA	61	2,91	,842
connections between two languages.				
I support using Turkish more than	BA	109	2,16	,822
English in the classroom.	MA	61	1,81	,695
I think that using Turkish in English	BA	109	2,96	,932
language classrooms can help students	MA	61	2,49	,942
to do better in the exams.				
I think making jokes in Turkish	BA	109	3,33	,746
creates a friendly environment in the	MA	61	3,04	,990
class.				
I think that using bilingual education	BA	109	3,27	,869
is better than using only the target	MA	61	2,88	,914
language (English) while teaching.				

influenced by this and may be knowledgeable and aware of the impact of using L1 or not using it in a particular classroom. They want to use TL effectively in the class, so they minimize the use of L1. However, teachers with only BA degree support using Turkish more than English in the classroom (M = 2.16, SD = .82, t (168) = 2.24, p < .05)and they believe that using bilingual approach is better than the monolingual approach (M = 3.27, SD = .86, t (168) = 3.48, p < .05).

Place of Graduation

In the majority of the items teachers who graduated from Cyprus and Turkey did not have different perceptions from each other about using L1. However, both of them had significantly different perceptions of those who graduated from other countries. Those teachers who graduated from Cyprus and Turkey believe that using L1 is practical for teaching grammar (F (2,167) = 8.8, p = .0) and practical for teaching vocabulary (F (2,167) = 6.4, p = .002). They think that Turkish reduces barriers to language learning (F (2,167) = 9.04, p = .0). They give instructions in Turkish to make the meaning more comprehensible (F (2,167) = 5.25, p = .006), they explain the mistakes of the students in Turkish to make them understand their mistakes (F (2,167) = 2.9, p = .005) and they also summarize the lesson by using L1 to make it more comprehensible (F (2,167) = 12.9, p = .0). They prefer to use L1 in the classroom because they believe that it can help students to be more successful in the exams (F (2,167) = 6.4, p = .002). They support the bilingual approach more than the other teachers (F (2,167) = 9.22, p = .0). They also like to use L1 for humor to make their students relaxed and motivated. For example, they use Turkish to get students attention when they get bored (F (2,167) = 6.38, p = .002), they prefer to talk about daily life by using L1 (F (2,167) = 3.6, p = .028), they make jokes in L1 to create a friendly classroom environment (F (2,167) = 4.84, p = .009) and they use L1 to form closer relationships with the students (F (2,167) = 6.65, p = .002).

The teachers who had graduated from other countries have significantly different views (see Appendix D.5 and Appendix D.5.1). They support using monolingual approach and minimizing the use of L1 in their classes. This finding is significant because it shows that the place of education is very important in shaping the attitudes and practices of in-service teachers. It also indicates that teacher education programs in Cyprus and Turkey tend to be more positive about allowing L1 use in the classroom while those in other countries have more strict rules and perceptions towards using L1 and they are closer to the monolingual approach while teaching a foreign language.

Faculty of Graduation

In the majority of the items teachers who are graduated from the faculty of education have significantly different perceptions than those who graduated from the faculty of arts and sciences and other faculties. Those who are graduated from the faculty of education have more positive perceptions towards using L1 for humor. They think making jokes in Turkish creates a friendly environment (F (22,167) = 7.7, p = .001), they also use L1 to build good relationships with the students (F (2,167) = 5.5, p = .005). They also use Turkish to make students listen to the whole lesson (F (2,167) = 4.5, p = .012). Teachers who graduated from faculties of education and arts and sciences both believe that using L1 is time-saving (F (2,167) = 4.3, p = .014) and it is practical while teaching grammar (F (2,167) = 7.4, p = .001), teaching new vocabulary (F (2,167) = 1.9, p = .008). They also use L1 for summarizing the lesson to make the meaning more comprehensible for the students (F (2,167) = 3.1, p = .045). As it is seen, teachers who have graduated from other faculties have negative perceptions towards using L1 more than L2 in the class (see Appendix D.6 and Appendix D.6.1). They do not support bilingual approach in the class (F(2,167) =3.35, p = .037). They mostly prefer to use English and minimize Turkish while teaching English. It is possible to state that those who have graduated from other faculties are not aware of the possible ways of using L1 in the classroom effectively. Therefore, it can be said that teachers who are from different faculties have different views toward L1 use. Mansor (2017) also revealed that faculties and teacher training programs may affect teachers' beliefs and attitudes towards teaching a foreign language. As it is expected, the training of those who graduated from faculties of education and arts and sciences are more focused on teaching based on using L1 as a facilitator.

Years of Teaching Experience

In the majority of the items, teachers who had 1-10 years of experience had significantly different perceptions than those who had 11-15, and 16 or more years of teaching experience. However, more experienced groups of teachers, namely those who had 11-16 and 16 or more years of experience did not have differences between

their perceptions towards using L1 (see Appendix D.7 and D.7.1). Teachers with 1-10 years of teaching experience have more positive attitudes towards using Turkish. They feel the need for L1 use to create better relationships with students to make them more motivated (F (2,167) = 4.2, p = .016). They also support using L1 more than others who are more experienced to teach grammar, teach vocabulary and to give instructions to make the meaning clear (F (2,167) = 6.9, p = .001). This reveals that more experienced teachers manage to use English in their classes better than others. Those who have less than 11 years of experience support the bilingual approach more than others (F (2,167) = 8.8, p = .0). They like to use L1 as a facilitator (F (2,167) = 3.5, p = .03), time-saving tool (F (2,167) = 2.4, p = .09). It is also a practical to teach grammar (F (2,167) = 1.5, p = .2) and vocabulary (F (2,167)= 2.5, p = .07). These results are consistent with the significance between the ages of teachers in this study. It can be stated as; the more experienced teachers, the less need of L1. The study of Cudi et al.'s (2014) can be given as a consistent example of this study. It conducted on both EFL teachers and ELT department students to reveal their perceptions towards using L1. The results showed that students stated that TL should be used in EFL classrooms while EFL teachers stated that L1 should be used when it is necessary. Moreover, Kaymakamoğlu and Yıltanlılar's (2019) study revealed that the teachers who had more years of teaching experience than others tried to minimize the use of L1 and maximize the use of L2. They can manage to use TL by trying several techniques rather than using L1 all the time. However, less experienced teachers may have a fear of making students confused, so they may prefer the easiest and the most practical way to teach a foreign language.

Grade Taught

Teachers who teach younger and older students have differences in their perceptions towards using Turkish, and those who teach younger and all groups have differences as well. In the majority of the items, there was no difference between those who teach older students and those who teach all groups (see Appendix D.8 and Appendix D.8.1). It can be stated that teachers like to use Turkish for humor in the classroom and this is for teachers with a younger group of students. Those teachers who teach younger groups may feel the need to connect with their students

and motivate them by using humor in the class. For example, they greet their students in Turkish to make them feel comfortable before the lesson (F (2,167) = 3.6, p = .02). The young students do not understand jokes in English, so teachers prefer Turkish in that case (F (2,167) = .9, p = .3). They also use Turkish to form closer relationships with the younger students (F (2,167) = 4.03, p = .1). It shows that most of the teachers have positive attitudes towards using L1 while teaching younger students whose proficiency level is lower than others. They support using L1 more than L2 during the lesson (F (2,167) = 8.4, p = .0) and they support using the bilingual approach (F (2,167) = 7.9, p = .001). They may not be able to understand given instructions, grammatical structures, and new vocabulary items, so it is better to use the first language to make the meaning clear and more understandable for them. They use L1 to translate a reading passage (F (2,167) = 5.3, p = .005), to explain the gist of a listening passage (F (2,167) = 11.3, p = .0), to summarize the lesson (F (2,167) = 12.3, p = .0), to give instructions to make the meaning clearer and more comprehensible (F(2,167) = 5.2, p = .006). However, less L1 should be used for the higher proficiency-level students. In Kayaoğlu's study (2012), all of the teachers asserted that they use L1 in their grammar courses, especially for beginner levels. Deller and Rinvolucri (2002) indicated that comparing English grammar with the mother tongue's grammar can be very positive for some learners, especially for the younger learners who have lower level of proficiency in L2. Their learning progress may be quicker and more effective when their L1 is allowed. Translation exercises may also be an effective practice for the younger students when there is a complex grammar point. This is also consistent with the study of Kaymakamoğlu and Yıltanlılar (2019) which stated that teachers' perceptions show differences according to the students' level. For example, they prefer to use L1 at beginner level because learners have difficulties in understanding what is going on in the classroom but they prefer to use TL more than L1 while teaching intermediate levels. Similarly, Miles (2004) highlighted the need for L1 use with lower level students to teach grammar to avoid misunderstanding.

The Cases in which EFL Teachers Prefer to Use L1

As mentioned earlier in the first section, the majority of the EFL teachers do not prefer to use L1 'often' in the classroom. They sometimes feel the need of using L1 to deal with discipline problems, clarify the meaning of a given task and instruction, motivate students, and teach complex grammatical structures and new vocabulary items. They do not support using L1 'often' in the classroom because the highest mean score was below 3.5 (see Table 6). In other words, teachers use L1 only when there is a situation in which they need to clarify it by using students' L1 to make it more comprehensible. They also prefer to use Turkish to warn students when there is a discipline problem. They think that if they use Turkish, students may take it more seriously because they can easily understand the teachers' feelings and emotions from the way they demonstrate in their native language. They also use L1 for humor to motivate their students and create a comfortable environment for them (see Table 6). This is consistent with the Paker and Karaağaç's (2015) study which revealed that the teachers most frequently feel the need of using L1 for making jokes, showing concern to the students, explaining difficult concepts, and explaining grammar rules.

Differences in the Frequency of Using L1

Age

In the majority of the items, the younger teachers have significantly different frequency of L1 use than the middle-aged and older teachers. Younger teachers like to use L1 more frequently than others for academic, managerial, and social functions. This is consistent with the earlier finding where younger teachers were found to have more positive attitudes towards L1 use in the classroom. They feel the need of using L1 to give instructions, teach grammar and vocabulary, motivate students, and to make the meaning more comprehensible for the students. They sometimes give the instructions in English first and then in Turkish to make the meaning clear for the students (F (2,167) = 10.5, p = 0). They sometimes use L1 at the warm-up stages (F (2,167) = 6.9, p = .001), to summarize the topics (F (2,167) = 5.04, p = .007), to compare English grammar with Turkish grammar (F (2,167) = 5.02, p = .008), to

correct students' mistakes (F (2,167) = 7.7, p = .001), to give feedback (F (2,167) =5.5, p = .005), to explain homework tasks (F (2,167) = 7.6, p = .001), to teach complex grammar points (F (2,167) = 6.4, p = .002) and to explain the topic when students do not understand anything (F (2,167) = 5.3, p = .006). All of these are done to make the meaning easier and more understandable for the students. On the other hand, as it is seen, there is no difference between middle-aged and older teachers' perceptions. It can be concluded as they are more experienced than younger teachers, so they can manage to clarify the meaning by using various other methods. While middle-aged and older teachers do not need to use L1 more frequently, younger teachers assume that their students do not understand anything, so they frequently feel the need of using L1 to make the meaning clear for the students. Younger teachers also want to build good relationships with their students because they believe that having a good rapport with their students makes students have positive attitudes towards the course as well. They use Turkish to talk with the students when they have problems (F (2,167) = 5.5, p = .005). They can easily get students' attention by using L1 (F (2,167) = 5.9, p = .003). They use Turkish to ask students if they have any questions (F (2,167) = 4.5, p = .01, to talk about something unrelated to the lesson (F (2,167) = 8.1, p = .0) (Appendix E.1 and Appendix E.1.1). They do not want their students to feel anxious, so they translate to make the meaning more comprehensible to decrease their anxiety levels. However, middle-aged and older teachers do not feel the need of using L1 as much as younger teachers do. This may be related to experience because middle-aged and older teachers are usually more experienced. Therefore, they can manage how to use TL and where to use L1 easier than younger teachers. This is consistent with the previous section containing the teachers' perceptions based on age. As Kaymakamoğlu and Yıltanlılar (2019) stated, older teachers use L1 less frequently than the younger teachers. It can be said that older teachers frequently try to expose their students to the input of the target language. However, those who are younger tend to use L1 more frequently because it is more practical and easier for them to make the meaning clear for their students.

Gender

Male and female teachers did not have any significant differences in the frequency of L1 use while teaching English. The results showed that feeling the need of using L1 is not related to the gender of the teachers. Both males and females prefer to use L1 the same amount of time in their classes. As it is revealed in the Appendix E.2, both of the groups 'sometimes' feel the need of L1 use to clarify the meanings of given vocabulary and instructions, to teach complex grammar points, and to deal with the problems. It can be said that they sometimes prefer to use the bilingual approach more frequently than the monolingual approach. Therefore, it can be said that teachers sometimes prefer to use L1 when there is a need to make the lesson more effective, and it does not change according to the gender of the teachers. This is consistent with the previous studies (e.g. Bensen & Çavuşoğlu, 2013; Kaymakamoğlu & Yıltanlılar, 2019; Sali, 2014; Şahin & Şahin, 2019) which stated that the gender of the teachers does not affect their frequency of L1 use in EFL classrooms.

First Language

Teachers whose L1 is Turkish like to use L1 more frequently than teachers whose L1 is English for humor because they think that their students can understand jokes in Turkish better and they can feel relaxed if they hear a joke in their L1 (M = 2.79, SD = .86, t(168) = 2.8, p < .05). They use Turkish to create a comfortable environment for the students (M = 2.78, SD = .77, t (168) = 3.5, p < .05). They also use Turkish to motivate their students when they get bored (M = 2.9, SD = .9, t(168) = 3.3, p < .05) It is revealed that teachers who are Turkish prefer to use L1 to teach new grammatical structures (M = 2.8, SD = .91, t (168) = 2.2) and complex grammatical structures (M = 3.1, SD = .95, t (168) = 2.09, p < .05), to give instructions (M = 3.1, SD = 1.09, t(168) = 2.1, p < .05), to correct students' mistakes (M = 2.8, SD = .77, t (168) = 2.4,p < .05) and to give feedback to the students (M = 2.6,SD = .8, t (168) = 1.9,p < .05). They also use L1 during the class activities (M = 2.4, SD = .85, t (168) = 2.1, p < .05). It is seen that native English teachers give minus to the students who do not use TL more than the Turkish-speaking teachers (M = 2.1, SD = 1.4, t (168) = .0, p < .05). Teachers whose L1 is English most

frequently use English, and maybe this is because they have low-proficiency levels in Turkish (see also Appendix E.3). They prefer to use Turkish less frequently than others whose L1 is Turkish because they may think that they confuse their students as they do not know Turkish like native speakers. They may also not feel confident in using L1 for humor as humor requires a higher level of proficiency to understand. This is consistent with Bensen and Çavuşoğlu's (2013) study which indicated that teachers whose L1 is English use English more frequently than those whose L1 is Turkish. Teachers whose L1 is Turkish sometimes use code-switching when they feel that their students do not understand anything and they are off task.

Table 10.

The Statistics Based on First Languages

	First			Standard
	language	N	Mean	Deviation
I compare English grammar with Turkish grammar while teaching new grammatical	Turkish	160	2,87	.916
structures.	English	10	2,20	.788
I speak in Turkish rather than English during the class activities.	Turkish	160	2,40	.855
	English	10	1,80	.632
I use Turkish to create a comfortable environment for students.	Turkish	160	2,78	.774
	English	10	1,90	.737
I use Turkish to correct students' mistakes.	Turkish	160	2,81	.770
	English	10	2,20	.632
I use Turkish to give feedback to students.	Turkish	160	2,66	.888
	English	10	2,10	.737
I first give the instructions in English and then in Turkish.	Turkish	160	3,18	1.09
	English	10	2,40	1.17
I use Turkish to make jokes.	Turkish	160	2,79	.869
	English	10	2,00	.816
I speak in Turkish when I talk about something unrelated with the lesson in the class.	Turkish	160	3,26	.929
	English	10	2,20	1.22
I use Turkish to motivate my students when they get bored.	Turkish	160	2,99	.900
	English	10	2,00	.942
I use gestures, mimes or visuals to clarify the meaning rather than using Turkish.	Turkish	160	3,38	1.00
	English	10	4,10	.875
I explain homework tasks in Turkish.	Turkish	160	2,85	1.00
	English	10	2,10	.737
I give minus to the students who use Turkish in classroom.	Turkish	160	1,25	.596
	English	10	2,10	1.44
Students do not understand anything in English, so I use Turkish in the classroom.	Turkish	160	2,87	.881
	English	10	2,20	1.03
I use Turkish to teach complex grammar structures.	Turkish	160	3,15	.955
	English	10	2,50	1.08

However, others do this seldom because they are not good at speaking Turkish. and they do not want to make a mistake while trying to translate in Turkish. Therefore, they frequently use monolingual approach in the classroom.

Level of Education

Teachers who have a BA degree use L1 more frequently than others who have an MA degree. They sometimes use L1 to clarify the meaning of given instruction (M = 3.3, SD = 1.1, t(168) = 3.1, p < .05). They use L1 to at the warm-up stage (M = 2.3, SD = .9, t(168) = 2.08, p < .05). They use L1 to give Turkish

Table 11.

BA and MA Level of Education

	Level of			Standard
	education	N	Mean	Deviation
I use Turkish at the warm up stage to remind students what we have covered at the	BA	109	2,36	.929
previous lesson.	MA	61	2,06	.853
I give Turkish equivalents of the unknown words.	BA	109	3,30	.995
	MA	61	2,90	.943
I translate the reading passages into Turkish.	BA	109	2,33	1.15
	MA	61	1,93	.997
I use Turkish to summarize the topics that we have already covered.	BA	109	2,71	.963
	MA	61	2,39	.970
I first give the instructions in English and then in Turkish.	BA	109	3,33	1.10
	MA	61	2,78	1.03
I speak in Turkish while students do pair/group work.	BA	109	2,46	.776
	MA	61	2,13	.718
I support the 'English only' policy in the classroom.	BA	109	2,39	1.29
	MA	61	2,96	1.40
When students ask me something in Turkish, I reply to them in Turkish as well.	BA	109	2,86	.957
	MA	61	2,44	.940
I use Turkish to teach complex grammar structures.	BA	109	3,19	1.01
	MA	61	2,98	.884

equivalents of words (M = 3.3, SD = .99, t(168) = 2.5, p < .05), to translate a reading passage (M = 2.3, SD = 1.1, t(168) = 2.2, p < .05), to summarize the topics already covered (M = 2.7, SD = .9, t(168) = 2.08, p < .05) and to teach complex grammar (M = 3.1, SD = 1.01.9, t(168) = 1.3, p < .05). However, as it is seen in Table 11, teachers who have an MA degree do not support using L1 'sometimes', and the majority of

them responded as 'seldom' (see also Appendix E.4). These findings are also consistent with the previous section's findings. Teachers with MA grade apply the monolingual approach more frequently than others (M=2.9, SD=1.4, t (168) = 2.6, p < .05). In other words, they want to make their students exposed to TL more frequently than others. This is consistent with the Mansor (2017) who stated that the teachers who have higher level of education may have different frequency of L1 use than those who have only the BA degree in EFL classrooms. It may be because of mastering their proficiency levels in teaching a foreign language by using more effective ways to make students get exposed to TL more frequently than their mother tongue. Teachers may change their attitudes towards the frequency of using L1 when they get higher education.

Place of Graduation

As expected, there were no differences in the frequency of using Turkish between the teachers who graduated from Cyprus and the teachers who graduated from Turkey. Both of the groups prefer to use Turkish 'sometimes' in their classes. However, the teachers who graduated from Cyprus and Turkey had a significantly different frequency of L1 use compared to those who graduated from other countries (see Appendix E.5 and Appendix E.5.1). For example, they use Turkish to greet students (F (2,167) = 2.9, p = .05) and at the warm-up stage to remind students what they have learned (F (2,167) = 4.06, p = .01). They use Turkish to give equivalents of the words (F(2,167) = 5.4, p = 0.05), to explain the gist of a listening passage (F (2,167) = 4.2, p = 0.16), to summarize the topics that they have already covered (F (2,167) = 6.3, p = .02), to compare English grammar with Turkish grammar (F (2,167) = 5.9, p = .03), to correct students' mistakes (F (2,167) = 6.3, p = .02) and to give feedback to the students (F (2,167) = 7.8, p = .01). Teachers who graduated from Cyprus and Turkey frequently use the bilingual approach in their classes and they let their students use bilingual dictionaries as well to check the meanings that they do not know (F (2,167) = 6.1, p = .03). They also use Turkish to motivate their students when they get bored (F (2,167) = 9.3, p = .0) and they use L1 to create a comfortable environment for the students (F (2,167) = 6.8, p = .01). Teachers who graduated from other countries do not feel the need of using L1 as frequently as others. These findings are consistent with the findings related to their perceptions, which again show that teacher education programs have a great impact on the

practices of the teachers in real classrooms. It also implies that teacher education programs in Cyprus and Turkey promote L1 use in the classroom more than those in other countries.

Faculty of Graduation

In the majority of the items, the teachers who graduated from the faculty of education have significantly different perceptions about the frequency of L1 use than those who graduated from the faculty of arts and sciences, and other faculties. On the other hand, teachers who graduated from the faculty of arts and sciences do not have differences with those who graduated from other faculties in the majority of the items (see Appendix E.6 and Appendix E.6.1). The main result here is that the teachers whose department is teaching, prefer to integrate L1 in the EFL classes more than the others. For example, they sometimes translate reading passages into Turkish to make it more comprehensible for their students. (F (2,167) = 8.2, p = .0) and they use Turkish to give instructions (F (2,167) = 10.3, p = .0). They also use Turkish; to summarize the topics that they have already covered (F (2,167) = 5.3, p = .006), to compare English grammar with Turkish grammar while teaching a new grammatical structure (F (2.167) = 6.4, p = .002), to create a comfortable environment for the students (F (2,167) = 6.6, p = .002). This shows that they 'sometimes' support the bilingual approach. They also believe that using L1 provides scaffolding for them to solve students' problems which can be either discipline problems (F (2,167) = 2.7, p = .002) or the problems related to the course (F (2,167) = 6.6, p = .002). This is consistent with Méndez (2012) who concluded that teaching practice is based on the previous knowledge that teachers may have acquired from their faculties. Mansor (2017) also stated that teachers who used L2 exclusively were probably influenced by the education that they got, from their teachers and they may be influenced by specific teaching and learning methods and approaches that support using the target language more frequently to create more effective teaching and learning environment.

Years of Teaching Experience

The teachers with 1-10 years of teaching experience like to use L1 more frequently than others who have 11-15, and 16 or more years of experience. This shows that less experienced teachers tend to use Turkish in their classes more frequently than those who have more than 10 years of teaching experience (see Appendix E.7 and Appendix E.7.1). As it was stated in the 'age' section, more experienced teachers feel the need of L1 less than others because they are experienced enough to get over the problems, and to give the instructions in a comprehensible way without using L1 frequently. Less experienced teachers frequently use L1 during the lesson. There are certain items in which those teachers indicated that they preferred to use L1. For example, they use L1 to greet students (F (2,167) = 4.9, p = .008) and they use it at the warm-up stage to remind students what they have learned (F (2,167) = 8.3, p = .0). As it is seen from Appendix E.7 and E.7.1, they also use L1; to give Turkish equivalents of the words (F (2,167) = 3.5, p = .03), to translate reading passages (F (2,167) = 4.8, p = .009), to explain the gist of listening passages (F (2,167) = 7.5, p = .001), to summarize the topics have been covered (F (2,167) = 8.7, p = .0), to create a comfortable environment (F (2,167) =3.3, p = .03), to correct students mistakes (F (2,167) = 10.9, p = .0), to give instructions (F (2,167) = 10.4, p = .0) and to teach complex grammar structures (F(2,167) = 9.9, p = .0) to make the meanings more comprehensible. As Kaymakamoğlu and Yıltanlılar (2019) stated, teachers who have more years of teaching experience mostly prefer to use L2 rather than using L1 in the EFL classroom. Less experienced teachers may have a fear of teaching in a more complicated way and they may also have a fear of not having good rapport with their students. Therefore, they may not want to take risks and they sometimes prefer to follow the easiest way to teach a foreign language. They may think that it does not cause a comprehension problem for the students if they translate everything in their first language. The students may also feel comfortable when they understand the given instructions. It is revealed that teachers who had less experience preferred to use L1 for humor and for giving motivation to the students more frequently than others who had more teaching experience. They use L1 to help students when they have problems to make them feel comfortable (F (2,167) = 4.7, p = .01). They use L1 to get students' attention (F (2,167) = 8.5, p = .0) and they use Turkish to motivate

their students when they get bored (F (2,167) = 5.8, p = .003). Those who are less experienced mostly feel the need of making their students enjoy the lesson. As they are less experienced, they may feel the need to have their students like them. However, it is not the same for those who are more experienced. More experienced teachers may think that creating an effective teaching and learning environment is related to how you make the lesson both effective and enjoyable by providing the target language for the students, it is not related to making students feel comfortable without engaging with the target language.

Grade Taught

Teachers' perceptions about the frequency of L1 use show differences among all of the groups of students. In the majority of the items, the teachers teaching all grades used L1 the most frequently among all the teachers. For example, the teachers teaching all grades sometimes prefer to use Turkish to create a comfortable environment for the students because they may not have enough knowledge and their proficiency level may be low and they do not want them to feel nervous (F(2,167) =6.1, p = .003). They also sometimes make jokes in Turkish to create a friendly classroom environment (F (2,167) = 3.1, p = .04). The teachers teaching younger students used L1 more frequently than the teachers who teach older students. For instance, they sometimes use Turkish to teach complex grammar structures to the lower-level students (F (2,167) = 8.1, p = .0). They translate reading passages for their students (F (2,167) = 5.4, p = .005), they summarize the topics in Turkish (F (2,167) = 9.9, p = .0) and they compare English grammar with Turkish grammar during the lesson (F (2,167) = 7.05, p = .001). This is also consistent with the study of Kayaoğlu (2012) which stated that teachers use L1 in grammar courses, especially while teaching beginner levels. They frequently do translation and code-switching in the classroom, especially for the younger students to make the meaning more comprehensible for them. They frequently support using the bilingual approach with the younger students and they let their students use bilingual dictionaries in the classroom (F (2,167) = 4.4, p = .01). This finding is consistent with the findings related to their attitudes. Therefore, it can be stated that teachers feel the need of using L1 more frequently with lower-level and younger students. This is also

consistent with Yenice (2018) who revealed that teachers sometimes preferred to use L1 for the weak students to explain grammar points when they did not have enough proficiency to understand. Turkish also helps students to get the given instructions easily. They first give instructions in English and then in Turkish for those with lower proficiency levels. Kaymakamoğlu and Yıltanlılar's (2019) study also revealed stated that most of the teachers sometimes find Turkish practical especially when giving instructions to make the meaning clear for younger learners.

As a result, major differences were identified based on teachers' perceptions and frequency of L1 use in the classroom based on years of experience, place of graduation, age, grade taught, and level of education. In almost all cases, the findings related to perceptions were supported by the findings related to the frequency of use of L1 in the classroom, which suggests that the analysis was reliable. This chapter of the thesis discussed the results and findings. Comments have been made for the statements in which there were significantly different perceptions among the groups of teachers and the similarities in the perceptions of different groups of teachers. The following chapter will summarize the major findings by considering the main research questions. Recommendations will also be provided in the following chapter.

Discussion of Findings

As can be seen from the findings, there was no difference in perceptions of male teachers and female teachers. However, the significant differences were identified based on age, first language, level of education, place of graduation, faculty of graduation, yeas of teaching experience and grade level taught. Age was one of the factors affecting the teachers' attitudes towards using L1. The findings of age were also consistent with the findings regarding years of teaching experience. According to those who are younger, L1 is a good facilitator and scaffolding tool for them which eases their way of teaching and saves their time. They frequently feel the need of L1 use because they want everything to be clear and comprehensible for the students. They most frequently use L1 to make the meaning clear while giving instructions, new vocabulary items, and complex grammar structures. They also use L1 for humor to make students feel happy and relaxed in the classroom because when they hear familiar words in their language in a foreign language class may

make them feel less isolated. It can be said that they use Turkish as a bridge to TL for their students to prevent them feel alienated in the classroom. However, older teachers having more years of teaching experience believe that TL should be used in the class more than L1 and they believe that most of the time, they can manage to motivate their students, teaching grammar, vocabulary, and giving instructions in English. Another factor affecting teachers' perception of using L1 was the first language. It was revealed that teachers whose L1 was Turkish use the bilingual approach more frequently than others. They had more positive attitudes towards using L1 than teachers whose L1 was English. In contrast to Mart (2013) who claimed that "the teacher is whether native or non-native speaker, the use of L1 cannot be avoided" (p.13), it was revealed that there were significant differences in perceptions of teachers whose L1 is Turkish and teachers whose L1 is English. Those who were Turkish stated that using Turkish in their classes was time-saving and practical for them to teach grammar and vocabulary items. However, as it was seen from the results, teachers whose L1 was English used L1 less frequently than others. This might be because they want their students mostly to engage with TL like learning L1 and they might also not have a good proficiency level in Turkish, so they did not want their students to get confused by making the wrong word choice. As it was revealed from the study of Bensen and Çavuşoğlu (2013), teachers whose L1 is Turkish felt the need for code-switching more than the teachers whose L1 was English. The results based on this category were also consistent with the place of graduation because the teachers who graduated from Cyprus and Turkey had more positive attitudes towards using L1 than those who graduated from other countries. They frequently use L1 to teach grammar, to teach new vocabulary items, to clear the meaning of a given instruction or when students have comprehension problems, to motivate students, and create a more comfortable environment for them. However, teachers who graduated from other countries tend to use TL more than Turkish. This may be because the teacher education programs in other countries are different from those in other countries. The place of education is very important in shaping teachers' perspectives towards teaching methods and approaches in teaching a foreign language.

Moreover, the level of education and faculty of graduation were important factors for teachers in shaping their perception about using L1 in EFL classrooms.

The findings revealed that there were significant differences in perceptions based on the level of education and faculty of education. While teachers who had an MA, degree tended to use English more than Turkish, teachers who had only a BA degree supported using L1 more frequently in the classroom. Teachers who had only a BA degree believed that L1 is time-saving, practical, and a facilitator, especially while teaching grammar and vocabulary and giving complex structures and instructions. They feel the need of using L1 more than others with MA degrees. It can be stated that teachers who had a MA degree increased their awareness of the advantages and disadvantages of using L1 in the classroom and towards effective teaching methods and approaches. On the other hand, the faculty of education has an impact on shaping teachers' perceptions of using L1. It is revealed that faculties of education and arts and sciences have programs that increase teachers' awareness towards using L1 and they might get teacher training programs in their faculties so that they can manage to overcome the problems without using L1 most of the time.

Besides, the findings show that EFL teachers mostly prefer to use L1 with lower-level students. Therefore, it can be said that the level of the students affects teachers' use of L1. They frequently use L1 to teach grammar, teach vocabulary, give instructions, and to make the meaning comprehensible for the younger students who have lower proficiency levels than others. They frequently prefer to use the Grammar Translation Method (GTM) and translate reading passages, listening gist, instructions, and all the given structures into Turkish to make students understand everything taught. This is similar to Kayaoğlu (2012) who stated that L1 can be used for teaching grammatical structures, especially for beginner levels. Because of the younger students' lower level of proficiency, teachers frequently use L1 most of the time during the lesson. Teachers also believe that it is important to keep students motivated and happy during the lesson, so they use L1 for humor to create a comfortable environment for students in which they will feel less anxious. They sometimes tell jokes and stories in Turkish to make students more comfortable by hearing familiar words and phrases in their languages.

CHAPTER V

Conclusion and Recommendations

This final chapter of the thesis will first present the summary of the results of the conducted survey. Then, it will mention the implications of the study and finally, it will present recommendations for further research studies. A researcher-made questionnaire was administered among 170 English as a Foreign Language (EFL) teachers in northern Cyprus to collect data about their perceptions regarding the use of the first language (L1). The collected data were quantitatively analyzed through descriptive statistics and parametric tests were also run to identify possible differences based on the given categories.

Summary of the Main Results

The use of L1 in EFL classrooms has been a controversial topic in which there has not been an agreement on whether L1 should be used or not. While some teachers claim that L1 should be used in EFL classrooms to facilitate learning, others reject using it. The present study aimed to investigate EFL teachers' perceptions about using L1, the frequency of teachers' L1 in EFL classrooms use, and the cases in which they do so in the context of state secondary schools in northern Cyprus. Besides, the differences in teachers' perceptions and practices based on age, gender, first language, level of education, place of graduation, faculty of graduation, years of teaching experience and grade level taught were analyzed.

The analysis of the data provided answers to the research questions and subquestions which were stated in Chapter III. The data showed that the majority of the teachers had a neutral approach towards using L1 in EFL classrooms; they sometimes prefer to use it when they feel that there is a need for using it. They undecided about whether using L1 is time-saving, practical and a facilitator for them to teach English or not – while some teachers strongly agreed with these, others strongly disagreed. Therefore, they try to use it only when there is a necessary situation. This is similar to the previous studies (Kayaoğlu, 2012; Mahmutoğlu & Kıcır, 2013) which indicated that teachers sometimes preferred to use L1 to clarify the difficult concepts, to teach grammar and vocabulary and finally to help students

comprehend difficult structures easily. They most frequently prefer to use L1 to deal with the problems that may occur in the classroom, to clarify the meaning of a word to make it more understandable for the students, especially while giving instructions, complex grammar structures and new vocabulary items. On the other hand, the majority of the teachers did not support using L1 to translate reading passages and the gist of listening passages. This means that most of them believe that students should be exposed to the input of the target language (TL). Therefore, while doing listening and reading exercises, according to the participants, it is important to provide students with TL as much as possible rather than using L1. Although the majority of the teachers supported using L2 more than L1, they did not agree on giving minus to their students as a punishment when they use L1. This can be interpreted that as teachers not wanting to scare their students by applying punishment. This also shows that they care about their students' needs including the psychological needs as well because when there is a comfortable learning environment for the students, their anxiety levels will decrease and language learning will be facilitated. In this respect, they have similar views with Auerbach (1993), who stated that using L1 reduces anxiety level and creates an effective learning environment for the students.

With respect to differences among groups of teachers, the results revealed that while there was no difference in their perceptions and uses of L1 in the classroom based on gender, several differences were identified based on age, first language, level of education, faculty of graduation, place of graduation, years of teaching experience and year group taught. Age factor was found to be affecting the teachers' perceptions of using L1 and this finding was also consistent with the findings regarding years of teaching experience; the younger and less experienced teachers frequently use the bilingual approach while older and more experienced ones frequently prefer to use the monolingual approach. Another factor affecting teachers' perceptions about using L1 was the first language. It was revealed that teachers whose L1 was Turkish used the bilingual approach more frequently than others. The results based on this category were also consistent with the place of graduation because the teachers who graduated from Cyprus and Turkey had more positive attitudes towards using L1 than those who graduated from other countries. They frequently used L1 to teach grammar and new vocabulary items, to clarify the meaning of a given instruction or when students have comprehension problems, to

motivate students, and to create a more comfortable environment for them. However, teachers who graduated from other countries tended to use TL more than Turkish to achieve these aims.

The Level of education and faculty of graduation were also important factors for teachers in shaping their perception about using L1 in EFL classrooms. The findings revealed that there were significant differences in perceptions based on the level of education and faculty of education. While teachers who had an MA, degree tended to use English more than Turkish, teachers who only held a BA degree supported using L1 more frequently in the classroom. Teachers who had only a BA degree believed that L1 is time-saving, practical, and a facilitator, especially while teaching grammar and vocabulary, and giving complex structures and instructions. In addition, the findings showed that EFL teachers mostly preferred to use L1 with lower-level students. Therefore, it can be said that the level of the students has an impact on teachers' use of L1. They frequently used L1 to teach grammar and vocabulary, to give instructions, and to make the meaning comprehensible for the younger students who have lower proficiency levels than others.

Pedagogical Implications for Teachers

The findings related to L1 use in English language education reveals that despite the rejection of L1 use in some contexts by EFL teachers, it can be used in certain cases for helping teachers to make the teaching and learning process more effective. Taking the findings into account, it is suggested that teachers should be aware of the importance of using TL more than L1 in the EFL classrooms. Since younger and less experienced teachers had more positive perceptions of L1 use, it is recommended that there should be in-service trainings and education programs for those teachers who tend to use L1 more than TL in the classroom. These programs can focus on helping teachers reduce the use of L1 in cases where they feel the need to use L1. They should also focus on equipping teachers with techniques and strategies that would allow them to use English extensively when teaching different language aspects (e.g. grammar, vocabulary, giving instructions so on). ELT training should raise teachers' awareness of the practical advantages and disadvantages of using L1. Teachers should be aware of how, when, and for what purposes L1 can be

used beneficially. The role of L1 should not be overemphasized in teacher training programs. The fact that the results showed MA holders to be more positive about the monolingual approach also suggests that those who are exposed to research-oriented training have the opportunity to raise their awareness in this regard. Therefore, it may be recommended that at the BA level, teacher candidates should be exposed to more research studies regarding this issue to raise their awareness. Another suggestion can be given for the teachers who tend to use L1 to overcome the problems that students face while using English in the classroom. If they choose tasks that are appropriate to the learners' proficiency level, inform students about the importance of using TL classroom, use non-threatening tasks and attitudes, then L1 use may be minimized and TL use will be increased. Teachers should minimize L1 use, especially in lower-level students, by using basic and simple words according to their levels. They can also make the meaning clear by using visuals such as gestures, flashcards, pictures, and slideshows to make the input more comprehensible for the students.

Recommendations for Further Studies

As this study was conducted quantitatively to reveal the EFL teachers' perceptions about using L1 based on their age, gender, first language, level of education, faculty of graduation, place of graduation, years of teaching experience and grade level taught, further studies can be done qualitatively to reveal specific examples of teachers' practices on using, paying attention to these variables. Similar studies to Bensen and Çavuşoğlu (2013) where classroom recordings made in the state secondary schools can be analyzed to compare the findings of this study to the actual practices of the teachers in this regard. Such a study would also reveal exactly where and how L1 is used in secondary school classrooms. Such a study can also be supported by participant observations. Moreover, interviews with teachers can be conducted to discuss further and to get more detailed answers to the research questions. Another study can also be conducted with students studying in state schools to understand their perceptions of the L1 use in the classroom. Such a study would help us understand how, as receivers of this education, students feel about a monolingual approach to be used in the classroom.

With regard to the findings of teacher training and factors that are relevant, i.e. level of education, place of graduation and faculty of graduation, further studies focusing on the content of teacher education programs and approaches of specific departments and lecturers, especially in the context of Cyprus and Turkey, can be conducted. Their approaches to the issue appear to be crucial in shaping the attitudes and perceptions of future teachers regarding L1 use in the EFL classroom. In further research, the specific information related to the 'other' option for place of graduation and faculty of graduation can be investigated as this was a significant factor in differences in both the perceptions towards and the frequency of use of L1.

Finally, further research can focus on analyzing the course descriptions and content of "Approaches to ELT" or "Methodology" courses offered as part of the four-year teacher education programs in Turkey and Cyprus. A comparative study between these courses and those offered abroad for similar qualifications may help us understand how graduates of other countries are trained to develop an awareness, as well as the skills, to deal with teaching English without using L1. The findings of such studies can be beneficial for revising the course contents and approaches used to train English language teachers in the Cypriot and Turkish contexts.

REFERENCES

- Afzal, S. (2013). Using of the first language in English classroom as a way of scaffolding for both the students and teachers to learn and teach English.

 International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences, 4(7), 1846-1854. Retrieved from http://www.irjabs.com/en/s_search.php
- Ahsan, M., Ghani, M., & Khaliq, A. (2016). Teachers' perceptions toward the use of Urdu language in teaching/learning English as a foreign language.

 International Journal of Business and Social Science, 7(8), 61-72.
- Al-Hinai, M. K. (2011), The Use of the L1 in the Elementary English Language

 Classroom. Retrieved from http://www.moe.gov.om/Portal/sitebuilder/sites/

 EPS/English/MOE/baproject/Ch2.pdf
- Aliaga, M., & Gunderson, B. (2002). *Interactive Statistics*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Arifin, H. M. (2015). The influence of competence, motivation, and organizational culture to high school teacher job satisfaction, and performance. *International Education Studies*, 8(1), 38-45. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/deref/http%3A%2F%2Fdx.doi.org%2F10.5539 %2Fies.v8n1p38
- Atkinson, D. (1987). The mother tongue in the classroom: A neglected resource? *ELT journal*, 41(4), 241-247. doi: 10.1080/09571739385200261
- Auerbach, E.R. (1993). Reexamining English only in the ESL classroom. *TESOL Quarterly*. 27(1), 9-32. Retrieved from http://www.scielo.br/pdf/rbla/v1n1/04.pdf
- Bensen, H., & Çavuşoğlu, Ç. (2013). Reasons for the teachers uses of codeswitching in adult EFL classrooms. *Hasan Ali Yücel Faculty of Education Journal*, 20(2), 69-82. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/322661827_Reasons_for_the_teach ers'_uses_of_code-switching_in_adult_EFL_classrooms

- Bolitho, R. (1983). Talking shop: The communicative teaching of English in non-English-speaking countries. *ELT Journal*, *37*(3), 235-242. doi: 10.1093/elt/37.3.235
- Bouangeune, S. (2009). Using L1 in teaching vocabulary to low English proficiency level students: A case study at the university of Laos. *English Language Teaching*, 2(3), 186-193.
- Brown, H.D. (2000). *Principles of language learning and teaching* (4th ed.). New York, NY: Longman.
- Brown, H.D. (2001). Teaching by principles: an interactive approach to language.
- Butzkamm, W. (2003), We only learn language once. The role of the mother tongue in FL classrooms: death of dogma, *Language Learning Journal*. 28(1), 29-39. doi: 10.1080/09571730385200181
- Cameron, L. (2001). *Teaching languages to young learners*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Chaudron, C. (1988). Second Language Classroom: Research on Teaching and Learning. New York: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139524469
- Crichton, H. (2009). 'Value added' modern languages teaching in the classroom: An investigation into how teachers' use of classroom target language can aid pupils' communication skills. *Language Learning Journal*, *37*(1). doi: 10.1080/09571730902717562
- Cole, S. (1998). The use of L1 in communicative English classrooms. *Language Teacher Kyoto-JALT-*, 22, 11-14.
- Cook, V. (2001). Using the first language in the classroom. *Canadian Modern Language Review*. *57*(3), 402-423.
- Copland, F., & Neokleous, G. (2010). L1 to teach L2: Complexities and contradictions. *ELT Journal*, 65, 270-280. doi: 10.3138/cmlr.57.3.402

- Cudi, B., Kılıç, M. E., & Kaplan, Ö. (2014). Teachers' and learners' perceptions about using L1 in English language classrooms. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/11939407/TEACHERS_AND_LEARNERS_PER CEPTIONS_ABOUT_USING_L1_IN_ENGLISH_LANGUAGE_CLASSR OOMS
- Cummins, J. (1978). *Metalinguistic development of children in bilingual education*programs: Data from Irish and Canadian-Ukrainian-English programs. In

 M. Paradis(ed.), Aspects of Bilingualism. Columbia: Hornbeam Press.
- Çelik, Ş. S, & Aydın, S. (2018). A review of research on the use of native language in EFL classes. *The Literacy Trek*, 4(2), 1-14.
- Debreli, E. (2016). Perceptions of non-native EFL teachers' on L1 use in EFL classrooms: Implications for language program development. *Canadian Center of Science and Education*, *9*(3), 24-32. doi: 10.5539/elt.v9n3p24
- De la Campa, J. C., & Nassaji, H. (2009). The amount, purpose, and reasons for using L1 in L2 classrooms. *Foreign Language Annals*, 42(4), 742-759.
- Deller, S., & Rinvolucri, M. (2002). *Using the mother tongue: Making the most of the learner's language*. London: Delta Publishing.
- Ellis, R. (2012). *Language teaching research and language pedagogy*. West Sussex, UK: Wiley- Blackwell. Farrington.
- Elmetwally, E. E. (2012). Students' and teachers' attitudes towards the use of learners' mother tongue in English language classrooms in UAE public high schools (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). The British University in Dubai, Dubai.
- Erkan, S. T. (2019). *The perceptions of mother tongue use in EFL classes on second language learning* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). On sekiz Mart University in Çanakkale, Turkey.
- Freeman, L. D. (2000). *Techniques and principles in language teaching*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Freeman, L. D., & Anderson, M. (2011). *Techniques and principles in language teaching (3rd ed.)*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Genesee, F. (1987). Learning through two languages: Studies of immersion and bilingual education. Cambridge, MA: Newbury House
- Han, J., & Park, K. (2017). Monolingual or bilingual approach: The effectiveness of teaching methods in second language classroom. *Purdue Languages and Cultures Conference*. Retrieved from https://bit.ly/3fKdlsb
- Harmer, J. (2001). The practice of English language teaching. London/New York.
- House, S. (1997). *An introduction to teaching English to children*. London: Richmond Publishing.
- Howatt, A.P.R. (1984) A History of English Language Teaching. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Huang, Y. P. (2009). English-only instruction in post-secondary education in Taiwan: Voices from students. *Hwa Kang Journal of English Language & Literature*, 15, 145-157.
- İnal, S., & Turhanlı, I. (2019). Teachers' opinions on the use of L1 in EFL classes.

 Dergipark, 15(3), 861-875. Retrieved from https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/jlls/issue/49436/631526
- Iwai, Y. (2011). The Effects of Metacognitive Reading Strategies: Pedagogical Implications for EFL/ESL Teachers. *The Reading Matrix*, *11*(2), 150-159.
- Jadallah, M., & Hasan, F. (2011). A review of some new trends in using L1 in the EFL classroom. Retrieved from https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/A-Review-of-Some-New-Trends-in-Using-L-1-in-the-EFL-Jadallah-Al-Quds/0c53b4e4b805928868ca5199983b444d3e655fb2
- Kayaoğlu, M. N. (2012). The use of mother tongue in foreign language teaching from teachers' practice and perspective. *Pamukkale University Faculty of Education Journal*, 32(2), 25-35. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/272723224_The_Use_of_Mother_T ongue_in_Foreign_Language_Teaching_from_Teachers'_Practice_and_Persp ective

- Kaymakamoğlu, S., & Yıltanlılar, A. (2019). Non-native English teachers' perceptions about using Turkish (L1) in EFL classrooms: A case study. *International Online Journal of Education and Teaching (IOJET)*, 6(2), 327-337. Retrieved from https://iojet.org/index.php/IOJET/article/view/614
- Kellerman, E. (1995). Crosslinguistic influence: Transfer to nowhere? *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 15, 125-150.
- Kim, Y., & Petraki, E. (2009). Students' and teachers' use of and attitudes to L1 in the EFL classrooms. *Asian EFL Journal*, 11(4), 58-89.
- Krashen, S. (1981). Second language acquisition and second language learning. Oxford: Pergamon.
- Levine, G. S. (2003). Student and instructor beliefs about target language use, first language use, and anxiety: Report of a questionnaire study. *Modern Language Journal*, 87(3), 343–364.
- Littlewood, W., & Yu, B. (2011). First language and target language in the foreign language classroom. *Cambridge Journals*, 44(1). 64-77. doi:10.1017/S0261444809990310
- Liu, D., Ahn, G. S., Baek, K., S., & Han, N. O. (2004). South Korean high school English teachers' code-switching: questions and challenges in the drive for maximal use of English in teaching. *TESOL Quarterly*, 38(4), 605-638.
- Macaro, E. (2001). Analyzing student teachers' codeswitching in foreign language classrooms: Theories and decision making. *The Modern Language Journal*, 85(4), 531-548.
- Machaal, B. (2012). The use of Arabic in English classes: a teaching support or a learning hindrance? *Arab World English Journal*, *3*(2), 194-232. Retrieved from http://repository.um.edu.my/41240/1/Mohamad%20Azrien%20%26%20Shuk eri%20(2014)%20The%20use%20of%20L1.pdf
- Mahmutoğlu, H., & Kıcır, Z. (2013). The use of mother tongue in EFL classrooms. *EUL Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(1), 49-72. Retrieved from https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/euljss/issue/6283/84328 4

- Mansor, R. (2017). The Use of the Mother Tongue in the Teaching of English as a Foreign Language in Libyan Higher Education (Unpublished doctoral Dissertation). Manchester Metropolitan University.
- Mart, Ç. T. (2013). The facilitating role of L1 in ESL classes. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 3(1), 9-14.
- McMillan, B., & Rivers, D. J. (2011). The practice of policy: Teacher attitudes toward "English only". *System*, *39*, 251-263. Doi: 10.1016/j.system.2011.04.011
- Méndez, G., & Pavon, V. (2012). Investigating the coexistence of the mother tongue and the foreign language through teacher collaboration in CLIL contexts:

 Perceptions and practice of the teachers involved in the plurilingual program in Andalusia. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 15(5), 1-20. Doi:10.1080/13670050.2012.670195
- Miles, R. (2004). Evaluating the use of L1 in the English language classroom.

 (Unpublished master's thesis). University of Birmingham Department of English Language Teaching, Birmingham, United Kingdom.
- Mishra, P., Singh, U., Pandey, C. M., Mishra¹, P., & Pandey², G. (2019). Application of students' t-test, analysis of variance, and covariance. *Annals of Cardiac Anesthesia*, 22(4), 407-411. Doi: 10.4103/aca.ACA_94_19
- Mitchell, R. (1988). Communicative language teaching in practice. London: CILT.
- Mugla, E. Ü., & Seedhouse, P. (2005). Why that, in that language, right now? Code switching and pedagogical focus. *IJAL*, *15*(3), 302-325. doi: 10.1177/0033688214534796
- Nation, P. (2003). The role of the first language in foreign language learning. *Asian EFL Journal*, 5(2), 1-8.
- Nazary, M. (2008). The role of L1 in L2 acquisition: Attitudes of Iranian university students. *Novitas Royal*, 2(2), 138-153. Retrieved from http://www.novitasroyal.org/nazary.pdf
- Nunan, D. (1989a). *Designing tasks for the communicative classroom*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Nunan, D. (1989b). *Understanding Language Classrooms*. Hemel Hempstead, Herts: Prentice Hall.
- Pacek, D. (2003). Should EFL Give Up on Translation: 11th Annual Korea TESOL International Conference. Seoul.
- Paker, T. & Karaağaç, Ö. (2015). The use and functions of mother tongue in EFL classes. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *199*, 111–119.
- Pennycook, A. (1994). *The cultural politics of English as an international language*. London: Longman.
- Phillipson, R. (1992). ELT: the native speaker's burden? *ELT Journal*, 40(1), 12-18. doi: 10.1093/elt/46.1.12
- Pokharel, B. R. (2001). *Applied linguistics*. Kathmandu: Jupiter Publishers and Distributors.
- Power, T. (2003). Communicative Language Teaching: The appeal and poverty of Communicative Language Teaching. *TESOL Quarterly*, 25(7), 87-96.

 Retrieved from http://www.btinternet.com/~ted.power/esl0404.html
- Puchta, H., & Williams, M. (2013). Teaching young learners to think. *Modern English Teacher*, 22(4), 83-84. Retrieved from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/a589/18e2e5c5e2b2e77daa1e942a849f3a44a 4d1.pdf
- Rabani, M. E., Bejarzehi, A., & Ehsanjou, M. (2014). Iranian EFL high school teachers' attitudes towards the use of L1 in teaching reading comprehension text. *International Journal of Language Learning and Applied Linguistics World (IJLLALW)*, 5(4), 267-276.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2003). *Approaches and methods in language teaching (2nd ed)*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ross, N. J. (2000). Interference and Intervention: Using Translation in the EFL Classroom. *Modern English Teacher*, *9*(3), 61–66.
- Sali, P. (2014). An analysis of the teachers' use of L1 in Turkish EFL classrooms. *System*, 42, 308-318.

- Samadi, M. R. (2011). *Role of The L1 in FL Classrooms: Learner and Teacher Beliefs, Attitudes and Practices* (Master thesis). Kansas State University. Retrieved from https://krex.k-state.edu/dspace/handle/2097/7994
- Sampson, A. (2011). Learner code-switching versus English only. *ELT Journal*, *3*, 1-11. doi:10.1093/elt/ccr067
- Sarıçoban, A. (2010). Should native languages be allowed in foreign language classes? *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, *38*, 164-178.
- Schweers Jr, C.W. (1999). Using L1 in the L2 classroom. *English Teaching Forum*, *37*(2), 6-9.
- Scott, V. M., & de la Fuente, M. J. (2008). What's the problem? L2 learners' use of the L1 during consciousness-raising, form-focused tasks. *The Modern Language Journal*, 92(1), 100-113.
- Sharma, B. K. (2006). Mother Tongue Use in English Classroom. *Journal of Nelta*, 11(1-2), 80-87.
- Silvani, D. (2014). The use of first language in English classroom. Retrieved from file:///C:/Users/Lenovo/Downloads/THE_USE_OF_FIRST_LANGUAGE_I N_ENGLISH_CLA.pdf
- Sipra, M. A. (2007). Bilingualism as Teaching Aid in a Language Class: L1 as a Facilitator in Teaching / Learning Process of L2 at Intermediate/Certificate Level (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). National University of Modern Languages, Islamabad.
- Stern, H. H. (1992). *Issues and options in language teaching*. P. Allen & B. Harley (Eds.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Sukamolson, S. (2007). Fundamentals of quantitative research (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Language Institute Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok.
- Şahin, M., & Şahin, T. (2019). İngilizce derslerinde anadil kullanımı üzerinde bir araştırma. *IBAD Journal of Social Sciences*, *5*, 379-396. doi: 10.21733/ibad.627495

- Tajgozari, M. (2017). Factors contributing to the use of L1 in English classroom: listening to the voice of teachers and students in Iranian institutes.

 *International Journal of Research in English Education. 2(2), 63-75.

 Retrieved from http://ijreeonline.com/article-1-43-en.html&sw=Tajgozari
- Tang, J. (2002). Using L1 in the English classroom. English Teaching Forum, 40 (1).
 Retrieved from https://americanenglish.state.gov/files/ae/resource_files/02-40-1-h.pdf
- Tavakol, M., & Dennick, R. (2011). Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. *International Journal of Medical Education*, 2, 53-55. doi: 10.5116/ijme.4dfb.8dfd
- Thorne, S. L., Black, R. W., & Sykes, J. M. (2009). Second language use, socialization, and learning in Internet interest communities and online gaming. *The Modern Language Journal*, *93*(1), 802-821.
- Turnbull, M., & Arnett, K. (2002). 11. Teachers' uses of the target and first languages in second and foreign language classrooms. *Annual review of applied linguistics*, 22, 204-218. doi: 10.1017/S026719052000119
- Ustünel, E., & Seedhouse, P. (2005). Why that, in that language, right now? Codeswitching and pedagogical focus. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 15(3), 302-325.
- Vaezi, S., & Mirzaei, M. (2007). The effect of using translation from L1 to L2 as a teaching technique on the improvement of EFL learners' linguistic accuracy focus on form. *Humanising Language Teaching*, *9*(5). Retrieved from http://www.hltmag.co.uk/Sep07/mart03.htm.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1962). *Thought and language*. In Robert & Carton (eds.). The Collected Works of L.S. Vygotsky. Problems of General Psychology, 1, 39-285. New York, NY: Plenum.
- Wharton, C. (2007). Informed use of the mother tongue in the English language classroom. Retrieved from https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/documents/college-artslaw/cels/essays/secondlanguage/wharton-p-grammar.pdf

- Willis, J. (1981). Teaching English through English. London: Longman.
- Yenice, Z. E., (2018). The use of L1 in EFL classrooms in the context of Turkey.

 Retrieved from

 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/325253968 The Use of L1 in EFL Classrooms in the Context of Turkey
- Zacharias, N. T. (2003). A survey of tertiary teachers' beliefs about English

 Language Teaching in Indonesia with regard to the role of English as a

 global language (Unpublished master's thesis). Assumption University,

 Bangkok, Thailand.

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Teacher Questionnaire

The Perceptions of Teachers in Cyprus about Using Turkish in English

Language Classes

Participant Information Sheet and Informed Consent Form

Dear Participant,

This questionnaire is a part of a research study that we are carrying out in order to learn more about teachers' perceptions about using Turkish in English language classes. The data collected through this questionnaire will be used to understand for what purposes and when English teachers use Turkish in their classrooms. By filling in the following questionnaire, you agree to participate in this study.

Please note that your participation in this study is voluntary. Your identity will not be revealed in any case to third parties. The data collected will be used for academic research purposes only and may be presented at national academic meetings or/and publications. You may quit participating in this study at any time by contacting us. If you opt out of the study, your data will be deleted from our database and will not be included in any further steps of the study. In case you have any questions or concerns, please contact us using the information below

Gülsen Burat MA Candidate English Language Teaching Department, Near East University Tel: 05338439343

Mail: gulsenburat3@gmail.com

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Çise Çavuşoğlu

Supervisor

English Language Teaching Department, Near East University

Tel: 0090 444 0938 – Ext: 5334 Mail: cise.cavusoglu@neu.edu.tr

PART 1

Please answer the demographic questions below.
1. Age:

2.	Gender: ()	Male (() Female	
3.	First Langua () Turkish	O	English	() Other
4.	Level of Edu	cation	() PhD	

5.	Place of Graduation	on (Please co	onsider your latest degree):
	() Cyprus	() Turkey	() Other

6. Faculty of Graduation (Please consider your latest degree):() Faculty of Education () Faculty of Arts and Sciences () Other

7. Years of teaching experience:

() 1-4
() 5-10
() 11-15
() 16 or more

8. Which grade(s) do you teach?

PART 2

Please read the following statements that indicate different opinions about using first language (Turkish) in the English language classrooms. Then, please choose to what extent **you agree or disagree** with the statements.

	Statements	Strongly	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1.	It is important to greet students in Turkish to make them feel comfortable before starting the lesson.	1	2	3	4	5
2.	It is important to translate a reading passage into Turkish to make students understand each detail.	1	2	3	4	5
3.	By explaining the gist of a listening passage into Turkish, students can easily understand what it is about.	1	2	3	4	5
4.	I think using Turkish is time-saving in the classroom.	1	2	3	4	5
5.	I think using Turkish is practical while teaching grammar.	1	2	3	4	5
6.	I think using Turkish is more practical while teaching new vocabulary.	1	2	3	4	5
7.	I think using Turkish to summarize the lesson is better to make it more comprehensible for the students.	1	2	3	4	5
8.	It is important to use Turkish to get the students' attention when they get bored.	1	2	3	4	5
9.	I think talking about daily life in Turkish makes students relaxed.	1	2	3	4	5
10.	I think using Turkish reduces barriers to language learning.	1	2	3	4	5
11.	I prefer using Turkish to warn students because they take it more seriously when I use Turkish.	1	2	3	4	5
12.	Students do not understand anything in English, so I think it is better to speak in Turkish while teaching.	1	2	3	4	5

13. Turkish should be used in the classroom so that students can make connections between two	1	2	3	4	5
languages.					
14. I support using Turkish more than English in the	1	2	3	4	5
classroom.					
15. I think Turkish should be used as a facilitator	1	2	3	4	5
when teaching English.					
16. I think Turkish provides scaffolding for me to	1	2	3	4	5
help the students when they have problems.					
17. I think that using Turkish in English language	_		_	_	
classrooms can help students to do better in the	1	2	3	4	5
exams.					
18. I think making jokes in Turkish creates a friendly	1	2	3	4	5
environment in the class.					
19. I prefer using Turkish because my students find	1	2	3	4	5
me strict if I always speak in English.					
20. It is important to use Turkish in order to form	1	2	3	4	5
closer relationships with the students.					
21. I think using Turkish makes students feel			_		_
comfortable to ask me whenever they have	1	2	3	4	5
questions.					
22. I prefer using Turkish because my students do	1	2	3	4	5
not listen to me when I use English all the time.					
23. I think that using bilingual education is better	1	_	2	4	_
than using only the target language (English)	1	2	3	4	5
while teaching.					
24. It is important to make students understand their	1	_	3	<u> </u>	_
mistakes, so I use Turkish to explain them their	1	2	3	4	5
mistakes.					
25. It is important to give instructions in Turkish to	4	_	_		
make sure that students are clear about the	1	2	3	4	5
instructions.					

PART 3

Please read the following statements that indicate different opinions about using first language (Turkish) in the English language classrooms. Then, please choose **how often** they apply to you.

	Never	Seldom	Sometimes	Often	Always
26. I use Turkish to greet students.	1	2	3	4	5
27. I use Turkish at the warm up stage to remind					
students what we have covered at the previous	1	2	3	4	5
lesson.					
28. I give Turkish equivalents of the unknown	1	2	3	4	5
words.					
29. I translate the reading passages into Turkish.	1	2	3	4	5
30. I use Turkish to summarize the topics that we have already covered.	1	2	3	4	5
•					
31. I compare English grammar with Turkish grammar while teaching new grammatical structures.	1	2	3	4	5
32. I speak in Turkish rather than English during the class activities.	1	2	3	4	5
33. I use Turkish to create a comfortable environment for students.	1	2	3	4	5
34. I use Turkish to correct students' mistakes.	1	2	3	4	5
35. I use Turkish to give feedback to students.	1	2	3	4	5
36. I first give the instructions in English and then in Turkish.	1	2	3	4	5

37. When students have problems, I help them by speaking in Turkish.	1	2	3	4	5
38. I ask my students to translate the reading texts into Turkish.	1	2	3	4	5
39. I use Turkish to deal with discipline problems in the classroom.	1	2	3	4	5
40. I use Turkish to make jokes.	1	2	3	4	5
41. I explain the gist of listening passages in Turkish.	1	2	3	4	5
42. I can easily get students' attention by using Turkish.	1	2	3	4	5
43. I ask students to use bilingual dictionaries in class.	1	2	3	4	5
44. I use Turkish to ask students if they have any questions about the lesson.	1	2	3	4	5
45. I speak in Turkish while students do pair/group work.	1	2	3	4	5
46. I speak in Turkish when I talk about something unrelated with the lesson in the class.	1	2	3	4	5
47. I use Turkish to motivate my students when they get bored.	1	2	3	4	5
48. I use gestures, mimes or visuals to clarify the meaning rather than using Turkish.	1	2	3	4	5
49. I use Turkish to tell stories related to the topic.	1	2	3	4	5
50. I explain homework tasks in Turkish.	1	2	3	4	5
51. I support the "English only" policy in the classroom.	1	2	3	4	5
52. I give minus to the students who use Turkish in classroom.	1	2	3	4	5
53. When students ask me something in Turkish, I reply to them in Turkish as well.	1	2	3	4	5
54. Students do not understand anything in English, so I use Turkish in the classroom.	1	2	3	4	5
55. I use Turkish to teach complex grammar structures.	1	2	3	4	5

Appendix B. Permission Granted by the Scientific Research Ethics Committee of Near East University



BİLİMSEL ARAŞTIRMALAR ETİK KURULU

16.12.2019

Dear Gülsen Burat

Your application titled "Use of Turkish in English as a Foreign Language Classrooms: The Perceptions of Teachers in Northern Cyprus" with the application number YDÜ/EB/2019/404 has been evaluated by the Scientific Research Ethics Committee and granted approval. You can start your research on the condition that you will abide by the information provided in your application form.

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Direnç Kanol Rapporteur of the Scientific Research Ethics Committee

Note:If you need to provide an official letter to an institution with the signature of the Head of NEU Scientific Research Ethics Committee, please apply to the secretariat of the ethics committee by showing this document.

Appendix C. Permission Granted by the Ministry of Education



Sayı : GOÖ.0.00-006-19/E.11185

23 Aralık 2019

Konu : Gülsen Burat'ın Anket Başvurusu

Sayın Gülsen BURAT

İlgi : 23 Aralık 2019 tarihli ve TTD.0.00-006-19/E.2543 sayılı yazınız.

Talim ve Terbiye Dairesi Müdürlüğü'nün ilgi yazısı uyarınca "The Perceptions Of Teacher In Cyprus, About Using Turkish In English Language Classes" konulu anketin gizlilik ve gönüllülük ilkelerine riayet edilerek uygulanması müdürlüğümüzce uygun görülmüştür.

Ancak anketi uygulamadan önce çalışmaya katılacak olanların bağlı bulunduğu okul müdürlüğüyle istişarede bulunulup, anketin hangi okulda ne zaman uygulanacağı birlikte saptanmalıdır.

Anketi uyguladıktan sonra sonuçlarının Talim ve Terbiye Dairesi Müdürlüğü'ne ulaştırılması yasa gereğidir.

Bilgilerinize saygı ile rica ederim.

e-imzalıdır
ALİ YAMAN
Daire Müdürü

Appendix D. Results of the Data Analysis: Inferential Statistics

Appendix D.1. Post-Hoc LSD Test Results for Differences in Perceptions Based on Age

LSD

			Mean Difference	
Dependent Variable	(I) Age	(J) Age	(I-J)	Sig.
It is important to translate a	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,75159*	,001
reading passage into Turkish to		Older Teachers	,44167	,174
make students understand each	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,75159*	,001
detail.		Older Teachers	-,30992	,249
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,44167	,174
		Middle-aged Teachers	,30992	,249
By explaining the gist of a	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,59415*	,006
listening passage into Turkish,		Older Teachers	,70000*	,030
students can easily understand	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,59415*	,006
what it is about.		Older Teachers	,10585	,689
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,70000*	,030
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,10585	,689
I think using Turkish is time-	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,23346	,269
saving in the classroom.		Older Teachers	,68333*	,030
	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,23346	,269
		Older Teachers	,44987	,084
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,68333*	,030
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,44987	,084
I think using Turkish is more	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,29039	,170
practical while teaching new		Older Teachers	,65833*	,037
vocabulary.	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,29039	,170
		Older Teachers	,36794	,157
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,65833*	,037
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,36794	,157
I think using Turkish to	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,40553*	,049
summarize the lesson is better		Older Teachers	,77500*	,011
to make it more	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,40553*	,049
comprehensible for the		Older Teachers	,36947	,142
students.	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,77500*	,011
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,36947	,142
It is important to use Turkish to	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,84224*	,000
get the students' attention when		Older Teachers	,73333*	,015
they get bored.	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,84224*	,000
		Older Teachers	-,10891	,660
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,73333*	,015
		Middle-aged Teachers	,10891	,660
I think talking about daily life	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,63613*	,001
in Turkish makes students		Older Teachers	,66667*	,017
relaxed.	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,63613*	,001
		Older Teachers	,03053	,894

	011 77 1			0.4.5
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,66667*	,017
T C ' T 1'1'		Middle-aged Teachers	-,03053	,894
I prefer using Turkish to warn	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,36609	,075
students because they take it	M: 111 17 1	Older Teachers	,60833*	,047
more seriously when I use	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,36609	,075
Turkish.	011 7 1	Older Teachers	,24224	,336
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,60833*	,047
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,24224	,336
Students do not understand	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,74491*	,001
anything in English, so I think		Older Teachers	,75000*	,022
it is better to speak in Turkish	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,74491*	,001
while teaching.		Older Teachers	,00509	,985
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,75000*	,022
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,00509	,985
Turkish should be used in the	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,49587*	,006
classroom so that students can		Older Teachers	,74167*	,006
make connections between two	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,49587*	,006
languages.		Older Teachers	,24580	,264
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,74167*	,006
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,24580	,264
I support using Turkish more	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,55344*	,002
than English in the classroom.		Older Teachers	,36667	,153
	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,55344*	,002
		Older Teachers	-,18677	,378
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,36667	,153
		Middle-aged Teachers	,18677	,378
I think Turkish provides	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,23982	,172
scaffolding for me to help the		Older Teachers	,58333*	,026
students when they have	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,23982	,172
problems.		Older Teachers	,34351	,111
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,58333*	,026
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,34351	,111
I think that using Turkish in	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,55884*	,008
English language classrooms		Older Teachers	,75833*	,016
can help students to do better in	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,55884*	,008
the exams.		Older Teachers	,19949	,439
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,75833*	,016
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,19949	,439
I think making jokes in Turkish	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,66539*	,000
creates a friendly environment		Older Teachers	1,03333*	,000
in the class.	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,66539*	,000
		Older Teachers	,36794	,098
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-1,03333*	,000
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,36794	,098
I prefer using Turkish because	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,48919*	,020
my students find me strict if I	-	Older Teachers	,05000	,872
always speak in English.	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,48919*	,020
	C	Older Teachers	-,43919	,088
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,05000	,872
		Middle-aged Teachers	,43919	,088
		5	,	*

It is important to use Turkish in	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,45802*	,026
order to form closer		Older Teachers	,40000	,186
relationships with the students.	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,45802*	,026
		Older Teachers	-,05802	,817
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,40000	,186
		Middle-aged Teachers	,05802	,817
I think using Turkish makes	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,55503*	,005
students feel comfortable to ask		Older Teachers	,52500	,071
me whenever they have	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,55503*	,005
questions.		Older Teachers	-,03003	,900
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,52500	,071
		Middle-aged Teachers	,03003	,900
I prefer using Turkish because	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,57188*	,008
my students do not listen to me		Older Teachers	,75000*	,019
when I use English all the time.	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,57188*	,008
		Older Teachers	,17812	,497
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,75000*	,019
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,17812	,497
I think that using bilingual	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,67017*	,001
education is better than using		Older Teachers	,64167*	,028
only the target language	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,67017*	,001
(English) while teaching.		Older Teachers	-,02850	,905
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,64167*	,028
		Middle-aged Teachers	,02850	,905
It is important to make students	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,56616*	,001
understand their mistakes, so I		Older Teachers	,83333*	,001
use Turkish to explain them	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,56616*	,001
their mistakes.		Older Teachers	,26718	,191
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,83333*	,001
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,26718	,191
It is important to give	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged Teachers	,79230*	,000
instructions in Turkish to make		Older Teachers	,90833*	,005
sure that students are clear	Middle-aged Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,79230*	,000
about the instructions.		Older Teachers	,11603	,664
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,90833*	,005
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,11603	,664

Appendix D1.1. One-way ANOVA Test Results for Differences in Perceptions

Based on Age

		ANOVA				
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
It is important to greet	Between Groups	1,543	2	,771	1,650	,195
students in Turkish to make	Within Groups	78,081	167	,468		
them feel comfortable before	Total	79,624	169			
starting the lesson.						
It is important to translate a	Between Groups	11,970	2	5,985	6,188	,003
reading passage into Turkish	Within Groups	161,535	167	,967		
to make students understand	Total	173,506	169			
each detail.						
By explaining the gist of a	Between Groups	7,696	2	3,848	4,090	,018
listening passage into	Within Groups	157,128	167	,941		
Turkish, students can easily	Total	164,824	169			
understand what it is about.						
I think using Turkish is time-	Between Groups	4,336	2	2,168	2,408	,093
saving in the classroom.	Within Groups	150,370	167	,900		
	Total	154,706	169			
I think using Turkish is	Between Groups	2,718	2	1,359	1,476	,232
practical while teaching	Within Groups	153,806	167	,921		
grammar.	Total	156,524	169			
I think using Turkish is more	Between Groups	4,042	2	2,021	2,240	,110
practical while teaching new	Within Groups	150,664	167	,902		
vocabulary.	Total	154,706	169			
I think using Turkish to	Between Groups	5,891	2	2,946	3,486	,033
summarize the lesson is	Within Groups	141,103	167	,845		
better to make it more	Total	146,994	169			
comprehensible for the						
students.						
It is important to use Turkish	Between Groups	15,060	2	7,530	10,373	,000
to get the students' attention	Within Groups	121,228	167	,726		
when they get bored.	Total	136,288	169			
I think talking about daily	Between Groups	8,436	2	4,218	6,010	,003
life in Turkish makes	Within Groups	117,211	167	,702		
students relaxed.	Total	125,647	169			
I think using Turkish	Between Groups	1,291	2	,646	,806	,448
reduces barriers to language	Within Groups	133,703	167	,801		
learning.	Total	134,994	169			
I prefer using Turkish to	Between Groups	3,941	2	1,970	2,320	,101
warn students because they	Within Groups	141,853	167	,849		

take it more seriously when I	Total	145,794	169			
use Turkish.						
Students do not understand	Between Groups	11,454	2	5,727	5,902	,003
anything in English, so I	Within Groups	162,052	167	,970		
think it is better to speak in	Total	173,506	169			
Turkish while teaching.						
Turkish should be used in	Between Groups	6,411	2	3,205	4,953	,008
the classroom so that	Within Groups	108,084	167	,647		
students can make	Total	114,494	169			
connections between two						
languages.						
I support using Turkish more	Between Groups	6,352	2	3,176	5,285	,006
than English in the	Within Groups	100,359	167	,601		
classroom.	Total	106,712	169			
I think Turkish should be	Between Groups	1,838	2	,919	1,446	,238
used as a facilitator when	Within Groups	106,139	167	,636		
teaching English.	Total	107,976	169			
I think Turkish provides	Between Groups	3,148	2	1,574	2,543	,082
scaffolding for me to help	Within Groups	103,375	167	,619		
the students when they have	Total	106,524	169			
problems.						
I think that using Turkish in	Between Groups	7,454	2	3,727	4,196	,017
English language classrooms	Within Groups	148,341	167	,888		
can help students to do better	Total	155,794	169			
in the exams.						
I think making jokes in	Between Groups	12,014	2	6,007	9,117	,000
Turkish creates a friendly	Within Groups	110,039	167	,659		
environment in the class.	Total	122,053	169			
I prefer using Turkish	Between Groups	6,660	2	3,330	3,767	,025
because my students find me	Within Groups	147,628	167	,884		
strict if I always speak in	Total	154,288	169			
English.						
It is important to use Turkish	Between Groups	4,257	2	2,129	2,537	,082
in order to form closer	Within Groups	140,119	167	,839		
relationships with the	Total	144,376	169			
students.						
I think using Turkish makes	Between Groups	6,291	2	3,146	4,086	,019
students feel comfortable to	Within Groups	128,556	167	,770		
ask me whenever they have	Total	134,847	169			
questions.						
I prefer using Turkish	Between Groups	7,606	2	3,803	4,127	,018
because my students do not	Within Groups	153,899	167	,922	•	
•	- · ·	,		<i>y-</i> —		

listen to me when I use	Total	161,506	169			
English all the time.						
I think that using bilingual	Between Groups	9,187	2	4,594	5,961	,003
education is better than	Within Groups	128,701	167	,771		
using only the target	Total	137,888	169			
language (English) while						
teaching.						
It is important to make	Between Groups	8,224	2	4,112	7,385	,001
students understand their	Within Groups	92,982	167	,557		
mistakes, so I use Turkish to	Total	101,206	169			
explain them their mistakes.						
It is important to give	Between Groups	13,512	2	6,756	7,077	,001
instructions in Turkish to	Within Groups	159,435	167	,955		
make sure that students are	Total	172,947	169			
clear about the instructions.						

Appendix D.2. T-Test Results for Differences in Perceptions Based on Gender

Test for Equality of Variances t-test for Equality of Means	
Variances t-test for Equality of Means	
1 7	
95	5%
Confi	dence
Sig. Interva	l of the
S (2- Mean Std. Error Diffe	rence
F ig. T df tailed) Difference Difference Lower	Upper
It is important to Equal .064 .801448 168 .65404933 .11002 -	.16786
greet students in variances .26652	
Turkish to make assumed	
them feel Equal456 130.664 .64904933 .10817 -	.16466
comfortable before variances .26332	
starting the lesson. not	
assumed	
It is important to Equal .612 .435289 168 .77304692 .16246 -	.27380
translate a reading variances .36765	
passage into assumed	
Turkish to make Equal282 116.054 .77804692 .16625 -	.28235
students understand variances .37620	
each detail. not	
assumed	
By explaining the Equal .686 .409 - 168 .29616544 .15787 -	.14622
gist of a listening variances 1.048 .47709	
passage into assumed	
Turkish, students Equal - 118.068 .30516544 .16062 -	.15264
can easily variances 1.030 .48351	
understand what it not	
is about. assumed	
I think using Equal .093 .761 .206 168 .837 .03158 .15342 -	.33447
Turkish is time- variances .27130	
saving in the assumed	
classroom. Equal .207 126.706 .836 .03158 .15244 -	.33324
variances .27007	
not	
assumed	
I think using Equal .371 .544 .137 168 .891 .02121 .15433 -	.32589
Turkish is practical variances .28347	
assumed	

while teaching grammar.	Equal variances not			.140	130.070	.889	.02121	.15198	.27946	.32188
I think using Turkish is more practical while	assumed Equal variances assumed	.137	.712	127	168	.899	01955	.15343	.32246	.28336
teaching new vocabulary.	Equal variances not assumed			128	125.633	.898	01955	.15289	.32213	.28302
I think using Turkish to summarize the	Equal variances assumed	1.570	.212	.746	168	.457	.11145	.14932	.18334	.40623
lesson is better to make it more comprehensible for	Equal variances not			.775	138.594	.440	.11145	.14381	.17289	.39578
the students. It is important to use Turkish to get the students'	assumed Equal variances assumed	1.935	.166	1.848	168	.066	.27794	.15038	.01895	.57482
attention when they get bored.				1.865	127.633	.065	.27794	.14905	.01699	.57287
I think talking about daily life in Turkish makes	assumed Equal variances assumed	14.952	.000	.337	168	.736	.04662	.13824	.22628	.31953
students relaxed.	Equal variances not			.379	164.437	.705	.04662	.12298	- .19619	.28944
I think using Turkish reduces barriers to language	assumed Equal variances	2.798	.096	.064	168	.949	.00917	.14333	.27379	.29214
learning.	Equal variances not			.067	139.162	.947	.00917	.13783	.26334	.28169
I prefer using Turkish to warn students because	assumed Equal variances assumed	.115	.735	612	168	.542	09099	.14879	.38473	.20275

they take it more seriously when I use Turkish.	Equal variances not			612	124.759	.541	09099	.14861	200 .38512	314
Students do not understand anything in	assumed Equal variances assumed	2.804	.096	057	168	.954	00932	.16250	311 .33012	147
English, so I think it is better to speak in Turkish while	Equal variances not			060	140.088	.952	00932	.15589	298 .31752	887
Turkish should be used in the	Equal variances	.208	.649	337	168	.736	04452	.13196	215 .30503	599
classroom so that students can make connections between two	Equal variances not			332	118.779	.740	04452	.13399	220 .30984	081
languages. I support using Turkish more than	assumed Equal variances	.797	.373	103	168	.918	01308	.12743	238 .26466	849
English in the classroom.	Equal variances			105	133.668	.916	01308	.12431	232 .25896	279
I think Turkish should be used as a	assumed Equal variances	.547	.460	.903	168	.368	.11551	.12788	36°	797
facilitator when teaching English.	Equal variances			.939	139.251	.349	.11551	.12295	358 .12758	859
I think Turkish provides	assumed Equal variances	2.952	.088	839	168	.403	10663	.12706	144 .35747	421
scaffolding for me to help the students when they have problems.	assumed Equal variances not			879	142.008	.381	10663	.12128	133 .34638	311
I think that using Turkish in English language	assumed Equal variances assumed	.003	.954	.259	168	.796	.03986	.15395	345 .26407	378

classrooms can help students to do better in the exams.	Equal variances not			.255	119.485	.799	.03986	.15601	.26906	.34877
I think making jokes in Turkish creates a friendly	assumed Equal variances assumed	8.054	.005	.189	168	.851	.02572	.13628	.24332	.29475
environment in the class.	Equal variances not			.209	161.002	.835	.02572	.12301	.21720	.26864
I prefer using Turkish because my students find	Equal variances assumed	.882	.349	946	168	.346	14453	.15283	.44625	.15718
me strict if I always speak in English.	Equal variances not			968	133.276	.335	14453	.14924	.43971	.15065
It is important to use Turkish in order to form	Equal variances assumed	.991	.321	573	168	.568	08482	.14809	.37718	.20753
closer relationships with the students.	Equal variances not			595	138.537	.553	08482	.14264	.36685	.19720
I think using Turkish makes students feel	assumed Equal variances assumed	2.192	.141	- 1.142	168	.255	16303	.14270	.44475	.11869
comfortable to ask me whenever they have questions.	Equal variances not			1.185	138.052	.238	16303	.13763	.43516	.10910
I prefer using Turkish because	assumed Equal variances assumed	6.310	.013	027	168	.979	00421	.15678	.31372	.30530
my students do not listen to me when I use English all the time.	Equal variances not			029	150.247	.977	00421	.14637	.29341	.28499
I think that using bilingual education is better than using	assumed Equal variances assumed	4.421	.037	398	168	.691	05760	.14479	.34345	.22825

only the target	Equal			421	145.563	.675	05760	.13691	21299
language (English)	variances								.32820
while teaching.	not								
	assumed								
It is important to	Equal	.322	.571	.055	168	.957	.00677	.12411	25177
make students	variances								.23824
understand their	assumed								
mistakes, so I use	Equal			.056	135.506	.955	.00677	.12049	24504
Turkish to explain	variances								.23151
them their	not								
mistakes.	assumed								
It is important to	Equal	1.462	.228	1.415	168	.159	.22815	.16128	54655
give instructions in	variances								.09024
Turkish to make	assumed								
sure that students	Equal			1.461	136.599	.146	.22815	.15613	53690
are clear about the	variances								.08059
instructions.	not								
	assumed								

Appendix D.3. T-Test Results for Differences in Perceptions Based on First Language

			_	endent	Samples	s Test				
		Levene's	Test for							
		Equali	•							
		Varia	nces		t-test for Equality of Means					
									95% Co	nfidence
									Interva	l of the
						Sig. (2-	Mean	Std. Error	Diffe	rence
		F	Sig.	t	df	tailed)	Difference	Difference	Lower	Upper
I think using	Equal	2,207	,139	3,431	168	,001	1,03750	,30238	,44054	1,63446
Turkish is	variances									
time-saving in	assumed									
the classroom.	Equal			2,833	9,744	,018	1,03750	,36622	,21860	1,85640
	variances not									
	assumed									
I think using	Equal	5,487	,020	2,217	168	,028	,68750	,31013	,07525	1,29975
Turkish is	variances									
practical while	assumed									
teaching	Equal			1,602	9,545	,142	,68750	,42922	-,27508	1,65008
grammar.	variances not									
	assumed									
I think using	Equal	,632	,428	3,059	168	,003	,93125	,30443	,33024	1,53226
Turkish is more	variances									
practical while	assumed									
teaching new	Equal			2,716	9,880	,022	,93125	,34291	,16594	1,69656
vocabulary.	variances not									
,	assumed									
I think using	Equal	4,973	,027	2,462	168	,015	,73750	,29955	,14614	1,32886
Turkish to	variances									
summarize the	assumed									
lesson is better	Equal			1,834	9,586	,098	,73750	,40211	16372	1,63872
to make it more	•			,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	,	,	,	,
comprehensible										
for the	ussumed									
students.										
It is important	Equal	,259	612	3,109	168	,002	,88750	,28549	32389	1,45111
to use Turkish	variances	,200	,012	3,107	100	,002	,00750	,203 17	,32307	1,13111
to get the	assumed									
students'	Equal			3 110	10,159	,011	,88750	,28541	25290	1,52210
attention when	variances not			3,110	10,137	,011	,00730	,205-1	,232)0	1,52210
	assumed									
they get bored.		077	700	2266	140	010	65605	27721	10970	1,20371
I think talking	Equal	,077	,182	2,366	168	,019	,65625	,27731	,106/9	1,203/1
about daily life	variances									
in Turkish	assumed			2.260	10.161	020	(5.005	07700	04021	1 07010
makes students	Equal			2,369	10,161	,039	,65625	,27703	,04031	1,27219
relaxed.	variances not									
	assumed									

I think using	Equal	3,834	,052	2,191	168	,030	,63125	,28810	,06248	1,20002
Turkish	variances									
reduces	assumed									
barriers to	Equal			1,673	9,620	,127	,63125	,37742	-,21423	1,47673
language	variances not									
learning.	assumed									
I prefer using	Equal	2,700	,102	2,889	168	,004	,85625	,29638	,27114	1,44136
Turkish to	variances									
warn students	assumed									
because they	Equal			2,266	9,661	,048	,85625	,37782	,01038	1,70212
take it more	variances not									
seriously when	assumed									
I use Turkish.										
Students do not	_	,013	,909	2,538	168	,012	,82500	,32509	,18322	1,46678
understand	variances									
anything in	assumed									
English, so I	Equal			2,675	10,308	,023	,82500	,30845	,14050	1,50950
think it is better	variances not									
to speak in	assumed									
Turkish while										
teaching.										
Turkish should	Equal	,322	,571	2,804	168	,006	,73750	,26301	,21827	1,25673
be used in the	variances									
classroom so	assumed									
that students	Equal			2,690	10,052	,023	,73750	,27415	,12708	1,34792
can make	variances not									
connections	assumed									
between two										
languages.										
I support using	Equal	,419	,518	2,246	168	,026	,57500	,25597	,06967	1,08033
Turkish more	variances									
than English in	assumed									
the classroom.	Equal			3,227	11,746	,007	,57500	,17819	,18582	,96418
	variances not									
	assumed									
I think Turkish	Equal	,284	,595	3,760	168	,000	,94375	,25097	,44828	1,43922
should be used	variances									
as a facilitator	assumed									
when teaching	Equal			3,531	10,001	,005	,94375	,26731	,34816	1,53934
English.	variances not									
	assumed									
I think making	Equal	1,876	,173	2,450	168	,015	,66875	,27300	,12980	1,20770
jokes in	variances									
Turkish creates	assumed									
a friendly	Equal			1,932	9,669	,083	,66875	,34609	-,10598	1,44348
environment in	variances not									
the class.	assumed									
I prefer using	Equal	4,847	,029	2,549	168	,012	,78125	,30650	,17615	1,38635
Turkish	variances									
because my	assumed									

students find	Equal			3,655	11,733	,003	,78125	,21377	,31431	1,24819
me strict if I	variances not									
always speak in	assumed									
English.										
It is important	Equal	,048	,827	2,181	168	,031	,65000	,29798	,06172	1,23828
to use Turkish	variances									
in order to	assumed									
form closer	Equal			2,119	10,083	,060	,65000	,30675	-,03271	1,33271
relationships	variances not									
with the	assumed									
students.										
I prefer using	Equal	2,007	,158	1,938	168	,054	,61250	,31609	-,01151	1,23651
Turkish	variances									
because my	assumed									
students do not	Equal			2,273	10,680	,045	,61250	,26952	,01712	1,20788
listen to me	variances not									
when I use	assumed									
English all the										
time.										
I think that	Equal	,001	,979	3,485	168	,001	,99375	,28518	,43075	1,55675
using bilingual	variances									
education is	assumed									
better than	Equal			3,327	10,041	,008	,99375	,29866	,32865	1,65885
using only the	variances not									
target language	assumed									
(English) while										
teaching.										
It is important	Equal	,092	,762	3,126	168	,002	,76875	,24595	,28321	1,25429
to make	variances									
students	assumed									
understand	Equal			2,814	9,909	,018	,76875	,27317	,15934	1,37816
their mistakes,	variances not									
so I use	assumed									
Turkish to										
explain them										
their mistakes.										
It is important	Equal	,036	,850	2,682	168	,008	,86875	,32386	,22939	1,50811
to give	variances									
instructions in	assumed									
Turkish to	Equal			2,885	10,372	,016	,86875	,30111	,20109	1,53641
make sure that	variances not									
students are	assumed									
clear about the										
instructions.										

Appendix D.4. T-Test Results for Differences in Perceptions Based on Level of Education

Parish				Indep	endent	Samples	s Test					
Part			Levene's	Test for								
Part			Equali	ty of								
Figure F			Varia	nces			t-te	st for Equali	ty of Means			
Fig. 12 Fig. 13 Fig. 15 Fig.										95% Co	nfidence	
Figural Figu										Interva	l of the	
Think using Equal 2,207							Sig. (2-	Mean	Std. Error	Difference		
Turkish is variances time-saving in the classroom, the classroom, the classroom assumed Equal 2,833 9,744 ,018 1,03750 ,36622 ,2180 1,85640 1,			F	Sig.	t	Df	tailed)	Difference	Difference	Lower	Upper	
time-saving in discursion floor and the classroom, equal cand can be classroom, equal cand can be classroom, assumed a	I think using	Equal	2,207	,139	3,431	168	,001	1,03750	,30238	,44054	1,63446	
the classron	Turkish is	variances										
Same Same	time-saving in	assumed										
Rithink using Equal 5,487 0,20 2,217 168 0,22 0,68750 0,31013 0,7525 1,29755 Turkish is variances Fractical white Equal 6,68760 2,4876 0,42922 0,27508 1,65008 Equal 6,632 0,428 3,059 1,687 0,68750 0,42922 0,27508 1,65008 Equal 6,632 0,428 3,059 1,688 0,003 0,93125 0,3043 0,3024 1,53226 Turkish is more 2,716 0,880 0,022 0,93125 0,3443 0,3044 1,69656 Equal 6,873 0,277 0,880 0,022 0,93125 0,3449 0,16594 1,69656 Equal 6,873 0,277 0,880 0,022 0,93125 0,3429 0,16594 1,69656 Equal 6,873 0,277 0,880 0,022 0,93125 0,3429 0,16594 1,69656 Equal 6,873 0,277 0,880 0,022 0,93125 0,3429 0,16594 1,69656 Equal 6,873 0,277 0,880 0,022 0,93125 0,3429 0,16594 0,169656 Equal 6,873 0,277 0,880 0,980 0,73750 0,4901 0,16372 1,63872 Equal 6,873 0,880 0,980 0,73750 0,4901 0,16372 1,63872 Equal 6,873 0,880 0,980 0,73750 0,4901 0,16372 1,63872 Equal 6,259 0,12 3,109 1,680 0,980 0,37370 0,880 0,880 0,880 0,880 Equal 6,259 0,12 3,109 1,680 0,980 0,38750 0,880 0,880 0,880 0,880 0,880 Equal 6,259 0,12 3,109 1,680 0,880 0	the classroom.	Equal			2,833	9,744	,018	1,03750	,36622	,21860	1,85640	
Trinkish is Equal S.487 C.70 S.217 S.168 C.708 C.7087 C.7		variances not										
Turkish is practical while practical while assumed seamed 1.602 9.545 1,42 6.68750 4.2922 -2,7508 1,5008 7,800 1,600 9,845 1,42 6,86750 4,2922 -2,7508 1,5008 8,5008 1,5008 6,8750 4,2922 -2,7508 1,5008		assumed										
practical while teaching Equal 1,602 9,545 1,422 6,6750 4,2922 -2,7508 1,5008 grammar. variances not assumed 3,000 1,682 9,545 1,422 6,6750 4,292 2,7508 1,5008 I think using Equal 6,632 4,28 3,059 168 ,003 9,3125 3,0443 3,3024 1,5326 Turkish is more Equal 6,632 2,716 9,880 0,022 9,3125 3,4291 1,6594 1,69656 vocabulary variances not 2,716 9,880 0,022 9,3125 3,4291 1,6594 1,69656 vocabulary variances not 2,881 0,880 0,022 9,3125 3,4291 1,6594 1,69656 vocabulary variances not 2,881 0,880 0,022 9,3125 3,4291 1,6154 1,69656 Turkish to variances 2,881 9,586 0,98 0,73750 0,4021 2,1614 1,2886	I think using	Equal	5,487	,020	2,217	168	,028	,68750	,31013	,07525	1,29975	
teaching Equal	Turkish is	variances										
grammar. variances not assumed I think using	practical while	assumed										
Rithink using Equal 1,632 1,428 3,059 1,68 1,003 1,93125 1,0443 1,3024 1,53226 Turkish is more carriances	teaching	Equal			1,602	9,545	,142	,68750	,42922	-,27508	1,65008	
It link using Equal ,632 ,428 3,059 168 ,003 ,93125 ,3043 ,33024 1,53226 Turkish is more variances 3 2,716 9,880 ,022 ,93125 ,34291 ,16594 1,69656 vocabulary. variances not assumed 2 2,716 9,880 ,022 ,93125 ,34291 ,16594 1,69656 I think using Equal 4,973 ,027 2,462 168 ,015 ,73750 ,29955 ,14614 1,32886 Turkish to variances variances 3 1,834 9,586 ,098 ,73750 ,9915 ,14614 1,32886 Turkish to variances variances not 3 1,834 9,586 ,098 ,73750 ,40211 ,16372 1,63872 to make i trmor variances not variances not 3 ,83872 ,88750 ,28549 ,32389 1,45111 1,5210 1,5210 ,88750 ,28541 ,25290 1	grammar.	variances not										
Turkish is more practical while assumed teaching new Equal 2,716 9,880 0,02 9,3125 0,34291 1,6594 1,69656 vocabulary. Equal 4,973 0,07 2,462 168 0,015 0,73750 0,2955 1,4614 1,32886 1,1654 1,1		assumed										
practical while teaching new Equal pull 2,716 9,880 ,022 ,93125 ,34291 ,16594 1,69656 vocabulary. ariances not assumed 2,716 9,880 ,022 ,93125 ,34291 ,16594 1,69656 It think using assumed Equal 4,973 ,027 2,462 168 ,015 ,73750 ,29955 ,14614 1,32886 Turkish to variances assumed 8 2,586 ,098 ,73750 ,40211 -1,6372 1,63872 to make it more variances not comprehensible assumed 4 1,834 9,586 ,098 ,73750 ,40211 -1,6372 1,63872 to make it more variances not comprehensible assumed 5 2 8 ,098 ,73750 ,28549 ,32389 1,45111 to use Turkish 4 249 ,612 3,109 168 ,002 ,88750 ,28549 ,32389 1,45111 to use Turkish Equal ,279 ,3110 10,159 ,011 ,88750	I think using	Equal	,632	,428	3,059	168	,003	,93125	,30443	,33024	1,53226	
teaching new Equal	Turkish is more	variances										
vocabulary. variances not assumed It think using Equal 4,973 ,027 2,462 168 ,015 ,73750 ,29955 ,14614 1,32886 Turkish to variances assumed	practical while	assumed										
vocabulary. variances not assumed It think using Equal 4,973 ,027 2,462 168 ,015 ,73750 ,29955 ,14614 1,32886 Turkish to variances assumed	teaching new	Equal			2,716	9,880	,022	,93125	,34291	,16594	1,69656	
It think using Equal 4,973 ,027 2,462 168 ,015 ,73750 ,29955 ,14614 1,32886 Turkish to variances assumed	_	variances not										
Turkish to variances summarize the summarize the lesson is better	·	assumed										
summarize the lesson is better Equal 1,834 9,586 ,098 ,73750 ,40211 -,16372 1,63872 to make it more variances not comprehensible assumed assumed 40211 -,16372 1,63872 <td< td=""><td>I think using</td><td>Equal</td><td>4,973</td><td>,027</td><td>2,462</td><td>168</td><td>,015</td><td>,73750</td><td>,29955</td><td>,14614</td><td>1,32886</td></td<>	I think using	Equal	4,973	,027	2,462	168	,015	,73750	,29955	,14614	1,32886	
lesson is better to make it more to make it more comprehensible assumed 1,834 9,586 ,098 ,73750 ,40211 -,16372 1,63872 for the students. assumed 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6	Turkish to	variances										
to make it more variances not comprehensible assumed for the students. It is important	summarize the	assumed										
comprehensible for the students. assumed It is important to use Turkish to get the get bored. Equal assumed 3,110 10,159 0,011 0,88750 0,28541 0,259 0,152210 3,110 10,159 0,011 0,88750 0,28541 0,25290 1,52210 1,52210 1,52210 0,011 0,88750 0,28541 0,25290 1,52210 1,52210 0,011 0,88750 0,28541 0,25290 1,52210 1,52210 0,011 0,0	lesson is better	Equal			1,834	9,586	,098	,73750	,40211	-,16372	1,63872	
for the students. It is important	to make it more	variances not										
students. Equal ,259 ,612 3,109 168 ,002 ,88750 ,28549 ,32389 1,45111 to use Turkish variances assumed <	comprehensible	assumed										
It is important to use Turkish to use Turkish to get the students' Equal sasumed ,259 ,612 ,612 ,612 ,612 ,612 ,612 ,612 ,612	for the											
to use Turkish variances to get the assumed students' Equal 3,110 10,159 ,011 ,88750 ,28541 ,25290 1,52210 attention when variances not they get bored. assumed I think talking Equal ,077 ,782 2,366 168 ,019 ,65625 ,27731 ,10879 1,20371 about daily life variances in Turkish assumed makes students Equal 2,369 10,161 ,039 ,65625 ,27703 ,04031 1,27219 relaxed. variances not	students.											
to get the assumed students' Equal 3,110 10,159 ,011 ,88750 ,28541 ,25290 1,52210 attention when variances not they get bored. Assumed I think talking Equal ,077 ,782 2,366 168 ,019 ,65625 ,27731 ,10879 1,20371 about daily life variances in Turkish assumed makes students Equal 2,369 10,161 ,039 ,65625 ,27703 ,04031 1,27219 relaxed.	It is important	Equal	,259	,612	3,109	168	,002	,88750	,28549	,32389	1,45111	
students' Equal 3,110 10,159 ,011 ,88750 ,28541 ,25290 1,52210 attention when they get bored. assumed ssumed 5,7731 1,0879 1,20371 I think talking about daily life to Turkish Equal ,077 ,782 2,366 168 ,019 ,65625 ,27731 ,10879 1,20371 makes students Equal 2,369 10,161 ,039 ,65625 ,27703 ,04031 1,27219 relaxed. variances not	to use Turkish	variances										
attention when variances not they get bored. assumed I think talking Equal ,077 ,782 2,366 168 ,019 ,65625 ,27731 ,10879 1,20371 about daily life variances in Turkish assumed makes students Equal 2,369 10,161 ,039 ,65625 ,27703 ,04031 1,27219 relaxed. variances not	to get the	assumed										
they get bored. assumed I think talking Equal ,077 ,782 2,366 168 ,019 ,65625 ,27731 ,10879 1,20371 about daily life variances variances sasumed 2,369 10,161 ,039 ,65625 ,27703 ,04031 1,27219 relaxed. variances not variances not 10,161 ,039 ,65625 ,27703 ,04031 1,27219	students'	Equal			3,110	10,159	,011	,88750	,28541	,25290	1,52210	
I think talking about daily life in Turkish Equal assumed ,077 ,782 2,366 168 ,019 ,65625 ,27731 ,10879 1,20371 makes students assumed relaxed. variances not	attention when	variances not										
about daily life variances in Turkish assumed makes students Equal 2,369 10,161 ,039 ,65625 ,27703 ,04031 1,27219 relaxed. variances not	they get bored.	assumed										
in Turkish assumed makes students Equal 2,369 10,161 ,039 ,65625 ,27703 ,04031 1,27219 relaxed. variances not	I think talking	Equal	,077	,782	2,366	168	,019	,65625	,27731	,10879	1,20371	
makes students Equal 2,369 10,161 ,039 ,65625 ,27703 ,04031 1,27219 relaxed. variances not	_	_										
relaxed. variances not	-	assumed										
relaxed. variances not	makes students	Equal			2,369	10,161	,039	,65625	,27703	,04031	1,27219	
assumed	relaxed.	variances not										
		assumed										

I think using Turkish	Equal variances	3,834	,052	2,191	168	,030	,63125	,28810	,06248	1,20002
reduces	assumed									
barriers to	Equal			1,673	9,620	,127	.63125	,37742	-,21423	1 47673
language	variances not			1,075	>,020	,127	,03123	,57712	,21 123	1,17075
learning.	assumed									
I prefer using	Equal	2,700	102	2,889	168	,004	,85625	,29638	27114	1,44136
Turkish to	variances	2,700	,102	2,007	100	,004	,03023	,27030	,2/114	1,44130
warn students	assumed									
because they	Equal			2,266	9,661	,048	,85625	,37782	.01038	1,70212
take it more	variances not			2,200	,,001	,0.0	,00020	,57702	,01000	1,, 0212
seriously when	assumed									
I use Turkish.	assumed									
Students do not	Equal	,013	.909	2,538	168	,012	,82500	,32509	.18322	1,46678
understand	variances	,	,, ,,	_,		,	,	,	,	-,
anything in	assumed									
English, so I	Equal			2.675	10,308	,023	,82500	,30845	14050	1,50950
think it is better	•			2,070	10,500	,020	,02000	,500.5	,1.000	1,00,00
to speak in	assumed									
Turkish while	assumed									
teaching.										
Turkish should	Equal	,322	.571	2,804	168	,006	,73750	,26301	.21827	1,25673
be used in the	variances	,322	,571	2,001	100	,000	,73730	,20301	,21027	1,23073
classroom so	assumed									
that students	Equal			2.690	10,052	,023	,73750	,27415	12708	1,34792
can make	variances not			2,000	10,052	,023	,73730	,27113	,12700	1,51772
connections	assumed									
between two	assumed									
languages.										
I support using	Equal	,419	518	2,246	168	,026	,57500	,25597	,06967	1,08033
Turkish more	variances	,,	,010	2,2 .0	100	,020	,57500	,2005,	,00,07	1,00000
than English in	assumed									
the classroom.	Equal			3.227	11,746	,007	,57500	,17819	,18582	,96418
ure emporeoum	variances not			0,227	11,7 10	,007	,57500	,17019	,10002	,,,,,,,,
	assumed									
I think Turkish	Equal	,284	.595	3,760	168	,000	,94375	,25097	.44828	1,43922
should be used	variances	, -	,	,,,,,,,		,	,	,	,	,
as a facilitator	assumed									
when teaching	Equal			3.531	10,001	,005	,94375	,26731	.34816	1,53934
English.	variances not			-,	,	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,
8	assumed									
I think making	Equal	1,876	.173	2,450	168	,015	,66875	,27300	.12980	1,20770
jokes in	variances	-,	,	_,		,,,,,	,,,,,,,,	,	,	-,
Turkish creates	assumed									
a friendly	Equal			1,932	9,669	,083	,66875	,34609	10598	1,44348
environment in	variances not			,- J=	. ,/	,	,	,	,	,
the class.	assumed									
I prefer using	Equal	4,847	.029	2,549	168	,012	,78125	,30650	,17615	1,38635
Turkish	variances	<i>y-</i> •	,	,		,- -	,	,	,	,
because my	assumed									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										

students find me strict if I always speak in	Equal variances not assumed			3,655	11,733	,003	,78125	,21377	,31431	1,24819
English. It is important	Equal	,048	,827	2,181	168	,031	,65000	,29798	,06172	1,23828
to use Turkish	variances									
in order to	assumed									
form closer	Equal			2,119	10,083	,060	,65000	,30675	-,03271	1,33271
relationships	variances not									
with the	assumed									
students.										
I prefer using	Equal	2,007	,158	1,938	168	,054	,61250	,31609	-,01151	1,23651
Turkish	variances									
because my	assumed									
students do not	Equal			2,273	10,680	,045	,61250	,26952	,01712	1,20788
listen to me	variances not									
when I use	assumed									
English all the										
time.										
I think that	Equal	,001	,979	3,485	168	,001	,99375	,28518	,43075	1,55675
using bilingual	variances									
education is	assumed									
better than	Equal			3,327	10,041	,008	,99375	,29866	,32865	1,65885
using only the	variances not									
target language	assumed									
(English) while										
teaching.										
It is important	Equal	,092	,762	3,126	168	,002	,76875	,24595	,28321	1,25429
to make	variances									
students	assumed									
understand	Equal			2,814	9,909	,018	,76875	,27317	,15934	1,37816
their mistakes,	variances not									
so I use	assumed									
Turkish to										
explain them										
their mistakes.										
It is important	Equal	,036	,850	2,682	168	,008	,86875	,32386	,22939	1,50811
to give	variances									
instructions in	assumed			2005	10.050	01.5	0.5085	20111	20100	
Turkish to	Equal			2,885	10,372	,016	,86875	,30111	,20109	1,53641
make sure that	variances not									
students are	assumed									
clear about the										
instructions.										

Appendix D.5. Post-Hoc LSD Test Results for Differences in Perceptions Based on Place of Graduation

Multiple Comparisons										
LSD			Mean			95% Confide	nce Interval			
	(I) Place of	(J) Place of	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper			
Dependent Variable	graduation	graduation	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound			
It is important to	Cyprus	Turkey	,14231	,11914	,234	-,0929	,3775			
greet students in		Other	,35572*	,13493	,009	,0893	,6221			
Turkish to make them	Turkey	Cyprus	-,14231	,11914	,234	-,3775	,0929			
feel comfortable		Other	,21341	,13534	,117	-,0538	,4806			
before starting the	Other	Cyprus	-,35572*	,13493	,009	-,6221	-,0893			
lesson.		Turkey	-,21341	,13534	,117	-,4806	,0538			
It is important to	Cyprus	Turkey	-,08582	,17590	,626	-,4331	,2615			
translate a reading		Other	,41914*	,19922	,037	,0258	,8124			
passage into Turkish	Turkey	Cyprus	,08582	,17590	,626	-,2615	,4331			
to make students		Other	,50495*	,19982	,012	,1105	,8995			
understand each	Other	Cyprus	-,41914*	,19922	,037	-,8124	-,0258			
detail.		Turkey	-,50495*	,19982	,012	-,8995	-,1105			
By explaining the gist	Cyprus	Turkey	,20505	,16948	,228	-,1295	,5396			
of a listening passage		Other	,63302*	,19194	,001	,2541	1,0120			
into Turkish, students	Turkey	Cyprus	-,20505	,16948	,228	-,5396	,1295			
can easily understand	0.1	Other	,42797*	,19252	,028	,0479	,8081			
what it is about.	Other	Cyprus	-,63302*	,19194	,001	-1,0120	-,2541			
T.1:1	G	Turkey	-,42797*	,19252	,028	-,8081	-,0479			
I think using Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	-,25240	,16523	,128	-,5786	,0738			
is time-saving in the	Totalore	Other	,30019	,18713	,111	-,0693	,6696			
classroom.	Turkey	Cyprus Other	,25240 55250*	,16523	,128	-,0738	,5786			
	Other	Cyprus	,55259* -,30019	,18769 ,18713	,004 ,111	,1820 -,6696	,9231			
	Other	Turkey	-,55259*	,18769	,004	-,9231	-,1820			
I think using Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	-,13197	,16208	,417	-,4520	,1880			
is practical while	Сургиз	Other	,61689*	,18356	,001	,2545	,9793			
teaching grammar.	Turkey	Cyprus	,13197	,16208	,417	-,1880	,4520			
teacining grammari	raniej	Other	,74886*	,18412	,000	,3854	1,1124			
	Other	Cyprus	-,61689*	,18356	,001	-,9793	-,2545			
		Turkey	-,74886*	,18412	,000	-1,1124	-,3854			
I think using Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	-,11346	,16329	,488	-,4358	,2089			
is more practical		Other	,52983*	,18494	,005	,1647	,8949			
while teaching new	Turkey	Cyprus	,11346	,16329	,488	-,2089	,4358			
vocabulary.		Other	,64329*	,18550	,001	,2771	1,0095			
	Other	Cyprus	-,52983*	,18494	,005	-,8949	-,1647			
		Turkey	-,64329*	,18550	,001	-1,0095	-,2771			
I think using Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	,02813	,15373	,855	-,2754	,3316			
to summarize the		Other	,80976*	,17410	,000	,4660	1,1535			
lesson is better to	Turkey	Cyprus	-,02813	,15373	,855	-,3316	,2754			
make it more		Other	,78163*	,17463	,000	,4369	1,1264			
comprehensible for	Other	Cyprus	-,80976*	,17410	,000	-1,1535	-,4660			
the students.		Turkey	-,78163*	,17463	,000	-1,1264	-,4369			

It is important to use	Cyprus	Turkey	-,01659	,15333	,914	-,3193	,2861
Turkish to get the		Other	,54934*	,17365	,002	,2065	,8922
students' attention	Turkey	Cyprus	,01659	,15333	,914	-,2861	,3193
when they get bored.		Other	,56593*	,17417	,001	,2221	,9098
	Other	Cyprus	-,54934*	,17365	,002	-,8922	-,2065
		Turkey	-,56593*	,17417	,001	-,9098	-,2221
I think talking about	Cyprus	Turkey	-,00337	,14950	,982	-,2985	,2918
daily life in Turkish		Other	,41051*	,16931	,016	,0762	,7448
makes students	Turkey	Cyprus	,00337	,14950	,982	-,2918	,2985
relaxed.		Other	,41387*	,16982	,016	,0786	,7491
	Other	Cyprus	-,41051*	,16931	,016	-,7448	-,0762
		Turkey	-,41387*	,16982	,016	-,7491	-,0786
I think using Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	-,14183	,15039	,347	-,4387	,1551
reduces barriers to		Other	,56473*	,17032	,001	,2285	,9010
language learning.	Turkey	Cyprus	,14183	,15039	,347	-,1551	,4387
		Other	,70655*	,17083	,000	,3693	1,0438
	Other	Cyprus	-,56473*	,17032	,001	-,9010	-,2285
		Turkey	-,70655*	,17083	,000	-1,0438	-,3693
I prefer using Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	,04135	,15953	,796	-,2736	,3563
to warn students		Other	,54897*	,18067	,003	,1923	,9057
because they take it	Turkey	Cyprus	-,04135	,15953	,796	-,3563	,2736
more seriously when		Other	,50762*	,18122	,006	,1499	,8654
I use Turkish.	Other	Cyprus	-,54897*	,18067	,003	-,9057	-,1923
		Turkey	-,50762*	,18122	,006	-,8654	-,1499
Students do not	Cyprus	Turkey	-,15361	,17431	,379	-,4977	,1905
understand anything		Other	,46492*	,19742	,020	,0752	,8547
in English, so I think	Turkey	Cyprus	,15361	,17431	,379	-,1905	,4977
it is better to speak in		Other	,61852*	,19801	,002	,2276	1,0095
Turkish while	Other	Cyprus	-,46492*	,19742	,020	-,8547	-,0752
teaching.		Turkey	-,61852*	,19801	,002	-1,0095	-,2276
Turkish should be	Cyprus	Turkey	-,03437	,14054	,807	-,3118	,2431
used in the classroom		Other	,49268*	,15917	,002	,1784	,8069
so that students can	Turkey	Cyprus	,03437	,14054	,807	-,2431	,3118
make connections		Other	,52706*	,15965	,001	,2119	,8422
between two	Other	Cyprus	-,49268*	,15917	,002	-,8069	-,1784
languages.		Turkey	-,52706*	,15965	,001	-,8422	-,2119
I support using	Cyprus	Turkey	-,14207	,13500	,294	-,4086	,1245
Turkish more than		Other	,43377*	,15289	,005	,1319	,7356
English in the	Turkey	Cyprus	,14207	,13500	,294	-,1245	,4086
classroom.	·	Other	,57584*	,15336	,000	,2731	,8786
	Other	Cyprus	-,43377*	,15289	,005	-,7356	-,1319
		Turkey	-,57584*	,15336	,000	-,8786	-,2731
I think Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	-,08173	,13901	,557	-,3562	,1927
should be used as a	• •	Other	,30394	,15744	,055	-,0069	,6148
facilitator when	Turkey	Cyprus	,08173	,13901	,557	-,1927	,3562
teaching English.		Other	,38567*	,15791	,016	,0739	,6974
5 6 4	Other	Cyprus	-,30394	,15744	,055	-,6148	,0069
		Turkey	-,38567*	,15791	,016	-,6974	-,0739
I think Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	-,13077	,13757	,343	-,4024	,1408
provides scaffolding) F	Other	,29606	,15580	,059	-,0115	,6037
r			,2,000	,	,000	,0110	,0007

for me to help the	Turkey	Cyprus	,13077	,13757	,343	-,1408	,4024
students when they		Other	,42683*	,15627	,007	,1183	,7354
have problems.	Other	Cyprus	-,29606	,15580	,059	-,6037	,0115
		Turkey	-,42683*	,15627	,007	-,7354	-,1183
I think that using	Cyprus	Turkey	-,03005	,16391	,855	-,3537	,2936
Turkish in English		Other	,58161*	,18564	,002	,2151	,9481
language classrooms	Turkey	Cyprus	,03005	,16391	,855	-,2936	,3537
can help students to		Other	,61166*	,18620	,001	,2441	,9793
do better in the	Other	Cyprus	-,58161*	,18564	,002	-,9481	-,2151
exams.		Turkey	-,61166*	,18620	,001	-,9793	-,2441
I think making jokes	Cyprus	Turkey	,02572	,14636	,861	-,2632	,3147
in Turkish creates a		Other	,47580*	,16576	,005	,1485	,8030
friendly environment	Turkey	Cyprus	-,02572	,14636	,861	-,3147	,2632
in the class.		Other	,45008*	,16626	,007	,1218	,7783
	Other	Cyprus	-,47580*	,16576	,005	-,8030	-,1485
		Turkey	-,45008*	,16626	,007	-,7783	-,1218
I prefer using Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	-,10264	,16695	,540	-,4322	,2270
because my students		Other	,30094	,18907	,113	-,0723	,6742
find me strict if I	Turkey	Cyprus	,10264	,16695	,540	-,2270	,4322
always speak in		Other	,40358*	,18964	,035	,0292	,7780
English.	Other	Cyprus	-,30094	,18907	,113	-,6742	,0723
		Turkey	-,40358*	,18964	,035	-,7780	-,0292
It is important to use	Cyprus	Turkey	,06587	,15758	,676	-,2452	,3770
Turkish in order to		Other	,61388*	,17847	,001	,2615	,9662
form closer	Turkey	Cyprus	-,06587	,15758	,676	-,3770	,2452
relationships with the		Other	,54802*	,17900	,003	,1946	,9014
students.	Other	Cyprus	-,61388*	,17847	,001	-,9662	-,2615
		Turkey	-,54802*	,17900	,003	-,9014	-,1946
I think using Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	,04087	,15752	,796	-,2701	,3519
makes students feel		Other	,21388	,17840	,232	-,1383	,5661
comfortable to ask	Turkey	Cyprus	-,04087	,15752	,796	-,3519	,2701
me whenever they		Other	,17302	,17894	,335	-,1803	,5263
have questions.	Other	Cyprus	-,21388	,17840	,232	-,5661	,1383
		Turkey	-,17302	,17894	,335	-,5263	,1803
I prefer using Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	-,01106	,16773	,948	-,3422	,3201
because my students		Other	,56135*	,18996	,004	,1863	,9364
do not listen to me	Turkey	Cyprus	,01106	,16773	,948	-,3201	,3422
when I use English		Other	,57241*	,19053	,003	,1962	,9486
all the time.	Other	Cyprus	-,56135*	,18996	,004	-,9364	-,1863
		Turkey	-,57241*	,19053	,003	-,9486	-,1962
I think that using	Cyprus	Turkey	-,06659	,15185	,662	-,3664	,2332
bilingual education is		Other	,62739*	,17197	,000	,2879	,9669
better than using only	Turkey	Cyprus	,06659	,15185	,662	-,2332	,3664
the target language		Other	,69398*	,17249	,000	,3534	1,0345
(English) while	Other	Cyprus	-,62739*	,17197	,000	-,9669	-,2879
teaching.		Turkey	-,69398*	,17249	,000	-1,0345	-,3534
It is important to	Cyprus	Turkey	,05577	,13470	,679	-,2102	,3217
make students		Other	,35760*	,15256	,020	,0564	,6588
understand their	Turkey	Cyprus	-,05577	,13470	,679	-,3217	,2102
mistakes, so I use		Other	,30183	,15302	,050	-,0003	,6039

Turkish to explain	Other	Cyprus	-,35760*	,15256	,020	-,6588	-,0564
them their mistakes.		Turkey	-,30183	,15302	,050	-,6039	,0003
It is important to give	Cyprus	Turkey	-,06442	,17382	,711	-,4076	,2787
instructions in		Other	,53771*	,19686	,007	,1491	,9264
Turkish to make sure	Turkey	Cyprus	,06442	,17382	,711	-,2787	,4076
that students are clear		Other	,60213*	,19746	,003	,2123	,9920
about the	Other	Cyprus	-,53771*	,19686	,007	-,9264	-,1491
instructions.		Turkey	-,60213*	,19746	,003	-,9920	-,2123
*. The mean difference	e is significant at the	0.05 level.					

Appendix D.5.1. One-way ANOVA Test Results for Differences in Perceptions

Based on Place of Graduation

		ANOVA				
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
It is important to greet	Between Groups	3,182	2	1,591	3,476	,033
students in Turkish to make	Within Groups	76,441	167	,458		
them feel comfortable before	Total	79,624	169			
starting the lesson.						
It is important to translate a	Between Groups	6,870	2	3,435	3,442	,034
reading passage into Turkish	Within Groups	166,636	167	,998		
to make students understand	Total	173,506	169			
each detail.						
By explaining the gist of a	Between Groups	10,138	2	5,069	5,472	,005
listening passage into	Within Groups	154,686	167	,926		
Turkish, students can easily	Total	164,824	169			
understand what it is about.						
I think using Turkish is time-	Between Groups	7,685	2	3,842	4,365	,014
saving in the classroom.	Within Groups	147,021	167	,880		
	Total	154,706	169			
I think using Turkish is	Between Groups	15,048	2	7,524	8,881	,000
practical while teaching	Within Groups	141,476	167	,847		
grammar.	Total	156,524	169			
I think using Turkish is more	Between Groups	11,103	2	5,552	6,456	,002
practical while teaching new	Within Groups	143,603	167	,860		
vocabulary.	Total	154,706	169			
I think using Turkish to	Between Groups	19,729	2	9,864	12,944	,000
summarize the lesson is	Within Groups	127,265	167	,762		
better to make it more	Total	146,994	169			
comprehensible for the						
students.						
It is important to use Turkish	Between Groups	9,681	2	4,841	6,385	,002
to get the students' attention	Within Groups	126,607	167	,758		
when they get bored.	Total	136,288	169			
I think talking about daily	Between Groups	5,286	2	2,643	3,667	,028
life in Turkish makes	Within Groups	120,361	167	,721		
students relaxed.	Total	125,647	169			
I think using Turkish	Between Groups	13,197	2	6,599	9,048	,000
reduces barriers to language	Within Groups	121,797	167	,729		
learning.	Total	134,994	169			
I prefer using Turkish to	Between Groups	8,744	2	4,372	5,327	,006
warn students because they	Within Groups	137,051	167	,821		

take it more seriously when I	Total	145,794	169			
use Turkish.						
Students do not understand	Between Groups	9,871	2	4,935	5,037	,008
anything in English, so I	Within Groups	163,635	167	,980		
think it is better to speak in	Total	173,506	169			
Turkish while teaching.						
Turkish should be used in	Between Groups	8,122	2	4,061	6,376	,002
the classroom so that	Within Groups	106,372	167	,637		
students can make	Total	114,494	169			
connections between two						
languages.						
I support using Turkish more	Between Groups	8,562	2	4,281	7,284	,001
than English in the	Within Groups	98,150	167	,588		
classroom.	Total	106,712	169			
I think Turkish should be	Between Groups	3,908	2	1,954	3,135	,046
used as a facilitator when	Within Groups	104,069	167	,623		
teaching English.	Total	107,976	169			
I think Turkish provides	Between Groups	4,605	2	2,302	3,772	,025
scaffolding for me to help	Within Groups	101,919	167	,610		
the students when they have	Total	106,524	169			
problems.						
I think that using Turkish in	Between Groups	11,100	2	5,550	6,405	,002
English language classrooms	Within Groups	144,694	167	,866		
can help students to do better	Total	155,794	169			
in the exams.						
I think making jokes in	Between Groups	6,692	2	3,346	4,844	,009
Turkish creates a friendly	Within Groups	115,361	167	,691		
environment in the class.	Total	122,053	169			
I prefer using Turkish	Between Groups	4,192	2	2,096	2,332	,100
because my students find me	Within Groups	150,097	167	,899		
strict if I always speak in	Total	154,288	169			
English.						
It is important to use Turkish	Between Groups	10,649	2	5,325	6,650	,002
in order to form closer	Within Groups	133,727	167	,801		
relationships with the	Total	144,376	169			
students.						
I think using Turkish makes	Between Groups	1,220	2	,610	,762	,468
students feel comfortable to	Within Groups	133,627	167	,800		
ask me whenever they have	Total	134,847	169			
questions.						
I prefer using Turkish	Between Groups	10,000	2	5,000	5,512	,005
because my students do not	Within Groups	151,506	167	,907	•	
•		,		y		

listen to me when I use	Total	161,506	169			
English all the time.						
I think that using bilingual	Between Groups	13,713	2	6,856	9,221	,000
education is better than	Within Groups	124,175	167	,744		
using only the target	Total	137,888	169			
language (English) while						
teaching.						
It is important to make	Between Groups	3,487	2	1,743	2,980	,054
students understand their	Within Groups	97,719	167	,585		
mistakes, so I use Turkish to	Total	101,206	169			
explain them their mistakes.						
It is important to give	Between Groups	10,230	2	5,115	5,250	,006
instructions in Turkish to	Within Groups	162,717	167	,974		
make sure that students are	Total	172,947	169			
clear about the instructions.						

Appendix D.6. Post-Hoc LSD Test Results for Differences in Perceptions Based on Faculty of Graduation

Multiple Comparisons LSD 95% Confidence Mean Interval (I) Faculty of (J) Faculty of Difference Std. Lower Upper Dependent Variable graduation graduation (I-J) Error Sig. Bound Bound ,14431 -,3185 ,2514 It is important to Faculty of Education Faculty of Arts and -,03356 ,816 greet students in Sciences Turkish to make Other ,38125* ,18597 ,042 ,0141 ,7484 them feel Faculty of Arts and Faculty of Education ,03356 ,14431 ,816 -,2514 ,3185 Other -,0184 ,8481 comfortable before Sciences ,41481 ,21945 ,060 starting the lesson. Other Faculty of Education -,38125* ,18597 ,042 -,7484 -,0141 Faculty of Arts and -,41481 ,21945 ,060 -,8481 ,0184 Sciences It is important to Faculty of Education Faculty of Arts and ,45920* ,20792 ,029 ,0487 ,8697 translate a reading Sciences passage into Turkish Other ,3080 ,83698* ,26793 ,002 1,3660 to make students Faculty of Arts and Faculty of Education -,45920* ,20792 ,029 -,8697 -,0487 understand each Sciences Other ,31616 ,234 -,2464 1,0020 ,37778 detail. Other ,26793 ,002 -,3080 Faculty of Education -,83698* -1,3660 Faculty of Arts and ,31616 ,234 -1,0020 ,2464 -,37778 Sciences Faculty of Education By explaining the Faculty of Arts and ,25492 ,20176 ,208 -,1434 ,6532 gist of a listening Sciences Other ,97344* ,26000 ,000 ,4601 1,4867 passage into Turkish, students Faculty of Arts and Faculty of Education -,25492 ,20176 ,208 -,6532 ,1434 can easily Sciences Other ,71852* ,30679 ,020 ,1128 1,3242 understand what it is Other -,97344* ,000 -1,4867 -,4601 Faculty of Education ,26000 about. Faculty of Arts and -,71852* ,30679 ,020 -1,3242 -,1128 Sciences I think using Turkish Faculty of Education Faculty of Arts and ,5917 ,19936 ,19870 ,317 -,1929 is time-saving in the Sciences ,74010* classroom. Other ,25606 ,004 ,2346 1,2456 Faculty of Arts and Faculty of Education -,19936 ,19870 ,317 -,5917 ,1929 ,54074 ,30215 1,1373 Sciences Other ,075 -,0558 Other Faculty of Education ,25606 ,004 -1,2456 -,2346 -,74010* Faculty of Arts and -,54074 ,30215 ,075 -1,1373 ,0558 Sciences I think using Turkish Faculty of Education Faculty of Arts and ,29138 ,19647 ,140 -,0965 ,6793 is practical while Sciences teaching grammar. Other ,94323* ,25319 ,000 ,4434 1,4431 Faculty of Arts and Faculty of Education -,29138 ,19647 ,140 -,6793 ,0965 1,2417 Sciences Other ,65185* ,29876 ,031 ,0620 ,000 Other Faculty of Education -,94323* ,25319 -1.4431 -.4434 Faculty of Arts and -,65185* .29876 ,031 -1,2417 -,0620 Sciences

I think using Turkish is more practical	Faculty of Education	Faculty of Arts and Sciences	,28906	,19804	,146	-,1019	,6800
while teaching new		Other	,75573*	,25521	,004	,2519	1,2596
vocabulary.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,28906	,19804	,146	-,6800	,1019
vocaculary.	Sciences	Other	,46667	,30114	,123	-,1279	1,0612
	Other	Faculty of Education	-,75573*	,25521	,004	-1,2596	-,2519
	Guiei	Faculty of Arts and	-,46667	,30114	,123	-1,0612	,1279
		Sciences	,	,5011.	,120	1,0012	,12/>
I think using Turkish	Faculty of Education	Faculty of Arts and	,18924	,19502	,333	-,1958	,5743
to summarize the		Sciences					
lesson is better to		Other	,61146*	,25132	,016	,1153	1,1076
make it more	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,18924	,19502	,333	-,5743	,1958
comprehensible for	Sciences	Other	,42222	,29655	,156	-,1632	1,0077
the students.	Other	Faculty of Education	-,61146*	,25132	,016	-1,1076	-,1153
		Faculty of Arts and Sciences	-,42222	,29655	,156	-1,0077	,1632
It is important to use Turkish to get the	Faculty of Education	Faculty of Arts and Sciences	,42506*	,19818	,033	,0338	,8163
students' attention		Other	,38802	,25539	,131	-,1162	,8922
when they get bored.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,42506*	,19818	,033	-,8163	-,0338
when they get bored.	Sciences	Other	-,03704	,30136	,902	-,6320	,5579
	Other	Faculty of Education	-,38802	,25539	,131	-,8922	,1162
	Other	Faculty of Arts and	,03704	,30136	,902	-,5579	,6320
		Sciences	,03704	,50150	,502	,5517	,0320
I think talking about	Faculty of Education		,44878*	,17914	,013	,0951	,8025
daily life in Turkish	racanty or Zaucanon	Sciences	,	,1//1	,010	,0,01	,0020
makes students		Other	,42656	,23085	,066	-,0292	,8823
relaxed.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,44878*	,17914	,013	-,8025	-,0951
	Sciences	Other	-,02222	,27241	,935	-,5600	,5156
	Other	Faculty of Education	-,42656	,23085	,066	-,8823	,0292
		Faculty of Arts and	,02222	,27241	,935	-,5156	,5600
		Sciences					
I think using Turkish reduces barriers to	Faculty of Education	Faculty of Arts and Sciences	,44271*	,18546	,018	,0766	,8088
language learning.		Other	,50937*	,23899	,035	,0375	,9812
language learning.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,44271*	,18546	,033	-,8088	-,0766
	Sciences	Other	,06667	,28201	,813	-,4901	,6234
	Other	Faculty of Education	-,50937*	,23899	,035	-,9812	-,0375
	O.I	Faculty of Arts and	-,06667	,28201	,813	-,6234	,4901
		Sciences	,00007	,20201	,015	,025 .	,,,,,,,
I prefer using	Faculty of Education	Faculty of Arts and	,44705*	,19150	,021	,0690	,8251
Turkish to warn		Sciences					
students because		Other	,66927*	,24678	,007	,1821	1,1565
they take it more	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,44705*	,19150	,021	-,8251	-,0690
seriously when I use	Sciences	Other	,22222	,29120	,446	-,3527	,7971
Turkish.	Other	Faculty of Education	-,66927*	,24678	,007	-1,1565	-,1821
		Faculty of Arts and Sciences	-,22222	,29120	,446	-,7971	,3527
Students do not	Faculty of Education		,58073*	,20965	,006	,1668	,9946
understand anything		Sciences					

in English, so I think		Other	,51406	,27016	,059	-,0193	1,0474
it is better to speak	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,58073*	,20965	,006	-,9946	-,1668
in Turkish while	Sciences	Other	-,06667	,31879	,835	-,6960	,5627
teaching.	Other	Faculty of Education	-,51406	,27016	,059	-1,0474	,0193
		Faculty of Arts and	,06667	,31879	,835	-,5627	,6960
		Sciences					
Turkish should be	Faculty of Education	•	,05093	,17466	,771	-,2939	,3957
used in the		Sciences					
classroom so that		Other	,25833	,22507	,253	-,1860	,7027
students can make	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,05093	,17466	,771	-,3957	,2939
connections between	Sciences	Other	,20741	,26558	,436	-,3169	,7317
two languages.	Other	Faculty of Education	-,25833	,22507	,253	-,7027	,1860
		Faculty of Arts and	-,20741	,26558	,436	-,7317	,3169
		Sciences					
I support using	Faculty of Education	•	,22830	,16651	,172	-,1004	,5570
Turkish more than		Sciences					
English in the		Other	,45052*	,21458	,037	,0269	,8742
classroom.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,22830	,16651	,172	-,5570	,1004
	Sciences	Other	,22222	,25320	,381	-,2777	,7221
	Other	Faculty of Education	-,45052*	,21458	,037	-,8742	-,0269
		Faculty of Arts and	-,22222	,25320	,381	-,7221	,2777
		Sciences					
I think Turkish	Faculty of Education	•	,33970*	,16778	,044	,0085	,6709
should be used as a		Sciences					
facilitator when		Other	,26563	,21621	,221	-,1612	,6925
teaching English.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,33970*	,16778	,044	-,6709	-,0085
	Sciences	Other	-,07407	,25513	,772	-,5778	,4296
	Other	Faculty of Education	-,26563	,21621	,221	-,6925	,1612
		Faculty of Arts and	,07407	,25513	,772	-,4296	,5778
		Sciences					
I think Turkish	Faculty of Education	•	,19184	,16690	,252	-,1377	,5213
provides scaffolding		Sciences					
for me to help the		Other	,41406	,21508	,056	-,0106	,8387
students when they	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,19184	,16690	,252	-,5213	,1377
have problems.	Sciences	Other	,22222	,25379	,382	-,2788	,7233
	Other	Faculty of Education	-,41406	,21508	,056	-,8387	,0106
		Faculty of Arts and	-,22222	,25379	,382	-,7233	,2788
		Sciences	25002	20052	0.50	04.54	77.ca
I think that using	Faculty of Education	•	,37992	,20072	,060	-,0164	,7762
Turkish in English		Sciences	40044	25066	056	0122	1.0001
language classrooms	T 1 01 1	Other	,49844	,25866	,056	-,0122	1,0091
can help students to	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,37992	,20072	,060	-,7762	,0164
do better in the	Sciences	Other	,11852	,30522	,698	-,4841	,7211
exams.	Other	Faculty of Education	-,49844	,25866	,056	-1,0091	,0122
		Faculty of Arts and	-,11852	,30522	,698	-,7211	,4841
*	P 1 27 .	Sciences	.mc===*	15000	00 -	40	05.55
	Faculty of Education	•	,47830*	,17323	,006	,1363	,8203
in Turkish creates a		Sciences	= ^^=*		00-		
friendly		Other	,70052*	,22324	,002	,2598	1,1413
		Faculty of Education	-,47830*	,17323	,006	-,8203	-,1363

environment in the	Faculty of Arts and	Other	,22222	,26342	,400	-,2978	,7423
class.	Sciences Other	Faculty of Education	-,70052*	,22324	,002	-1,1413	-,2598
	Other	Faculty of Arts and	-,22222	,26342	,400	-,7423	,2978
		Sciences	-,22222	,20342	,400	-,7423	,2770
I prefer using	Faculty of Education		,68750*	,19521	,001	,3021	1,0729
Turkish because my	ruculty of Education	Sciences	,00750	,17521	,001	,5021	1,0725
students find me		Other	,48750	,25157	,054	-,0092	,9842
strict if I always	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,68750*	,19521	,001	-1,0729	-,3021
speak in English.	Sciences	Other	-,20000	,29685	,501	-,7861	,3861
	Other	Faculty of Education	-,48750	,25157	,054	-,9842	,0092
		Faculty of Arts and	,20000	,29685	,501	-,3861	,7861
		Sciences					
It is important to use	Faculty of Education	Faculty of Arts and	,48293*	,19070	,012	,1064	,8594
Turkish in order to		Sciences					
form closer		Other	,60885*	,24575	,014	,1237	1,0940
relationships with	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,48293*	,19070	,012	-,8594	-,1064
the students.	Sciences	Other	,12593	,28998	,665	-,4466	,6984
	Other	Faculty of Education	-,60885*	,24575	,014	-1,0940	-,1237
		Faculty of Arts and	-,12593	,28998	,665	-,6984	,4466
		Sciences					
I think using Turkish	Faculty of Education	Faculty of Arts and	,27170	,18874	,152	-,1009	,6443
makes students feel		Sciences					
comfortable to ask		Other	,24948	,24322	,307	-,2307	,7297
me whenever they	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,27170	,18874	,152	-,6443	,1009
have questions.	Sciences	Other	-,02222	,28700	,938	-,5888	,5444
	Other	Faculty of Education	-,24948	,24322	,307	-,7297	,2307
		Faculty of Arts and	,02222	,28700	,938	-,5444	,5888
* C :	P 1: CP1 ::	Sciences	40000*	20270	010	0006	0012
I prefer using	Faculty of Education	Sciences	,48090*	,20278	,019	,0806	,8813
Turkish because my		Other	,56979*	,26132	,031	0520	1 0057
students do not listen to me when I	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	,36979 -,48090*	,20132	,019	,0539 -,8813	1,0857 -,0806
use English all the	Sciences	Other	,08889	,30836	,774	-,5199	,6977
time.	Other	Faculty of Education	-,56979*	,26132	,031	-1,0857	-,0539
time.	Outer	Faculty of Arts and	-,08889	,30836	,774	-,6977	,5199
		Sciences	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	,,,,	,	,
I think that using	Faculty of Education		,34549	,18869	,069	-,0270	,7180
bilingual education	·	Sciences					
is better than using		Other	,50104*	,24315	,041	,0210	,9811
only the target	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,34549	,18869	,069	-,7180	,0270
language (English)	Sciences	Other	,15556	,28692	,588	-,4109	,7220
while teaching.	Other	Faculty of Education	-,50104*	,24315	,041	-,9811	-,0210
		Faculty of Arts and	-,15556	,28692	,588	-,7220	,4109
		Sciences					
It is important to	Faculty of Education	Faculty of Arts and	,08652	,16190	,594	-,2331	,4062
make students		Sciences					
understand their		Other	,51615*	,20864	,014	,1042	,9281
mistakes, so I use	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,08652	,16190	,594	-,4062	,2331
	Sciences	Other	,42963	,24619	,083	-,0564	,9157

Turkish to explain	Other	Faculty of Education	-,51615*	,20864	,014	-,9281	-,1042			
them their mistakes.		Faculty of Arts and	-,42963	,24619	,083	-,9157	,0564			
		Sciences								
It is important to	Faculty of Education	Faculty of Arts and	,42130*	,21175	,048	,0032	,8394			
give instructions in		Sciences								
Turkish to make		Other	,45833	,27288	,095	-,0804	,9971			
sure that students are	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of Education	-,42130*	,21175	,048	-,8394	-,0032			
clear about the	Sciences	Other	,03704	,32199	,909	-,5987	,6727			
instructions.	Other	Faculty of Education	-,45833	,27288	,095	-,9971	,0804			
		Faculty of Arts and	-,03704	,32199	,909	-,6727	,5987			
		Sciences								
*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.										

Appendix D.6.1. One Way ANOVA Test Results for Differences in Perceptions

Based on Faculty of Graduation

students in Turkish to make them feel comfortable before Total 79,624 169 starting the lesson. It is important to translate a Between Groups 12,539 2 6,269 6,504 ,002 reading passage into Turkish to make students understand Total 173,506 169 search detail. By explaining the gist of a Between Groups 151,570 167 ,968 159 per particular with a saving in the classroom. Within Groups 151,570 167 ,968 169 understand what it is about. I think using Turkish is time-saving in the classroom. Within Groups 147,015 167 ,880 169 170 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 18			ANOVA				
students in Turkish to make them feel comfortable before the students and the feel comfortable before them feel comfortable before the feel comfortable before them feel comfortable before them fee			Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
them feel comfortable before starting the lesson. It is important to translate a starting the lesson. It is important to translate a starting the lesson. It is important to translate a starting the lesson. It is important to translate a starting the lesson is between Groups 12,539 2 6,269 6,504 0,002 reading passage into Turkish to make students understand Total 173,506 169 169 17,7301 0,001 17,001 18,001 18,000 18	It is important to greet	Between Groups	2,074	2	1,037	2,234	,110
starting the lesson. It is important to translate a Between Groups 12,539 2 6,269 6,504 0,002 reading passage into Turkish Within Groups 160,967 167 9,64 169 169 160 169 160 169 160 169 160 169 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160 160	students in Turkish to make	Within Groups	77,549	167	,464		
It is important to translate a Between Groups 12,539 2 6,269 6,504 ,002 reading passage into Turkish Within Groups 160,967 167 ,964 to make students understand 70tal 173,506 169 each detail. By explaining the gist of a Between Groups 13,253 2 6,627 7,301 ,001 listening passage into Within Groups 151,570 167 ,908 Turkish, students can easily Total 164,824 169 understand what it is about. I think using Turkish is time-Between Groups 7,691 2 3,846 4,368 ,014 saving in the classroom. Within Groups 147,015 167 8,800 Total 154,706 169 Total 154,706 169 Understand what it is about. I think using Turkish is Between Groups 12,786 2 6,393 7,428 ,001 practical while teaching Within Groups 143,737 167 8,61 grammar. Total 156,524 169 Understand while teaching within Groups 146,038 167 8,74 vocabulary. Total 154,706 169 Understand while teaching new Within Groups 146,038 167 8,74 vocabulary. Total 154,706 169 Understand while teaching new Within Groups 146,038 167 8,74 vocabulary. Total 154,706 169 Understand while teaching new Within Groups 146,038 167 8,74 vocabulary. Total 154,706 169 Understand while teaching new Within Groups 146,038 167 8,74 vocabulary. Total 154,706 169 Understand while teaching new Within Groups 146,038 167 8,74 vocabulary. Total 154,706 169 Understand while teaching new Within Groups 141,619 167 8,848 understand while the sound within Groups 146,094 169 Understand to use Turkish to get the students attention Within Groups 131,505 167 7,87 understand while teaching about daily Between Groups 6,6151 2 3,075 4,298 ,015 life in Turkish makes Within Groups 119,496 167 7,716 understand while gabout daily Between Groups 6,925 2 3,463 4,515 ,012 reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 7,767 understand passage 128,069 167 7,767 understand passage 128,069 167 7,767 understand passage 128,069 167 7,767 understand passage 128,069 167 7,767 understand passage 128,069 167 7,767 understand passage 128,069 167 7,767 understand passage 128,069 167 7,767 understand passage 128,069 167 7,767 understa	them feel comfortable before	Total	79,624	169			
reading passage into Turkish to make students understand Total 173,506 169 each detail. By explaining the gist of a Between Groups 13,253 2 6,627 7,301 ,001 listening passage into Within Groups 151,570 167 ,908 Turkish, students can easily understand what it is about. I think using Turkish is time saving in the classroom. Within Groups 147,015 167 ,880 Total 154,706 169 Total 154,706 169 Total 156,524 169 Total 166,24 169 Tot	starting the lesson.						
to make students understand each detail. By explaining the gist of a Between Groups 13,253 2 6,627 7,301 .001 listening passage into Within Groups 151,570 167 .908 Turkish, students can easily understand what it is about. I think using Turkish is time-saving in the classroom. Within Groups 147,015 167 .880 Total 154,706 169 I think using Turkish is Between Groups 12,786 2 6,393 7,428 I think using Turkish is Between Groups 143,737 167 .861 I think using Turkish is more Between Groups 143,737 167 .861 I think using Turkish is more Between Groups 146,038 167 .874 I think using Turkish is more Between Groups 146,038 167 .874 I think using Turkish to Between Groups 146,038 167 .874 I think using Turkish to Between Groups 146,038 167 .874 I think using Turkish to Between Groups 146,038 167 .874 I think using Turkish to Between Groups 141,619 167 Between Groups 5,375 2 2,688 3,169 Uithink using Turkish to Between Groups 141,619 167 Between Groups 141,619 167 Within Groups 141,619 167 Between Groups 131,505 167 Total 136,288 169 I total 136,288 169 I total 136,288 169 I think talking about daily Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 I think using Turkish makes Within Groups 119,496 167 I think using Turkish Between	It is important to translate a	Between Groups	12,539	2	6,269	6,504	,002
each detail. By explaining the gist of a listening passage into Between Groups 13.253 2 6.627 7,301 ,001 151 listening passage into Within Groups 151.570 167 ,908 4 161 listening passage into Within Groups 151.570 167 ,908 4 169 4 <td< td=""><td>reading passage into Turkish</td><td>Within Groups</td><td>160,967</td><td>167</td><td>,964</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	reading passage into Turkish	Within Groups	160,967	167	,964		
By explaining the gist of a listening passage into Within Groups 151,570 167 1,908	to make students understand	Total	173,506	169			
Steeling passage into Within Groups 151,570 167 1,908 151,570 167 169 169 164,824 169 164,824 169 164,824 169 164,824 169 164,824 169 164,824 169 164,824 169 169 169 160	each detail.						
Turkish, students can easily understand what it is about. I think using Turkish is time-saving in the classroom. Within Groups Total I think using Turkish is time-saving in the classroom. Within Groups Total I think using Turkish is Between Groups Between Groups Total I think using Turkish is Between Groups Between Groups Total I think using Turkish is more practical while teaching new Within Groups Total I think using Turkish is more practical while teaching new Within Groups Total I think using Turkish is more practical while teaching new Within Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish Between Groups Total I think using Turkish Between Groups Total I think using Turkish Between Groups Total I think using Turkish Between Groups Total I think using Turkish Between Groups Total I think using Turkish Between Groups Total I think using Turkish makes Within Groups Total I think using Turkish makes Within Groups Total I think using Turkish Between Groups Total I think using Turkish Between Groups Total I think using Turkish Between Groups Total I think using Turkish Between Groups Total I think using Turkish Between Groups Total I think using Turkish Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Between Groups Total I think using Turkish to Total I think usin	By explaining the gist of a	Between Groups	13,253	2	6,627	7,301	,001
understand what it is about. I think using Turkish is time—saving in the classroom. Between Groups 7,691 2 3,846 4,368 ,014 saving in the classroom. Within Groups 147,015 167 ,880 .014 I think using Turkish is Between Groups 12,786 2 6,393 7,428 ,001 practical while teaching Within Groups 143,737 167 ,861 .008 grammar. Total 156,524 169 .008 .008 .008 I think using Turkish is more practical while teaching new Within Groups 146,038 167 ,874 .008 I think using Turkish to Between Groups 5,375 2 2,688 3,169 .045 summarize the lesson is Within Groups 141,619 167 ,848 .016 .008 better to make it more Total 146,994 169 .009 .009 .009 .009 comprehensible for the students. It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 4,784 2 2,392 3,037 .051 to get the students' attention when they get bored. Total 136,288	listening passage into	Within Groups	151,570	167	,908		
Think using Turkish is times awing in the classroom. Within Groups 147,015 167 .880 .014	Turkish, students can easily	Total	164,824	169			
saving in the classroom. Within Groups 147,015 167 ,880 I think using Turkish is Between Groups 12,786 2 6,393 7,428 ,001 practical while teaching Within Groups 143,737 167 ,861 .001 grammar. Total 156,524 169 .008 .008 I think using Turkish is more Between Groups 8,668 2 4,334 4,956 .008 practical while teaching new Within Groups 146,038 167 ,874 .008 practical while teaching new Within Groups 146,038 167 ,874 .008 practical while teaching new Within Groups 146,038 169 .874 .008 practical while teaching new Within Groups 141,609 169 .045 .008 I think using Turkish to Between Groups 5,375 2 2,688 3,169 .045 summarize the lesson is Within Groups 141,619 167 ,848 .051 .0	understand what it is about.						
Total	I think using Turkish is time-	Between Groups	7,691	2	3,846	4,368	,014
I think using Turkish is Between Groups 12,786 2 6,393 7,428 .001	saving in the classroom.	Within Groups	147,015	167	,880		
practical while teaching grammar. Total 156,524 169 I think using Turkish is more Between Groups 8,668 2 4,334 4,956 ,008 practical while teaching new Within Groups 146,038 167 ,874 vocabulary. Total 154,706 169 I think using Turkish to Between Groups 5,375 2 2,688 3,169 ,045 summarize the lesson is Within Groups 141,619 167 ,848 better to make it more Total 146,994 169 Comprehensible for the students. It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 131,505 167 ,787 when they get bored. Total 136,288 169 I think talking about daily Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 ,015 life in Turkish makes Within Groups 119,496 167 ,716 students relaxed. Total 125,647 169 I think using Turkish Between Groups 6,925 2 3,463 4,515 ,012 reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 ,767 learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004		Total	154,706	169			
grammar. Total 156,524 169 I think using Turkish is more Between Groups 8,668 2 4,334 4,956 ,008 practical while teaching new Within Groups 146,038 167 ,874 vocabulary. Total 154,706 169 I think using Turkish to Between Groups 5,375 2 2,688 3,169 ,045 summarize the lesson is Within Groups 141,619 167 ,848 better to make it more Total 146,994 169 comprehensible for the students. It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 4,784 2 2,392 3,037 ,051 to get the students' attention Within Groups 131,505 167 ,787 when they get bored. Total 136,288 169 I think talking about daily Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 ,015 life in Turkish makes Within Groups 119,496 167 ,716 students relaxed. Total 125,647 169 I think using Turkish Between Groups 6,925 2 3,463 4,515 ,012 reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 ,767 learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	I think using Turkish is	Between Groups	12,786	2	6,393	7,428	,001
I think using Turkish is more Between Groups	practical while teaching	Within Groups	143,737	167	,861		
practical while teaching new vocabulary. Within Groups 146,038 167 ,874 vocabulary. Total 154,706 169 I think using Turkish to Between Groups 5,375 2 2,688 3,169 ,045 summarize the lesson is Within Groups 141,619 167 ,848 .848 better to make it more Total 146,994 169 comprehensible for the students.	grammar.	Total	156,524	169			
vocabulary. Total 154,706 169 I think using Turkish to Between Groups 5,375 2 2,688 3,169 ,045 summarize the lesson is Within Groups 141,619 167 ,848 ,848 better to make it more Total 146,994 169 169 169 169 169 169 169 169 169 169 169 169 169 169 169 169 169 169 160 <td>I think using Turkish is more</td> <td>Between Groups</td> <td>8,668</td> <td>2</td> <td>4,334</td> <td>4,956</td> <td>,008</td>	I think using Turkish is more	Between Groups	8,668	2	4,334	4,956	,008
I think using Turkish to Between Groups 5,375 2 2,688 3,169 ,045 summarize the lesson is Within Groups 141,619 167 ,848 better to make it more Total 146,994 169 comprehensible for the students. It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 4,784 2 2,392 3,037 ,051 to get the students' attention Within Groups 131,505 167 ,787 when they get bored. Total 136,288 169 I think talking about daily Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 ,015 life in Turkish makes Within Groups 119,496 167 ,716 students relaxed. Total 125,647 169 I think using Turkish Between Groups 6,925 2 3,463 4,515 ,012 reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 ,767 learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	practical while teaching new	Within Groups	146,038	167	,874		
summarize the lesson is Within Groups 141,619 167 ,848 better to make it more Total 146,994 169 comprehensible for the students. It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 4,784 2 2,392 3,037 ,051 to get the students' attention Within Groups 131,505 167 ,787 when they get bored. Total 136,288 169 I think talking about daily Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 ,015 life in Turkish makes Within Groups 119,496 167 ,716 students relaxed. Total 125,647 169 I think using Turkish Between Groups 6,925 2 3,463 4,515 ,012 reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 ,767 learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	vocabulary.	Total	154,706	169			
better to make it more	I think using Turkish to	Between Groups	5,375	2	2,688	3,169	,045
comprehensible for the students. It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 4,784 2 2,392 3,037 ,051 to get the students' attention Within Groups 131,505 167 ,787 when they get bored. Total 136,288 169 I think talking about daily Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 ,015 life in Turkish makes Within Groups 119,496 167 ,716 5 students relaxed. Total 125,647 169 I think using Turkish Between Groups 6,925 2 3,463 4,515 ,012 reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 ,767 767 learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	summarize the lesson is	Within Groups	141,619	167	,848		
students. It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 4,784 2 2,392 3,037 ,051 to get the students' attention Within Groups 131,505 167 ,787 when they get bored. Total 136,288 169 I think talking about daily Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 ,015 life in Turkish makes Within Groups 119,496 167 ,716 ,716 students relaxed. Total 125,647 169 169 167 ,767 I think using Turkish Between Groups 6,925 2 3,463 4,515 ,012 reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 ,767 ,767 learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	better to make it more	Total	146,994	169			
It is important to use Turkish Between Groups 4,784 2 2,392 3,037 ,051 to get the students' attention Within Groups 131,505 167 ,787 when they get bored. Total 136,288 169 I think talking about daily Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 ,015 life in Turkish makes Within Groups 119,496 167 ,716 ,716 students relaxed. Total 125,647 169	comprehensible for the						
to get the students' attention Within Groups 131,505 167 ,787 when they get bored. Total 136,288 169 I think talking about daily Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 ,015 life in Turkish makes Within Groups 119,496 167 ,716 students relaxed. Total 125,647 169 I think using Turkish Between Groups 6,925 2 3,463 4,515 ,012 reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 ,767 learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	students.						
when they get bored. Total 136,288 169 I think talking about daily Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 ,015 life in Turkish makes Within Groups 119,496 167 ,716 students relaxed. Total 125,647 169 I think using Turkish Between Groups 6,925 2 3,463 4,515 ,012 reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 ,767 learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	It is important to use Turkish	Between Groups	4,784	2	2,392	3,037	,051
I think talking about daily Between Groups 6,151 2 3,075 4,298 ,015 life in Turkish makes Within Groups 119,496 167 ,716 students relaxed. Total 125,647 169 I think using Turkish Between Groups 6,925 2 3,463 4,515 ,012 reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 ,767 learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	to get the students' attention	Within Groups	131,505	167	,787		
life in Turkish makes Within Groups 119,496 167 ,716 students relaxed. Total 125,647 169 I think using Turkish Between Groups 6,925 2 3,463 4,515 ,012 reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 ,767 learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	when they get bored.	Total	136,288	169			
students relaxed. Total 125,647 169 I think using Turkish Between Groups 6,925 2 3,463 4,515 ,012 reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 ,767 learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	I think talking about daily	Between Groups	6,151	2	3,075	4,298	,015
I think using Turkish Between Groups 6,925 2 3,463 4,515 ,012 reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 ,767 learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	life in Turkish makes	Within Groups	119,496	167	,716		
reduces barriers to language Within Groups 128,069 167 ,767 learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	students relaxed.	Total	125,647	169			
learning. Total 134,994 169 I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	I think using Turkish	Between Groups	6,925	2	3,463	4,515	,012
I prefer using Turkish to Between Groups 9,239 2 4,620 5,650 ,004	reduces barriers to language	Within Groups	128,069	167	,767		
	learning.	Total	134,994	169			
warn students because they Within Groups 136,555 167 ,818	I prefer using Turkish to	Between Groups	9,239	2	4,620	5,650	,004
	warn students because they	Within Groups	136,555	167	,818		

take it more seriously when I	Total	145,794	169			
use Turkish.	_					
Students do not understand	Between Groups	9,851	2	4,926	5,026	,008
anything in English, so I	Within Groups	163,655	167	,980		
think it is better to speak in	Total	173,506	169			
Turkish while teaching.						
Turkish should be used in	Between Groups	,909	2	,454	,668	,514
the classroom so that	Within Groups	113,585	167	,680		
students can make	Total	114,494	169			
connections between two						
languages.						
I support using Turkish more	Between Groups	3,470	2	1,735	2,806	,063
than English in the	Within Groups	103,242	167	,618		
classroom.	Total	106,712	169			
I think Turkish should be	Between Groups	3,156	2	1,578	2,514	,084
used as a facilitator when	Within Groups	104,821	167	,628		
teaching English.	Total	107,976	169			
I think Turkish provides	Between Groups	2,802	2	1,401	2,256	,108
scaffolding for me to help	Within Groups	103,721	167	,621		
the students when they have	Total	106,524	169			
problems.						
I think that using Turkish in	Between Groups	5,774	2	2,887	3,214	,043
English language classrooms	Within Groups	150,020	167	,898		
can help students to do better	-	155,794	169			
in the exams.						
I think making jokes in	Between Groups	10,311	2	5,155	7,705	.001
Turkish creates a friendly	Within Groups	111,742	167	,669	.,,	,,,,,
environment in the class.	Total	122,053	169	,,,,,		
I prefer using Turkish	Between Groups	12,388	2	6,194	7,290	,001
because my students find me	•	141,900	167	,850	7,230	,001
strict if I always speak in	Total	154,288	169	,030		
English.	Total	134,200	10)			
It is important to use Turkish	Patrican Groups	8,966	2	4,483	5,529	,005
in order to form closer	•				3,329	,003
	Within Groups	135,411	167	,811		
relationships with the	Total	144,376	169			
students.	_					
I think using Turkish makes	Between Groups	2,205	2	1,102	1,388	,252
students feel comfortable to	Within Groups	132,642	167	,794		
ask me whenever they have	Total	134,847	169			
questions.						
I prefer using Turkish	Between Groups	8,387	2	4,194	4,574	,012
because my students do not	Within Groups		167	,917		

listen to me when I use	Total	161,506	169			
English all the time.						
I think that using bilingual	Between Groups	5,319	2	2,660	3,351	,037
education is better than	Within Groups	132,569	167	,794		
using only the target	Total	137,888	169			
language (English) while						
teaching.						
It is important to make	Between Groups	3,601	2	1,800	3,080	,049
students understand their	Within Groups	97,605	167	,584		
mistakes, so I use Turkish to	Total	101,206	169			
explain them their mistakes.						
It is important to give	Between Groups	5,984	2	2,992	2,993	,053
instructions in Turkish to	Within Groups	166,963	167	1,000		
make sure that students are	Total	172,947	169			
clear about the instructions.						

Appendix D.7. Post-Hoc LSD Test Results for Differences in Perceptions Based on Years of Teaching Experience

Multiple Comparisons LSD 95% Confidence Mean Interval Difference (I) Years of teaching (J) Years of teaching Std. Lower Upper Dependent Variable experience experience (I-J) Error Sig. Bound Bound ,21280 1-10 11-16 ,60258* ,1825 1,0227 It is important to ,005 translate a reading 16 or more ,73512* ,23504 ,002 ,2711 1,1991 passage into Turkish 11-16 1-10 -,60258* ,21280 ,005 -1,0227 -,1825 to make students 16 or more ,13254 ,17534 ,451 -,2136 ,4787 understand each 1-10 -,73512* ,23504 ,002 -1,1991 -,2711 16 or more detail. 11-16 -,13254 ,17534 ,451 -,4787 ,2136 By explaining the 1-10 11-16 ,41185* ,20823 ,050 ,0008 ,8230 gist of a listening 16 or more ,69643* ,22999 ,003 ,2424 1,1505 1-10 passage into 11-16 -,41185* ,20823 ,050 -,8230 -,0008 Turkish, students 16 or more ,28457 ,17158 ,099 -,0542 ,6233 1-10 can easily 16 or more -,69643* .22999 ,003 -1,1505 -,2424 understand what it is 11-16 -,28457 ,17158 ,0542 ,099 -,6233 about. 11-16 ,20428 ,438 I think using Turkish 1-10 ,15881 -,2445 ,5621 is time-saving in the 16 or more ,45536* .22563 ,045 .0099 ,9008 classroom. 11-16 1-10 -,15881 ,20428 ,438 -,5621 ,2445 16 or more ,29654 ,16832 ,080, -,0358 ,6289 16 or more 1-10 -,45536* ,22563 ,045 -,9008 -,0099 11-16 -,29654 ,16832 ,080, -,6289 ,0358 11-16 -,25842 ,17015 ,131 -,5943 ,0775 I think using Turkish 1-10 11-16 ,26216 ,20407 ,201 -,1407 ,6651 is more practical ,50595* ,22540 ,026 ,0610 ,9510 16 or more 1-10 while teaching new 11-16 -,26216 ,20407 ,201 -,6651 ,1407 ,24379 -,0882 vocabulary. 16 or more ,16815 ,149 ,5758 1-10 -,50595* ,22540 ,026 -,9510 -,0610 16 or more 11-16 -,24379 ,16815 ,149 -,5758 ,0882 I think using Turkish 1-10 11-16 ,35030 ,19513 ,074 -,0349 ,7355 to summarize the 16 or more ,72619* ,21552 ,001 ,3007 1,1517 1-10 ,0349 lesson is better to 11-16 -,35030 ,19513 ,074 -,7355 16 or more ,16078 ,021 ,0585 ,6933 make it more ,37589* comprehensible for 16 or more 1-10 -,72619* ,21552 ,001 -1,1517 -,3007 the students. 11-16 -,37589* ,16078 ,021 -,6933 -,0585 11-16 It is important to use 1-10 ,73480* ,18236 ,000 ,3748 1,0948 Turkish to get the ,94048* ,20142 ,000 ,5428 1,3381 16 or more students' attention 1-10 ,18236 ,000 -1,0948 -,3748 11-16 -,73480^{*} when they get bored. 16 or more ,20567 ,15026 ,173 -,0910 ,5023 1-10 -,94048* ,20142 16 or more ,000 -1,3381 -,5428 11-16 -,20567 .15026 ,173 -,5023 ,0910 I think talking about 1-10 11-16 ,55775* ,17866 ,002 ,2050 ,9105 ,76786* daily life in Turkish 16 or more ,19733 ,000 ,3783 1,1574 11-16 1-10 -,55775* ,17866 ,002 -,9105 -,2050

makes students		16 or more	,21011	,14721	,155	-,0805	,5007
relaxed.	16 or more	1-10	-,76786*	,19733	,000	-1,1574	-,3783
		11-16	-,21011	,14721	,155	-,5007	,0805
I prefer using	1-10	11-16	,18769	,19673	,341	-,2007	,5761
Turkish to warn		16 or more	,54762*	,21729	,013	,1186	,9766
students because	11-16	1-10	-,18769	,19673	,341	-,5761	,2007
they take it more		16 or more	,35993*	,16210	,028	,0399	,6800
seriously when I use	16 or more	1-10	-,54762*	,21729	,013	-,9766	-,1186
Turkish.		11-16	-,35993*	,16210	,028	-,6800	-,0399
Students do not	1-10	11-16	,62690*	,20898	,003	,2143	1,0395
understand anything		16 or more	,95536*	,23082	,000	,4997	1,4111
in English, so I think	11-16	1-10	-,62690*	,20898	,003	-1,0395	-,2143
it is better to speak		16 or more	,32846	,17220	,058	-,0115	,6684
in Turkish while	16 or more	1-10	-,95536*	,23082	,000	-1,4111	-,4997
teaching.		11-16	-,32846	,17220	,058	-,6684	,0115
Turkish should be	1-10	11-16	,41489*	,17279	,017	,0738	,7560
used in the		16 or more	,62500*	,19085	,001	,2482	1,0018
classroom so that	11-16	1-10	-,41489*	,17279	,017	-,7560	-,0738
students can make		16 or more	,21011	,14238	,142	-,0710	,4912
connections between	16 or more	1-10	-,62500*	,19085	,001	-1,0018	-,2482
two languages.		11-16	-,21011	,14238	,142	-,4912	,0710
I support using	1-10	11-16	,39286*	,16854	,021	,0601	,7256
Turkish more than		16 or more	,47619*	,18616	,011	,1087	,8437
English in the	11-16	1-10	-,39286*	,16854	,021	-,7256	-,0601
classroom.		16 or more	,08333	,13888	,549	-,1909	,3575
	16 or more	1-10	-,47619*	,18616	,011	-,8437	-,1087
		11-16	-,08333	,13888	,549	-,3575	,1909
I think Turkish	1-10	11-16	,19453	,16959	,253	-,1403	,5294
should be used as a		16 or more	,47024*	,18732	,013	,1004	,8401
facilitator when	11-16	1-10	-,19453	,16959	,253	-,5294	,1403
teaching English.		16 or more	,27571	,13974	,050	-,0002	,5516
	16 or more	1-10	-,47024*	,18732	,013	-,8401	-,1004
		11-16	-,27571	,13974	,050	-,5516	,0002
I think Turkish	1-10	11-16	,18845	,16912	,267	-,1454	,5223
provides scaffolding		16 or more	,42560*	,18680	,024	,0568	,7944
for me to help the	11-16	1-10	-,18845	,16912	,267	-,5223	,1454
students when they		16 or more	,23715	,13936	,091	-,0380	,5123
have problems.	16 or more	1-10	-,42560*	,18680	,024	-,7944	-,0568
		11-16	-,23715	,13936	,091	-,5123	,0380
I think that using	1-10	11-16	,42021*	,20023	,037	,0249	,8155
Turkish in English		16 or more	,79167*	,22116	,000	,3550	1,2283
language classrooms	11-16	1-10	-,42021*	,20023	,037	-,8155	-,0249
can help students to		16 or more	,37145*	,16499	,026	,0457	,6972
do better in the	16 or more	1-10	-,79167*	,22116	,000	-1,2283	-,3550
exams.		11-16	-,37145*	,16499	,026	-,6972	-,0457
I think making jokes	1-10	11-16	,62994*	,17360	,000	,2872	,9727
in Turkish creates a		16 or more	,86310*	,19174	,000	,4845	1,2416
friendly	11-16	1-10	-,62994*	,17360	,000	-,9727	-,2872
environment in the		16 or more	,23316	,14304	,105	-,0493	,5156
class.	16 or more	1-10	-,86310*	,19174	,000	-1,2416	-,4845

		11-16	-,23316	,14304	,105	-,5156	,0493
I prefer using	1-10	11-16	,57827*	,20115	,005	,1811	,9754
Turkish because my	1 10	16 or more	,63988*	,22217	,004	,2013	1,0785
students find me	11-16	1-10	-,57827*	,20115	,005	-,9754	-,1811
strict if I always	11 10	16 or more	,06161	,16574	,711	-,2656	,3888
speak in English.	16 or more	1-10	-,63988*	,22217	,004	-1,0785	-,2013
speak in zingilisin	10 01 111010	11-16	-,06161	,16574	,711	-,3888	,2656
It is important to use	1-10	11-16	,45061*	,19532	,022	,0650	,8362
Turkish in order to		16 or more	,61905*	,21574	,005	,1931	1,0450
form closer	11-16	1-10	-,45061*	,19532	,022	-,8362	-,0650
relationships with		16 or more	,16844	,16094	,297	-,1493	,4862
the students.	16 or more	1-10	-,61905*	,21574	,005	-1,0450	-,1931
		11-16	-,16844	,16094	,297	-,4862	,1493
I think using Turkish	1-10	11-16	,48404*	,18869	,011	,1115	,8566
makes students feel		16 or more	,58333*	,20840	,006	,1719	,9948
comfortable to ask	11-16	1-10	-,48404*	,18869	,011	-,8566	-,1115
me whenever they		16 or more	,09929	,15547	,524	-,2077	,4062
have questions.	16 or more	1-10	-,58333*	,20840	,006	-,9948	-,1719
•		11-16	-,09929	,15547	,524	-,4062	,2077
I prefer using	1-10	11-16	,57523*	,20477	,006	,1710	,9795
Turkish because my		16 or more	,75298*	,22617	,001	,3065	1,1995
students do not	11-16	1-10	-,57523*	,20477	,006	-,9795	-,1710
listen to me when I		16 or more	,17775	,16872	,294	-,1554	,5109
use English all the	16 or more	1-10	-,75298*	,22617	,001	-1,1995	-,3065
time.		11-16	-,17775	,16872	,294	-,5109	,1554
I think that using	1-10	11-16	,60790*	,18599	,001	,2407	,9751
bilingual education		16 or more	,86012*	,20543	,000	,4545	1,2657
is better than using	11-16	1-10	-,60790*	,18599	,001	-,9751	-,2407
only the target		16 or more	,25222	,15325	,102	-,0503	,5548
language (English)	16 or more	1-10	-,86012*	,20543	,000	-1,2657	-,4545
while teaching.		11-16	-,25222	,15325	,102	-,5548	,0503
It is important to	1-10	11-16	,54483*	,15994	,001	,2291	,8606
make students		16 or more	,69643*	,17666	,000	,3477	1,0452
understand their	11-16	1-10	-,54483*	,15994	,001	-,8606	-,2291
mistakes, so I use		16 or more	,15160	,13179	,252	-,1086	,4118
Turkish to explain	16 or more	1-10	-,69643*	,17666	,000	-1,0452	-,3477
them their mistakes.		11-16	-,15160	,13179	,252	-,4118	,1086
It is important to	1-10	11-16	,62842*	,21050	,003	,2128	1,0440
give instructions in		16 or more	,85714*	,23250	,000	,3981	1,3162
Turkish to make	11-16	1-10	-,62842*	,21050	,003	-1,0440	-,2128
sure that students are		16 or more	,22872	,17345	,189	-,1137	,5712
clear about the	16 or more	1-10	-,85714*	,23250	,000	-1,3162	-,3981
instructions.		11-16	-,22872	,17345	,189	-,5712	,1137
*. The mean difference	e is significant at the 0	0.05 level.					

Appendix D.7.1. One-way ANOVA Test Results for Differences in Perceptions

Based on Years of Teaching Experience

		ANOVA				
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
It is important to greet	Between Groups	1,227	2	,614	1,307	,273
students in Turkish to make	Within Groups	78,396	167	,469		
them feel comfortable before	Total	79,624	169			
starting the lesson.						
It is important to translate a	Between Groups	10,360	2	5,180	5,303	,006
reading passage into Turkish	Within Groups	163,146	167	,977		
to make students understand	Total	173,506	169			
each detail.						
By explaining the gist of a	Between Groups	8,610	2	4,305	4,602	,011
listening passage into	Within Groups	156,214	167	,935		
Turkish, students can easily	Total	164,824	169			
understand what it is about.						
I think using Turkish is time-	Between Groups	4,364	2	2,182	2,424	,092
saving in the classroom.	Within Groups	150,342	167	,900		
	Total	154,706	169			
I think using Turkish is	Between Groups	2,906	2	1,453	1,580	,209
practical while teaching	Within Groups	153,617	167	,920		
grammar.	Total	156,524	169			
I think using Turkish is more	Between Groups	4,665	2	2,333	2,596	,078
practical while teaching new	Within Groups	150,041	167	,898		
vocabulary.	Total	154,706	169			
I think using Turkish to	Between Groups	9,819	2	4,910	5,977	,003
summarize the lesson is	Within Groups	137,175	167	,821		
better to make it more	Total	146,994	169			
comprehensible for the						
students.						
It is important to use Turkish	Between Groups	16,475	2	8,237	11,482	,000
to get the students' attention	Within Groups	119,813	167	,717		
when they get bored.	Total	136,288	169			
I think talking about daily	Between Groups	10,649	2	5,325	7,732	,001
life in Turkish makes	Within Groups	114,998	167	,689		
students relaxed.	Total	125,647	169			
I think using Turkish	Between Groups	3,674	2	1,837	2,336	,100
reduces barriers to language	Within Groups	131,320	167	,786		
learning.	Total	134,994	169			
I prefer using Turkish to	Between Groups	6,355	2	3,177	3,805	,024
warn students because they	Within Groups	139,439	167	,835		

take it more seriously when I	Total	145,794	169			
use Turkish.						
Students do not understand	Between Groups	16,164	2	8,082	8,578	,000
anything in English, so I	Within Groups	157,342	167	,942		
think it is better to speak in	Total	173,506	169			
Turkish while teaching.						
Turkish should be used in	Between Groups	6,925	2	3,462	5,375	,005
the classroom so that	Within Groups	107,569	167	,644		
students can make	Total	114,494	169			
connections between two						
languages.						
I support using Turkish more	Between Groups	4,367	2	2,183	3,563	,031
than English in the	Within Groups	102,345	167	,613		
classroom.	Total	106,712	169			
I think Turkish should be	Between Groups	4,352	2	2,176	3,506	,032
used as a facilitator when	Within Groups	103,625	167	,621		
teaching English.	Total	107,976	169			
I think Turkish provides	Between Groups	3,474	2	1,737	2,815	,063
scaffolding for me to help	Within Groups	103,049	167	,617		
the students when they have	Total	106,524	169			
problems.						
I think that using Turkish in	Between Groups	11,351	2	5,675	6,562	,002
English language classrooms	Within Groups	144,443	167	,865		
can help students to do better	Total	155,794	169			
in the exams.						
I think making jokes in	Between Groups	13,476	2	6,738	10,364	,000
Turkish creates a friendly	Within Groups	108,577	167	,650		
environment in the class.	Total	122,053	169			
I prefer using Turkish	Between Groups	8,515	2	4,257	4,877	,009
because my students find me	Within Groups	145,773	167	,873		
strict if I always speak in	Total	154,288	169			
English.						
It is important to use Turkish	Between Groups	6,926	2	3,463	4,208	,016
in order to form closer	Within Groups	137,450	167	,823		
relationships with the	Total	144,376	169			
students.						
I think using Turkish makes	Between Groups	6,579	2	3,290	4,283	,015
students feel comfortable to	Within Groups	128,268	167	,768		
ask me whenever they have	Total	134,847	169			
questions.						
I prefer using Turkish	Between Groups	10,444	2	5,222	5,773	,004
because my students do not	Within Groups	151,062	167	,905		
•		, .		>		

listen to me when I use	Total	161,506	169			
English all the time.						
I think that using bilingual	Between Groups	13,259	2	6,629	8,883	,000
education is better than	Within Groups	124,630	167	,746		
using only the target	Total	137,888	169			
language (English) while						
teaching.						
It is important to make	Between Groups	9,040	2	4,520	8,190	,000
students understand their	Within Groups	92,166	167	,552		
mistakes, so I use Turkish to	Total	101,206	169			
explain them their mistakes.						
It is important to give	Between Groups	13,311	2	6,656	6,963	,001
instructions in Turkish to	Within Groups	159,636	167	,956		
make sure that students are	Total	172,947	169			
clear about the instructions.						

Appendix D.8. Post-Hoc LSD Test Results for Differences in Perceptions Based on Grades Taught

Multiple Comparisons LSD 95% Confidence Mean Interval (I) Which grades do (J) Which grades do Difference Std. Lower Upper Dependent Variable you teach? you teach? (I-J) Error Sig. Bound Bound ,27212* ,12251 ,0303 ,5140 It is important to Younger students Older students ,028 greet students in All groups ,35357* ,13600 ,010 ,0851 ,6221 Turkish to make Older students Younger students -,27212* ,12251 ,028 -,5140 -,0303 them feel All groups ,08145 ,12774 ,525 -,1707 ,3336 ,13600 -,0851 comfortable before All groups Younger students -,35357* ,010 -,6221 starting the lesson. Older students -,08145 ,12774 ,525 -,3336 ,1707 It is important to Younger students Older students ,48259* ,17907 ,008 ,1291 ,8361 translate a reading All groups ,61854* ,19878 ,002 ,2261 1,0110 passage into Turkish Older students Younger students -,48259* ,17907 ,008 -,8361 -,1291 to make students All groups ,13595 ,18671 ,468 -,2327 ,5046 ,19878 ,002 -1,0110 understand each All groups Younger students -,61854* -,2261 Older students -,5046 ,2327 detail. -,13595 ,18671 ,468 Younger students Older students ,65799* ,16873 ,000 ,3249 ,9911 By explaining the ,4768 gist of a listening All groups ,84660* ,18730 ,000 1,2164 Older students Younger students -,65799* .16873 ,000 -,9911 -,3249 passage into Turkish, students All groups ,18861 ,17592 ,285 -,1587 ,5359 can easily All groups Younger students -,84660* ,18730 ,000 -1,2164 -,4768 understand what it is Older students -,18861 ,17592 ,285 -,5359 ,1587 about. I think using Turkish Younger students Older students ,42519* ,16587 ,011 ,0977 ,7527 is time-saving in the All groups ,78343* ,18413 ,000 ,4199 1,1470 classroom. Older students ,16587 ,011 -,7527 -,0977 Younger students -,42519* All groups ,35824* ,17295 ,040 ,0168 ,6997 ,000 -1,1470 All groups Younger students -,78343* ,18413 -,4199 Older students -,35824* ,17295 ,040 -,6997 -,0168 I think using Turkish Younger students Older students ,57188* ,16503 ,001 ,2461 ,8977 is practical while All groups ,83306* ,18320 ,000 ,4714 1,1947 teaching grammar. Older students Younger students -,57188* ,16503 ,001 -,8977 -,2461 All groups ,26118 ,17207 ,131 -,0785 ,6009 All groups ,000 -1,1947 -,4714 Younger students -,83306* ,18320 Older students -,26118 ,17207 ,131 -,6009 ,0785 I think using Turkish Younger students Older students ,65081* ,16584 ,000 ,3234 ,9782 is more practical All groups ,64438* ,18409 ,001 ,2809 1,0078 while teaching new -,65081* ,000 -,9782 -,3234 Older students Younger students ,16584 vocabulary. ,17291 ,970 -,3478 ,3349 All groups -,00643 All groups Younger students -,64438* ,18409 ,001 -1,0078 -,2809 ,970 ,3478 Older students ,00643 ,17291 -,3349 I think using Turkish Younger students Older students ,65958* .15851 ,000 ,3466 ,9725 ,17595 ,000 ,4693 1,1640 to summarize the All groups ,81665* lesson is better to Older students Younger students -,65958* ,15851 ,000 -,9725 -,3466

All groups

,15707

,16527

,343

-,1692

,4834

make it more

comprehensible for	All groups	Younger students	-,81665*	,17595	,000	-1,1640	-,4693	
the students.		Older students	-,15707	,16527	,343	-,4834	,1692	
It is important to use	Younger students	Older students	,29232	,16594	,080,	-,0353	,6199	
Turkish to get the		All groups	,69893*	,18421	,000	,3353	1,0626	
students' attention	Older students	Younger students	-,29232	,16594	,080,	-,6199	,0353	
when they get bored.		All groups	,40661*	,17302	,020	,0650	,7482	
	All groups	Younger students	-,69893*	,18421	,000	-1,0626	-,3353	
		Older students	-,40661*	,17302	,020	-,7482	-,0650	
I think talking about	Younger students	Older students	,06245	,15092	,680	-,2355	,3604	
daily life in Turkish		All groups	,58450*	,16753	,001	,2537	,9152	
makes students	Older students	Younger students	-,06245	,15092	,680	-,3604	,2355	
relaxed.		All groups	,52205*	,15735	,001	,2114	,8327	
	All groups	Younger students	-,58450*	,16753	,001	-,9152	-,2537	
		Older students	-,52205*	,15735	,001	-,8327	-,2114	
I think using Turkish	Younger students	Older students	,32527*	,15827	,041	,0128	,6377	
reduces barriers to		All groups	,56563*	,17570	,002	,2188	,9125	
language learning.	Older students	Younger students	-,32527*	,15827	,041	-,6377	-,0128	
		All groups	,24036	,16503	,147	-,0854	,5662	
	All groups	Younger students	-,56563*	,17570	,002	-,9125	-,2188	
		Older students	-,24036	,16503	,147	-,5662	,0854	
I prefer using	Younger students	Older students	,53362*	,15829	,001	,2211	,8461	
Turkish to warn	Č	All groups	.85603*	,17572	,000	,5091	1,2029	
students because	Older students	Younger students	-,53362*	,15829	,001	-,8461	-,2211	
they take it more		All groups	,32241	,16505	,052	-,0034	,6483	
seriously when I use	All groups	Younger students	-,85603*	,17572	,000	-1,2029	-,5091	
Turkish.	r in groups	Older students	-,32241	,16505	,052	-,6483	,0034	
Students do not	Younger students	Older students	,37151*	,17766	,038	,0208	,7223	
understand anything	Tounger students	All groups	,74077*	,19722	,000	,3514	1,1301	
in English, so I think	Older students	Younger students	-,37151*	,17766	,038	-,7223	-,0208	
it is better to speak	Older students	All groups	,36926*	,18524	,048	,0035	,7350	
in Turkish while	All groups	Younger students	,30920 -,74077*	,19722	,000	-1,1301	-,3514	
teaching.	All groups	Older students	-,74077 -,36926*	,18524	.048	-,7350	-,0035	
Turkish should be	Vounces students			,	ŕ			
used in the	Younger students	Older students	,41988* ,60788*	,14367	,004	,1362	,7035	
	011	All groups		,15949	,000	,2930	,9228	
classroom so that	Older students	Younger students	-,41988*	,14367	,004	-,7035	-,1362	
students can make	A 11	All groups	,18800	,14980	,211	-,1078	,4837	
connections between	All groups	Younger students	-,60788*	,15949	,000	-,9228	-,2930	
two languages.		Older students	-,18800	,14980	,211	-,4837	,1078	
I support using	Younger students	Older students	,36327*	,13704	,009	,0927	,6338	
Turkish more than		All groups	,68171*	,15213	,000	,3814	,9820	
English in the	Older students	Younger students	-,36327*	,13704	,009	-,6338	-,0927	
classroom.		All groups	,31843*	,14289	,027	,0363	,6005	
	All groups	Younger students	-,68171*	,15213	,000	-,9820	-,3814	
		Older students	-,31843*	,14289	,027	-,6005	-,0363	
I think Turkish	Younger students	Older students	,36859*	,13927	,009	,0936	,6435	
should be used as a		All groups	,61813*	,15460	,000	,3129	,9233	
facilitator when	Older students	Younger students	-,36859*	,13927	,009	-,6435	-,0936	
teaching English.		All groups	,24954	,14521	,088	-,0371	,5362	
	All groups	Younger students	-,61813*	,15460	,000	-,9233	-,3129	
		Older students	-,24954	,14521	,088	-,5362	,0371	

I think Turkish	Younger students	Older students	,34069*	,14238	,018	,0596	,6218
provides scaffolding		All groups	,28343	,15806	,075	-,0286	,5955
for me to help the	Older students	Younger students	-,34069*	,14238	,018	-,6218	-,0596
students when they		All groups	-,05726	,14846	,700	-,3503	,2358
have problems.	All groups	Younger students	-,28343	,15806	,075	-,5955	,0286
		Older students	,05726	,14846	,700	-,2358	,3503
I think that using	Younger students	Older students	,34361*	,16278	,036	,0222	,6650
Turkish in English		All groups	,92781*	,18069	,000	,5711	1,2846
language classrooms	Older students	Younger students	-,34361*	,16278	,036	-,6650	-,0222
can help students to		All groups	,58420*	,16972	,001	,2491	,9193
do better in the	All groups	Younger students	-,92781*	,18069	,000	-1,2846	-,5711
exams.		Older students	-,58420*	,16972	,001	-,9193	-,2491
I think making jokes	Younger students	Older students	,12836	,15448	,407	-,1766	,4333
in Turkish creates a		All groups	,20919	,17148	,224	-,1294	,5477
friendly	Older students	Younger students	-,12836	,15448	,407	-,4333	,1766
environment in the		All groups	,08083	,16107	,616	-,2372	,3988
class.	All groups	Younger students	-,20919	,17148	,224	-,5477	,1294
		Older students	-,08083	,16107	,616	-,3988	,2372
I prefer using	Younger students	Older students	,16795	,16867	,321	-,1650	,5009
Turkish because my		All groups	,62141*	,18724	,001	,2518	,9911
students find me	Older students	Younger students	-,16795	,16867	,321	-,5009	,1650
strict if I always		All groups	,45346*	,17586	,011	,1063	,8007
speak in English.	All groups	Younger students	-,62141*	,18724	,001	-,9911	-,2518
		Older students	-,45346*	,17586	,011	-,8007	-,1063
It is important to use	Younger students	Older students	,35636*	,16431	,032	,0320	,6808
Turkish in order to		All groups	,53610*	,18240	,004	,1760	,8962
form closer	Older students	Younger students	-,35636*	,16431	,032	-,6808	-,0320
relationships with		All groups	,17973	,17132	,296	-,1585	,5180
the students.	All groups	Younger students	-,53610*	,18240	,004	-,8962	-,1760
		Older students	-,17973	,17132	,296	-,5180	,1585
I think using Turkish	Younger students	Older students	,27000	,16139	,096	-,0486	,5886
makes students feel		All groups	,29204	,17916	,105	-,0617	,6457
comfortable to ask	Older students	Younger students	-,27000	,16139	,096	-,5886	,0486
me whenever they		All groups	,02205	,16827	,896	-,3102	,3543
have questions.	All groups	Younger students	-,29204	,17916	,105	-,6457	,0617
		Older students	-,02205	,16827	,896	-,3543	,3102
I prefer using	Younger students	Older students	,29524	,17188	,088	-,0441	,6346
Turkish because my		All groups	,69114*	,19080	,000	,3145	1,0678
students do not	Older students	Younger students	-,29524	,17188	,088	-,6346	,0441
listen to me when I		All groups	,39590*	,17921	,029	,0421	,7497
use English all the	All groups	Younger students	-,69114*	,19080	,000	-1,0678	-,3145
time.		Older students	-,39590*	,17921	,029	-,7497	-,0421
I think that using	Younger students	Older students	,48605*	,15686	,002	,1764	,7957
bilingual education	-	All groups	,70221*	,17412	,000	,3584	1,0460
is better than using	Older students	Younger students	-,48605*	,15686	,002	-,7957	-,1764
only the target		All groups	,21617	,16355	,188	-,1067	,5391
language (English)	All groups	Younger students	-,70221*	,17412	,000	-1,0460	-,3584
while teaching.	- 1	Older students	-,21617	,16355	,188	-,5391	,1067
It is important to	Younger students	Older students	,35955*	,13754	,010	,0880,	,6311
make students		All groups	,41099*	,15268	,008	,1096	,7124
		G - T-	,	,	,	,	,= .

understand their	Older students	Younger students	-,35955*	,13754	,010	-,6311	-,0880	
mistakes, so I use		All groups	,05144	,14341	,720	-,2317	,3346	
Turkish to explain	All groups	Younger students	-,41099*	,15268	,008	-,7124	-,1096	
them their mistakes.		Older students	-,05144	,14341	,720	-,3346	,2317	
It is important to	Younger students	Older students	,39144*	,17761	,029	,0408	,7421	
give instructions in		All groups	,72518*	,19717	,000	,3359	1,1144	
Turkish to make	Older students	Younger students	-,39144*	,17761	,029	-,7421	-,0408	
sure that students are		All groups	,33374	,18519	,073	-,0319	,6994	
clear about the	All groups	Younger students	-,72518*	,19717	,000	-1,1144	-,3359	
instructions.		Older students	-,33374	,18519	,073	-,6994	,0319	
*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.								

Appendix D.8.1. One-way ANOVA Test Results for Differences in Perceptions

Based on Grade Taught

		ANOVA				
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
It is important to greet	Between Groups	3,374	2	1,687	3,695	,027
students in Turkish to make	Within Groups	76,249	167	,457		
them feel comfortable before	Total	79,624	169			
starting the lesson.						
It is important to translate a	Between Groups	10,491	2	5,246	5,374	,005
reading passage into Turkish	Within Groups	163,015	167	,976		
to make students understand	Total	173,506	169			
each detail.						
By explaining the gist of a	Between Groups	19,729	2	9,865	11,354	,000
listening passage into	Within Groups	145,094	167	,869		
Turkish, students can easily	Total	164,824	169			
understand what it is about.						
I think using Turkish is time-	Between Groups	14,090	2	7,045	8,367	,000
saving in the classroom.	Within Groups	140,616	167	,842		
	Total	154,706	169			
I think using Turkish is	Between Groups	17,087	2	8,543	10,232	,000
practical while teaching	Within Groups	139,437	167	,835		
grammar.	Total	156,524	169			
I think using Turkish is more	Between Groups	15,488	2	7,744	9,289	,000
practical while teaching new	Within Groups	139,218	167	,834		
vocabulary.	Total	154,706	169			
I think using Turkish to	Between Groups	18,988	2	9,494	12,386	,000
summarize the lesson is	Within Groups	128,007	167	,767		
better to make it more	Total	146,994	169			
comprehensible for the						
students.						
It is important to use Turkish	Between Groups	9,790	2	4,895	6,462	,002
to get the students' attention	Within Groups	126,498	167	,757		
when they get bored.	Total	136,288	169			
I think talking about daily	Between Groups	5,933	2	2,966	4,138	,018
life in Turkish makes	Within Groups	119,714	167	,717		
students relaxed.	Total	125,647	169			
I think using Turkish	Between Groups	6,860	2	3,430	4,470	,013
reduces barriers to language	Within Groups	128,134	167	,767		
learning.	Total	134,994	169			
I prefer using Turkish to	Between Groups	16,846	2	8,423	10,909	,000
warn students because they	Within Groups	128,948	167	,772		
•	=					

take it more seriously when I	Total	145,794	169			
use Turkish.						
Students do not understand	Between Groups	11,196	2	5,598	5,760	,004
anything in English, so I	Within Groups	162,310	167	,972		
think it is better to speak in	Total	173,506	169			
Turkish while teaching.						
Turkish should be used in	Between Groups	9,138	2	4,569	7,242	,001
the classroom so that	Within Groups	105,356	167	,631		
students can make	Total	114,494	169			
connections between two						
languages.						
I support using Turkish more	Between Groups	9,816	2	4,908	8,459	,000
than English in the	Within Groups	96,895	167	,580		
classroom.	Total	106,712	169			
I think Turkish should be	Between Groups	8,793	2	4,396	7,402	,001
used as a facilitator when	Within Groups	99,184	167	,594		
teaching English.	Total	107,976	169			
I think Turkish provides	Between Groups	4,246	2	2,123	3,466	,034
scaffolding for me to help	Within Groups	102,278	167	,612		
the students when they have	Total	106,524	169			
problems.						
I think that using Turkish in	Between Groups	17,605	2	8,803	10,638	,000
English language classrooms	Within Groups	138,189	167	,827		
can help students to do better	Total	155,794	169			
in the exams.						
I think making jokes in	Between Groups	1,433	2	,717	,992	,373
Turkish creates a friendly	Within Groups	120,620	167	,722		
environment in the class.	Total	122,053	169			
I prefer using Turkish	Between Groups	7,099	2	3,550	4,027	,020
because my students find me	Within Groups	147,189	167	,881		
strict if I always speak in	Total	154,288	169			
English.						
It is important to use Turkish	Between Groups	6,657	2	3,328	4,036	,019
in order to form closer	Within Groups	137,720	167	,825		
relationships with the	Total	144,376	169			
students.						
I think using Turkish makes	Between Groups	3,303	2	1,651	2,096	,126
students feel comfortable to	Within Groups	131,544	167	,788		
ask me whenever they have	Total	134,847	169			
questions.						
I prefer using Turkish	Between Groups	8,307	2	4,154	4,528	,012
because my students do not	Within Groups	153,199	167	,917		
•	•	•		*		

listen to me when I use	Total	161,506	169			
English all the time.						
I think that using bilingual	Between Groups	11,953	2	5,976	7,925	,001
education is better than	Within Groups	125,935	167	,754		
using only the target	Total	137,888	169			
language (English) while						
teaching.						
It is important to make	Between Groups	5,356	2	2,678	4,666	,011
students understand their	Within Groups	95,850	167	,574		
mistakes, so I use Turkish to	Total	101,206	169			
explain them their mistakes.						
It is important to give	Between Groups	10,312	2	5,156	5,294	,006
instructions in Turkish to	Within Groups	162,635	167	,974		
make sure that students are	Total	172,947	169			
clear about the instructions.						

Appendix E. Results of the Data Analysis of the Frequency of Teachers' L1 Use

Appendix E.1. Post-Hoc LSD Test Results for Differences in Frequency of L1

Use Based on Age

LSD		Multiple Cor	nparisons				
LSD			Mean			95% Confide	nce Interval
			Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper
Dependent Variable	(I) Age	(J) Age	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound
I use Turkish to greet	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,55852*	,16005	,001	,2425	,8745
students.		Teachers					
		Older Teachers	,16667	,23726	,483	-,3017	,6351
	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,55852*	,16005	,001	-,8745	-,2425
	Teachers	Older Teachers	-,39186*	,19649	,048	-,7798	-,0039
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,16667	,23726	,483	-,6351	,3017
		Middle-aged	,39186*	,19649	,048	,0039	,7798
		Teachers					
I use Turkish at the	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,70706*	,19580	,000	,3205	1,0936
warm up stage to		Teachers					
remind students what		Older Teachers	,80833*	,29025	,006	,2353	1,3814
we have covered at	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,70706*	,19580	,000	-1,0936	-,3205
the previous lesson.	Teachers	Older Teachers	,10127	,24037	,674	-,3733	,5758
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,80833*	,29025	,006	-1,3814	-,2353
		Middle-aged	-,10127	,24037	,674	-,5758	,3733
		Teachers					
I give Turkish	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,51463*	,21811	,019	,0840	,9452
equivalents of the		Teachers					
unknown words.		Older Teachers	,31667	,32332	,329	-,3217	,9550
	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,51463*	,21811	,019	-,9452	-,0840
	Teachers	Older Teachers	-,19796	,26776	,461	-,7266	,3307
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,31667	,32332	,329	-,9550	,3217
		Middle-aged	,19796	,26776	,461	-,3307	,7266
		Teachers					
I translate the reading	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,50286*	,24625	,043	,0167	,9890
passages into		Teachers					
Turkish.		Older Teachers	,49167	,36503	,180	-,2290	1,2123
	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,50286*	,24625	,043	-,9890	-,0167
	Teachers	Older Teachers	-,01120	,30230	,971	-,6080	,5856
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,49167	,36503	,180	-1,2123	,2290
		Middle-aged	,01120	,30230	,971	-,5856	,6080
		Teachers					
I use Turkish to	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,64758*	,21158	,003	,2299	1,0653
summarize the topics		Teachers					
that we have already		Older Teachers	,76667*	,31363	,016	,1475	1,3859
covered.	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,64758*	,21158	,003	-1,0653	-,2299
	Teachers	Older Teachers	,11908	,25974	,647	-,3937	,6319
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,76667 [*]	,31363	,016	-1,3859	-,1475
		Middle-aged	-,11908	,25974	,647	-,6319	,3937
		Teachers					

I compare English	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,62691*	,19981	,002	,2324	1,0214
grammar with		Teachers	*				
Turkish grammar		Older Teachers	,64167*	,29620	,032	,0569	1,2264
while teaching new	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,62691*	,19981	,002	-1,0214	-,2324
grammatical	Teachers	Older Teachers	,01476	,24530	,952	-,4695	,4990
structures.	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,64167*	,29620	,032	-1,2264	-,0569
		Middle-aged	-,01476	,24530	,952	-,4990	,4695
		Teachers					
I use Turkish to	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,36228*	,17591	,041	,0150	,7096
create a comfortable		Teachers					
environment for		Older Teachers	,37500	,26077	,152	-,1398	,8898
students.	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,36228*	,17591	,041	-,7096	-,0150
	Teachers	Older Teachers	,01272	,21596	,953	-,4136	,4391
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,37500	,26077	,152	-,8898	,1398
		Middle-aged	-,01272	,21596	,953	-,4391	,4136
		Teachers					
I use Turkish to	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,64631*	,16555	,000	,3195	,9731
correct students'		Teachers					
mistakes.		Older Teachers	,66667*	,24540	,007	,1822	1,1512
	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,64631*	,16555	,000	-,9731	-,3195
	Teachers	Older Teachers	,02036	,20323	,920	-,3809	,4216
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,66667*	,24540	,007	-1,1512	-,1822
		Middle-aged	-,02036	,20323	,920	-,4216	,3809
		Teachers					
I use Turkish to give	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,60178*	,19220	,002	,2223	,9812
feedback to students.		Teachers					
		Older Teachers	,76667*	,28491	,008	,2042	1,3292
	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,60178*	,19220	,002	-,9812	-,2223
	Teachers	Older Teachers	,16489	,23595	,486	-,3009	,6307
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,76667*	,28491	,008	-1,3292	-,2042
		Middle-aged	-,16489	,23595	,486	-,6307	,3009
		Teachers					
I first give the	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	1,07220*	,23385	,000	,6105	1,5339
instructions in	<i>3</i>	Teachers	,	,	,	,	,
English and then in		Older Teachers	,84167*	,34665	,016	,1573	1,5260
Turkish.	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-1,07220*	,23385	,000	-1,5339	-,6105
	Teachers	Older Teachers	-,23053	,28708	,423	-,7973	,3362
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,84167*	,34665	,016	-1,5260	-,1573
	Older Teachers	Middle-aged	,23053	,28708	,423	-,3362	,7973
		Teachers	,23033	,20700	,423	,5502	,1713
When students have	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,63963*	,20057	,002	,2436	1,0356
problems, I help them	Tounger Teachers	Teachers	,03703	,20037	,002	,2130	1,0550
by speaking in		Older Teachers	,77500*	,29732	,010	,1880	1,3620
Turkish.	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,63963*	,20057	,002	-1,0356	-,2436
Turkisii.	Teachers	Older Teachers	,13537	,24623	,583	-,3508	,6215
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,77500*	,29732	,010	-1,3620	-,1880
	Older reactions	Middle-aged	-,13537	,24623	,583	-,6215	,3508
		Teachers	-,15551	,2+023	,,,,,,	-,0413	,5500
	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,61959*	,23223	,008	,1611	1,0781
	Tounger Teachers	_	,01737	,23223	,000	,1011	1,0701
		Teachers					

I ask my students to		Older Teachers	,50000	,34425	,148	-,1796	1,1796
translate the reading	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,61959*	,23223	,008	-1,0781	-,1611
texts into Turkish.	Teachers	Older Teachers	-,11959	,28509	,675	-,6824	,4433
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,50000	,34425	,148	-1,1796	,1796
		Middle-aged	,11959	,28509	,675	-,4433	,6824
		Teachers					
I use Turkish to deal	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,59606*	,20673	,004	,1879	1,0042
with discipline		Teachers					
problems in the		Older Teachers	,71667*	,30645	,021	,1117	1,3217
classroom.	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,59606*	,20673	,004	-1,0042	-,1879
	Teachers	Older Teachers	,12061	,25379	,635	-,3804	,6217
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,71667*	,30645	,021	-1,3217	-,1117
		Middle-aged	-,12061	,25379	,635	-,6217	,3804
		Teachers					
I use Turkish to make	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,75668*	,18843	,000	,3847	1,1287
jokes.		Teachers					
		Older Teachers	,50833	,27932	,071	-,0431	1,0598
	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,75668*	,18843	,000	-1,1287	-,3847
	Teachers	Older Teachers	-,24835	,23133	,285	-,7050	,2084
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,50833	,27932	,071	-1,0598	,0431
		Middle-aged	,24835	,23133	,285	-,2084	,7050
		Teachers					
I explain the gist of	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,58556*	,21719	,008	,1568	1,0144
listening passages in		Teachers					
Turkish.		Older Teachers	,59167	,32196	,068	-,0440	1,2273
	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,58556*	,21719	,008	-1,0144	-,1568
	Teachers	Older Teachers	,00611	,26663	,982	-,5203	,5325
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,59167	,32196	,068	-1,2273	,0440
		Middle-aged	-,00611	,26663	,982	-,5325	,5203
		Teachers					
I can easily get	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,65331*	,19166	,001	,2749	1,0317
students' attention by		Teachers	-0*				
using Turkish.		Older Teachers	,68333*	,28411	,017	,1224	1,2442
	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,65331*	,19166	,001	-1,0317	-,2749
	Teachers	Older Teachers	,03003	,23529	,899	-,4345	,4945
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,68333*	,28411	,017	-1,2442	-,1224
		Middle-aged	-,03003	,23529	,899	-,4945	,4345
		Teachers					
I ask students to use	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,78912*	,23757	,001	,3201	1,2581
bilingual dictionaries		Teachers	701 <i>c</i> 7*	25216	026	00.64	1 1060
in class.		Older Teachers	,79167*	,35216	,026	,0964	1,4869
	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,78912*	,23757	,001	-1,2581	-,3201
	Teachers	Older Teachers	,00254	,29165	,993	-,5732	,5783
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,79167*	,35216	,026	-1,4869	-,0964
		Middle-aged	-,00254	,29165	,993	-,5783	,5732
T 70 111 1		Teachers	65056*	22151	00.4	2124	1.0001
I use Turkish to ask	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,65076*	,22151	,004	,2134	1,0881
students if they have		Teachers	75000*	22027	02.4	1017	1 2002
any questions about		Older Teachers	,75000*	,32836	,024	,1017	1,3983
the lesson.		Younger Teachers	-,65076*	,22151	,004	-1,0881	-,2134

	Middle-aged Teachers	Older Teachers	,09924	,27194	,716	-,4376	,6361
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,75000*	,32836	,024	-1,3983	-,1017
	Older Teachers	Middle-aged	-,09924	,27194	,716	-,6361	,4376
		Teachers	,022	,2717.	,,,10	,0001	,.570
I speak in Turkish	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,17176	,17166	,318	-,1672	,5107
while students do		Teachers	,	,	,,,,,,	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
pair/group work.		Older Teachers	,23333	,25447	,360	-,2691	,7357
	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,17176	,17166	,318	-,5107	,1672
	Teachers	Older Teachers	,06158	,21074	,770	-,3545	,4776
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,23333	,25447	,360	-,7357	,2691
		Middle-aged	-,06158	,21074	,770	-,4776	,3545
		Teachers					
I speak in Turkish	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,84033*	,20865	,000	,4284	1,2523
when I talk about		Teachers					
something unrelated		Older Teachers	,71667*	,30930	,022	,1060	1,3273
with the lesson in the	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,84033*	,20865	,000	-1,2523	-,4284
class.	Teachers	Older Teachers	-,12366	,25615	,630	-,6294	,3820
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,71667*	,30930	,022	-1,3273	-,1060
		Middle-aged	,12366	,25615	,630	-,3820	,6294
		Teachers					
I use Turkish to	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,66031*	,20138	,001	,2627	1,0579
motivate my students		Teachers					
when they get bored.		Older Teachers	,63333*	,29851	,035	,0440	1,2227
	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,66031*	,20138	,001	-1,0579	-,2627
	Teachers	Older Teachers	-,02697	,24722	,913	-,5150	,4611
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,63333*	,29851	,035	-1,2227	-,0440
		Middle-aged	,02697	,24722	,913	-,4611	,5150
		Teachers					
I use gestures, mimes	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	-,26495	,22399	,239	-,7072	,1773
or visuals to clarify		Teachers	12500	22202	505	5 005	7207
the meaning rather	NC 111 1	Older Teachers	-,12500	,33203	,707	-,7805	,5305
than using Turkish.	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	,26495	,22399	,239	-,1773	,7072
	Teachers	Older Teachers	,13995	,27498	,611	-,4029	,6828
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	,12500	,33203	,707	-,5305	,7805
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,13995	,27498	,611	-,6828	,4029
I use Turkish to tell	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,75223*	,20598	,000	,3456	1,1589
stories related to the	Tounger Teachers	Teachers	,73223	,20376	,000	,5450	1,1307
topic.		Older Teachers	,62500*	,30535	,042	,0222	1,2278
topie.	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,75223*	,20598	,000	-1,1589	-,3456
	Teachers	Older Teachers	-,12723	,25287	,616	-,6265	,3720
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,62500*	,30535	,042	-1,2278	-,0222
		Middle-aged	,12723	,25287	,616	-,3720	,6265
		Teachers					
I explain homework	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,78244*	,21479	,000	,3584	1,2065
tasks in Turkish.		Teachers					
		Older Teachers	1,03333*	,31840	,001	,4047	1,6619
	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,78244*	,21479	,000	-1,2065	-,3584
	Teachers	Older Teachers	,25089	,26369	,343	-,2697	,7715

	Older Teachers	Voungar Tagahara	1 02222*	21940	001	1 6610	4047
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-1,03333*	,31840	,001	-1,6619	-,4047
		Middle-aged Teachers	-,25089	,26369	,343	-,7715	,2697
I support the 'English	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	-,64122*	,29587	,032	-1,2254	-,0571
only' policy in the	Tounger Teachers	Teachers	-,04122	,29361	,032	-1,2234	-,0371
classroom.		Older Teachers	-1,20000*	,43859	,007	-2,0659	-,3341
Classiooni.	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	,64122*	,29587	,007	,0571	1,2254
	Teachers	Older Teachers	-,55878	,36323	,032	-1,2759	,1583
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	1,20000*	,43859	,007	,3341	2,0659
	Older Teachers	Middle-aged	,55878	,36323	,126	-,1583	1,2759
		Teachers	,55676	,30323	,120	-,1363	1,2739
I give minus to the	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	-,20324	,15474	,191	-,5087	,1023
students who use	Tounger Teachers	Teachers	-,20324	,13474	,191	-,5007	,1023
Turkish in classroom.		Older Teachers	-,27500	,22938	,232	-,7279	,1779
Turkish in classioom.	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	,20324	,15474	,191	-,1023	,5087
	Teachers	Older Teachers	-,07176	,18997	,706	-,4468	,3033
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	,27500	,22938	,232	-,1779	,7279
	Older Teachers	Middle-aged	,07176	,18997	,706	-,3033	,4468
		Teachers	,07170	,10997	,700	-,3033	,4400
When students ask	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,40394	,21320	,060	-,0170	,8249
me something in	Tounger Teachers	Teachers	,40374	,21320	,000	,0170	,0247
Turkish, I reply to		Older Teachers	,68333*	,31604	,032	.0594	1,3073
them in Turkish as	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,40394	,21320	,060	-,8249	,0170
well.	Teachers	Older Teachers	,27939	,26173	,287	-,2373	,7961
wen.	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,68333*	,31604	,032	-1,3073	-,0594
	Older Teachers	Middle-aged	-,27939	,26173	,287	-,7961	,2373
		Teachers	,21,33	,20173	,207	,,,,,,,	,2373
Students do not	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,61927*	,19525	,002	,2338	1,0048
understand anything	Tounger Teachers	Teachers	,01>27	,12020	,002	,2000	1,00.0
in English, so I use		Older Teachers	,70833*	,28944	,015	,1369	1,2798
Turkish in the	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,61927*	,19525	,002	-1,0048	-,2338
classroom.	Teachers	Older Teachers	,08906	.23970	,711	-,3842	,5623
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-,70833*	,28944	,015	-1,2798	-,1369
		Middle-aged	-,08906	,23970	,711	-,5623	,3842
		Teachers	,	,	,	,	,
I use Turkish to teach	Younger Teachers	Middle-aged	,59033*	,20914	,005	,1774	1,0032
complex grammar		Teachers					
structures.		Older Teachers	1,06667*	,31003	,001	,4546	1,6787
	Middle-aged	Younger Teachers	-,59033*	,20914	,005	-1,0032	-,1774
	Teachers	Older Teachers	,47634	,25675	,065	-,0306	,9832
	Older Teachers	Younger Teachers	-1,06667*	,31003	,001	-1,6787	-,4546
		Middle-aged	-,47634	,25675	,065	-,9832	,0306
		Teachers					
*. The mean difference	e is significant at the	0.05 level.					

Appendix E.1.1. One-way ANOVA Test Results for Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on Age

		ANOVA				
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
I use Turkish to greet	Between Groups	7,603	2	3,801	7,316	,001
students.	Within Groups	86,774	167	,520		
	Total	94,376	169			
I use Turkish at the warm up	Between Groups	10,748	2	5,374	6,911	,001
stage to remind students	Within Groups	129,864	167	,778		
what we have covered at the	Total	140,612	169			
previous lesson.						
I give Turkish equivalents of	Between Groups	5,563	2	2,782	2,883	,059
the unknown words.	Within Groups	161,148	167	,965		
	Total	166,712	169			
I translate the reading	Between Groups	5,190	2	2,595	2,110	,124
passages into Turkish.	Within Groups	205,404	167	1,230		
	Total	210,594	169			
I use Turkish to summarize	Between Groups	9,164	2	4,582	5,046	,007
the topics that we have	Within Groups	151,636	167	,908		
already covered.	Total	160,800	169			
I compare English grammar	Between Groups	8,143	2	4,071	5,027	,008
with Turkish grammar while	Within Groups	135,245	167	,810		
teaching new grammatical	Total	143,388	169			
structures.						
I speak in Turkish rather	Between Groups	1,421	2	,710	,973	,380
than English during the class	Within Groups	121,968	167	,730		
activities.	Total	123,388	169			
I use Turkish to create a	Between Groups	2,727	2	1,363	2,172	,117
comfortable environment for	Within Groups	104,826	167	,628		
students.	Total	107,553	169			
I use Turkish to correct	Between Groups	8,671	2	4,336	7,799	,001
students' mistakes.	Within Groups	92,835	167	,556		
	Total	101,506	169			
I use Turkish to give	Between Groups	8,256	2	4,128	5,509	,005
feedback to students.	Within Groups	125,132	167	,749		
	Total	133,388	169			
I first give the instructions in	Between Groups	23,376	2	11,688	10,537	,000
English and then in Turkish.	Within Groups	185,236	167	1,109		
	Total	208,612	169			
	Between Groups	9,050	2	4,525	5,545	,005
	Within Groups	136,273	167	,816		

When students have	Total	145,324	169			
problems, I help them by		- /-				
speaking in Turkish.						
I ask my students to translate	Between Groups	7,795	2	3,897	3,563	,031
the reading texts into	Within Groups	182,682	167	1,094		
Turkish.	Total	190,476	169			
I use Turkish to deal with	Between Groups	7,826	2	3,913	4,514	,012
discipline problems in the	Within Groups	144,768	167	,867		
classroom.	Total	152,594	169			
I use Turkish to make jokes.	Between Groups	11,849	2	5,925	8,226	,000
	Within Groups	120,274	167	,720		
	Total	132,124	169			
I explain the gist of listening	Between Groups	7,083	2	3,542	3,701	,027
passages in Turkish.	Within Groups	159,793	167	,957		
	Total	166,876	169			
I can easily get students'	Between Groups	8,893	2	4,446	5,968	,003
attention by using Turkish.	Within Groups	124,431	167	,745		
	Total	133,324	169			
I ask students to use	Between Groups	12,844	2	6,422	5,610	,004
bilingual dictionaries in	Within Groups	191,180	167	1,145		
class.	Total	204,024	169			
I use Turkish to ask students	Between Groups	9,137	2	4,569	4,590	,011
if they have any questions	Within Groups	166,210	167	,995		
about the lesson.	Total	175,347	169			
I speak in Turkish while	Between Groups	,705	2	,352	,589	,556
students do pair/group work.	Within Groups	99,819	167	,598		
	Total	100,524	169			
I speak in Turkish when I	Between Groups	14,324	2	7,162	8,111	,000
talk about something	Within Groups	147,470	167	,883		
unrelated with the lesson in	Total	161,794	169			
the class.						
I use Turkish to motivate my	Between Groups	8,921	2	4,461	5,423	,005
students when they get	Within Groups	137,367	167	,823		
bored.	Total	146,288	169			
I use gestures, mimes or	Between Groups	1,558	2	,779	,765	,467
visuals to clarify the	Within Groups	169,948	167	1,018		
meaning rather than using	Total	171,506	169			
Turkish.						
I use Turkish to tell stories	Between Groups	11,479	2	5,740	6,669	,002
related to the topic.	Within Groups	143,727	167	,861		
	Total	155,206	169			
I explain homework tasks in	Between Groups	14,311	2	7,156	7,646	,001
Turkish.	Within Groups	156,283	167	,936		

	Total	170,594	169			
I support the 'English only'	Between Groups	14,263	2	7,131	4,016	,020
policy in the classroom.	Within Groups	296,537	167	1,776		
	Total	310,800	169			
I give minus to the students	Between Groups	,984	2	,492	1,013	,365
who use Turkish in	Within Groups	81,110	167	,486		
classroom.	Total	82,094	169			
When students ask me	Between Groups	4,909	2	2,454	2,662	,073
something in Turkish, I reply	Within Groups	153,968	167	,922		
to them in Turkish as well.	Total	158,876	169			
Students do not understand	Between Groups	8,247	2	4,123	5,332	,006
anything in English, so I use	Within Groups	129,142	167	,773		
Turkish in the classroom.	Total	137,388	169			
I use Turkish to teach	Between Groups	11,477	2	5,739	6,468	,002
complex grammar structures.	Within Groups	148,170	167	,887		
	Total	159,647	169			

Appendix E.2. T-Test Results for Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on Gender

				penden	t Samples	Test				
		Levene's Tes	t for							
		Equality of	of							
		Variance	s			t-tes	t for Equalit	y of Means		
									95% Co	nfidence
						Sig.			Interva	l of the
						(2-	Mean	Std. Error	Diffe	rence
		F	Sig.	t	df	tailed)	Difference	Difference	Lower	Upper
I use Turkish to	Equal	1,121	,291	,495	168	,621	,05926	,11976	-,17717	,29568
greet students.	variances									
	assumed									
	Equal			,473	108,566	,637	,05926	,12534	-,18917	,30768
	variances									
	not									
	assumed									
I use Turkish at the	Equal	,152	,697	,737	168	,462	,10769	,14605	-,18064	,39601
warm up stage to	variances									
remind students	assumed									
what we have	Equal			,739	125,322	,461	,10769	,14565	-,18057	,39594
covered at the	variances			ŕ	,		,	,	,	,
previous lesson.	not									
F	assumed									
I give Turkish	Equal	,756	,386	_	168	,085	-,27327	.15788	-,58497	,03842
equivalents of the	variances	,,,,,	,	1,731		,	,	,	,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
unknown words.	assumed			-,						
ummown words	Equal			_	123,642	,087	-,27327	15817	-,58635	,03980
	variances			1,728	123,012	,007	,27327	,13017	,50055	,03700
	not			1,720						
	assumed									
I translate the	Equal	,023	,878	_	168	,206	-,22605	17817	-,57780	,12570
reading passages	variances	,023	,070	1,269	100	,200	-,22003	,17017	-,57760	,12370
into Turkish.	assumed			1,207						
into Turkisii.	Equal				126,135	,205	-,22605	17730	-,57692	,12482
	variances			1,275	120,133	,203	-,22003	,17750	-,37092	,12462
	not			1,273						
	assumed									
Luca Turkish to		612	424	065	160	0.49	01022	15642	20060	21004
I use Turkish to	Equal	,643	,424	,065	168	,948	,01023	,15043	-,29860	,31906
summarize the	variances									
topics that we have	assumed			0.5	10155	0.45	04000	4.500.4	20007	2112
already covered.	Equal			,067	134,567	,947	,01023	,15224	-,29087	,31133
	variances									
	not									
	assumed									
I compare English	Equal	,997	,319	-,338	168	,736	-,04993	,14767	-,34147	,24160
grammar with	variances									
Turkish grammar	assumed									

while teaching new grammatical structures.	Equal variances not			-,350	137,058	,727	-,04993	,14279	-,33228	,23242
I speak in Turkish rather than English	assumed Equal variances	,678	,411	,514	168	,608	,07039	,13693	-,19993	,34070
during the class activities.	assumed Equal variances not			,502	115,968	,616	,07039	,14016	-,20722	,34799
I use Turkish to create a	assumed Equal variances	,073	,788	,101	168	,920	,01293	,12794	-,23963	,26550
comfortable environment for students.	Equal variances			,103	130,013	,918	,01293	,12600	-,23635	,26222
I use Turkish to correct students'	assumed Equal variances	,363	,547	,337	168	,737	,04181	,12425	-,20348	,28710
mistakes.	assumed Equal variances not			,334	121,201	,739	,04181	,12531	-,20628	,28990
I use Turkish to give feedback to	assumed Equal variances	,165	,685	-,315	168	,753	-,04482	,14244	-,32602	,23638
students.	assumed Equal variances			-,322	133,366	,748	-,04482	,13906	-,31986	,23022
I first give the instructions in	not assumed Equal variances	2,666	,104	-,231	168	,817	-,04121	,17815	-,39292	,31050
English and then in Turkish.				-,243	143,619	,808,	-,04121	,16933	-,37591	,29349
When students have problems, I	not assumed Equal variances	1,044	,308	-,684	168	,495	-,10152	,14851	-,39471	,19167
help them by speaking in Turkish.	assumed Equal variances			-,693	129,440	,490	-,10152	,14649	-,39134	,18830
I ask my students to translate the	not assumed Equal variances assumed	2,599	,109	- 1,057	168	,292	-,17943	,16970	-,51444	,15559

reading texts into Turkish.	Equal variances not			- 1,101	139,745	,273	-,17943	,16294	-,50157	,14272
I use Turkish to deal with discipline problems in the	assumed Equal variances assumed	2,968	,087	1,186	168	,237	-,18003	,15176	-,47962	,11957
classroom.	Equal variances not			1,237	140,145	,218	-,18003	,14556	-,46781	,10775
I use Turkish to make jokes.	assumed Equal variances assumed	,922	,338	1,190	168	,236	-,16800	,14121	-,44677	,11078
	Equal variances not assumed			1,255	144,602	,212	-,16800	,13387	-,43258	,09659
I explain the gist of listening passages in Turkish.		1,109	,294	-,575	168	,566	-,09159	,15921	-,40590	,22271
	Equal variances not assumed			-,556	112,533	,579	-,09159	,16462	-,41774	,23456
I can easily get students' attention by using Turkish.	Equal variances assumed	1,046	,308	,174	168	,862	,02482	,14243	-,25637	,30600
	Equal variances not assumed			,178	132,628	,859	,02482	,13932	-,25076	,30039
I ask students to use bilingual dictionaries in	Equal variances assumed	,881	,349	1,500	168	,135	-,26260		-,60816	,08297
class.	Equal variances not assumed			1,472	117,416	,144	-,26260	,17843	-,61595	,09076
I use Turkish to ask students if they have any questions	variances assumed	1,860	,174	1,278	168	,203	-,20770		-,52864	,11324
about the lesson.	Equal variances not assumed			1,292	128,576	,199	-,20770	,16072	-,52571	,11031
I speak in Turkish while students do pair/group work.	Equal variances assumed	,586	,445	-,242	168	,809	-,02993	,12367	-,27407	,21421

	Equal variances not			-,243	125,335	,809	-,02993	,12333	-,27400	,21414
I speak in Turkish when I talk about	assumed Equal variances assumed	3,179	,076	-,744	168	,458	-,11656	,15666	-,42584	,19272
something unrelated with the lesson in the class.	Equal variances not			-,776	140,493	,439	-,11656	,15013	-,41336	,18024
I use Turkish to motivate my students when they	assumed Equal variances assumed	2,833	,094	,677	168	,499	,10092	,14901	-,19325	,39508
get bored.	Equal variances			,702	137,811	,484	,10092	,14380	-,18341	,38525
I use gestures, mimes or visuals to clarify the meaning	assumed Equal variances assumed	,367	,545	,660	168	,510	,10648	,16135	-,21205	,42502
rather than using Turkish.	Equal variances not			,650	118,960	,517	,10648	,16375	-,21777	,43073
I use Turkish to tell stories related to the topic.	assumed Equal variances assumed	2,964	,087	1,462	168	,145	-,22334	,15272	-,52484	,07816
the topic.	Equal variances not			- 1,510	136,491	,133	-,22334	,14789	-,51579	,06911
I explain homework tasks in	Equal variances	,388	,534	1,140	168	,256	-,18304	,16051	-,49991	,13384
Turkish.	Equal variances			1,182	137,771	,239	-,18304	,15491	-,48935	,12328
I support the 'English only'	Equal variances	,070	,792	1,228	168	,221	,26590	,21652	-,16154	,69335
policy in the classroom.	Equal variances			1,216	120,854	,226	,26590	,21858	-,16684	,69865
I give minus to the students who use	assumed Equal variances assumed	3,898	,050	-,839	168	,403	-,09355	,11154	-,31375	,12666

Turkish in	Equal			-,926	160,106	,356	-,09355	,10101	-,29304	,10594
classroom.	variances									
	not									
	assumed									
When students ask	Equal	,557	,457	-,562	168	,575	-,08738	,15535	-,39407	,21931
me something in	variances									
Turkish, I reply to	assumed									
them in Turkish as	Equal			-,573	131,582	,567	-,08738	,15237	-,38880	,21404
well.	variances									
	not									
	assumed									
Students do not	Equal	1,858	,175	,185	168	,853	,02677	,14458	-,25867	,31221
understand	variances									
anything in	assumed									
English, so I use	Equal			,194	141,093	,847	,02677	,13834	-,24671	,30026
Turkish in the	variances									
classroom.	not									
	assumed									
I use Turkish to	Equal	,659	,418	-	168	,311	-,15792	,15540	-,46470	,14886
teach complex	variances			1,016						
grammar	assumed									
structures.	Equal			-	126,772	,308	-,15792	,15437	-,46340	,14756
	variances			1,023						
	not									
	assumed									

Appendix E.3. T-Test Results for Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on First Language

Independent Samples Test												
		Levene's										
		Equali	-									
		Varia	nces			t-te	st for Equali	ty of Means				
										nfidence		
										l of the		
		_				Sig. (2-	Mean	Std. Error	Diffe			
		F	Sig.	t	df	tailed)		Difference	Lower	Upper		
I use Turkish to	1	,892	,346	,384	168	,702	,09375	,24420	-,38835	,57585		
greet students.	assumed											
	Equal variances			,571	11,996	,579	,09375	,16419	-,26399	,45149		
	not assumed											
I use Turkish at	Equal variances	,005	,941	,566	168	,572	,16875	,29792	-,41941	,75691		
the warm up	assumed											
stage to remind	•			,475	9,771	,645	,16875	,35525	-,62531	,96281		
students what	not assumed											
we have												
covered at the												
previous												
lesson.												
I give Turkish	Equal variances	,001	,980	,849	168	,397	,27500	,32401	-,36466	,91466		
equivalents of	assumed											
the unknown	Equal variances			,771	9,927	,459	,27500	,35666	-,52048	1,07048		
words.	not assumed											
I translate the	Equal variances	,002	,966	-,017	168	,986	-,00625	,36495	-,72673	,71423		
reading	assumed											
passages into	Equal variances			-,017	10,123	,987	-,00625	,36974	-,82873	,81623		
Turkish.	not assumed											
I use Turkish to	Equal variances	,003	,958	2,023	168	,045	,63750	,31508	,01547	1,25953		
summarize the	assumed											
topics that we	Equal variances			1,865	9,959	,092	,63750	,34189	-,12471	1,39971		
have already	not assumed											
covered.												
I compare	Equal variances	,095	,759	2,276	168	,024	,67500	,29660	,08945	1,26055		
English	assumed											
grammar with	Equal variances			2,599	10,578	,025	,67500	,25975	,10050	1,24950		
Turkish	not assumed											
grammar while												
teaching new												
grammatical												
structures.												
I speak in	Equal variances	3,843	,052	2,178	168	,031	,60000	,27549	,05614	1,14386		
Turkish rather	assumed											
than English	Equal variances			2,842	11,168	,016	,60000	,21113	,13616	1,06384		
during the class	not assumed											
activities.												

I use Turkish to	Equal variances	,324	,570	3,500	168	,001	,88125	,25179	,38417	1,37833
create a	assumed									
comfortable	Equal variances			3,653	10,279	,004	,88125	,24123	,34573	1,41677
environment	not assumed									
for students.	F 1 '	027	227	2.461	1.60	015	61250	24802	10100	1 10202
I use Turkish to correct	Equal variances assumed	,927	,337	2,461	168	,015	,61250	,24892	,12108	1,10392
students'	Equal variances			2 930	10,742	,014	,61250	,20907	,15099	1,07401
mistakes.	not assumed			2,730	10,742	,014	,01230	,20707	,130))	1,07401
	Equal variances	1,467	.228	1,981	168	,049	,56875	,28711	.00193	1,13557
give feedback	assumed	-,	,	-,		,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	,,,,,,,,	-,
to students.	Equal variances			2,334	10,699	,040	,56875	,24367	,03059	1,10691
	not assumed									
I first give the	Equal variances	,293	,589	2,199	168	,029	,78750	,35811	,08052	1,49448
instructions in	assumed									
English and	Equal variances			2,066	10,003	,066	,78750	,38113	-,06168	1,63668
then in Turkish.	not assumed									
When students	Equal variances	1,143	,287	1,578	168	,116	,47500	,30094	-,11911	1,06911
have problems,	assumed									
I help them by	Equal variances			1,386	9,858	,196	,47500	,34272	-,29012	1,24012
speaking in	not assumed									
Turkish.										
I ask my	Equal variances	1,305	,255	,036	168	,971	,01250	,34708	-,67270	,69770
students to	assumed									
translate the	Equal variances			,031	9,813	,976	,01250	,40447	-,89106	,91606
reading texts	not assumed									
into Turkish.	F 1 :	1 000	101	1 255	1.60	1.77	41075	20007	10122	1.02072
I use Turkish to	Equal variances	1,803	,181	1,355	168	,177	,41875	,30897	-,19122	1,02872
deal with	assumed			1.044	0.622	222	11075	40117	47075	1 21725
discipline problems in the	Equal variances not assumed			1,044	9,633	,322	,41875	,40117	-,47975	1,31725
classroom.	not assumed									
	Equal variances	,339	561	2,810	168	,006	,79375	,28251	23603	1,35147
make jokes.	assumed	,557	,501	2,010	100	,000	,17313	,20231	,23003	1,55147
mane jones.	Equal variances			2.971	10,318	,014	,79375	,26719	.20089	1,38661
	not assumed			,		,-	,	,	,	,
I explain the	Equal variances	,808	,370	1,276	168	,204	,41250	,32331	-,22577	1,05077
gist of listening	assumed									
passages in	Equal variances			1,433	10,517	,181	,41250	,28791	-,22475	1,04975
Turkish.	not assumed									
I can easily get	Equal variances	3,757	,054	,928	168	,355	,26875	,28964	-,30305	,84055
students'	assumed									
attention by	Equal variances			,662	9,530	,523	,26875	,40577	-,64144	1,17894
using Turkish.	not assumed									
I ask students	Equal variances	,745	,389	-,157	168	,876	-,05625	,35919	-,76535	,65285
to use bilingual	assumed									
dictionaries in	Equal variances			-,129	9,737	,900	-,05625	,43670	-	,92034
class.	not assumed								1,03284	
I use Turkish to	Equal variances	1,550	,215	,903	168	,368	,30000	,33221	-,35584	,95584
ask students if	assumed									

they have any questions about the lesson.	Equal variances not assumed			1,040	10,609	,322	,30000	,28854	-,33794	,93794
I speak in Turkish while	Equal variances assumed	,288	,592	1,472	168	,143	,36875	,25053	-,12584	,86334
students do pair/group work.	Equal variances not assumed			1,390	10,015	,195	,36875	,26520	-,22204	,95954
I speak in Turkish when I	Equal variances assumed	2,695	,103	3,458	168	,001	1,06875	,30907	,45858	1,67892
talk about something unrelated with the lesson in	Equal variances not assumed			2,701	9,654	,023	1,06875	,39562	,18296	1,95454
the class.	P 1 .	721	20.4	2.276	1.00	001	00275	20.425	41265	1.57.405
T use Turkish to motivate my	Equal variances assumed	,731	,394	3,376	168	,001	,99375	,29435	,41265	1,57485
students when	Equal variances			3,242	10,054	,009	,99375	,30653	,31126	1,67624
they get bored. I use gestures, mimes or	not assumed Equal variances assumed	,407	,525	- 2,214	168	,028	-,71875	,32464	1,35965	-,07785
visuals to	Equal variances			-	10,531	,031	-,71875	,28800	-	-,08139
clarify the	not assumed			2,496					1,35611	
meaning rather than using Turkish.										
	Equal variances	,239	,626	1,445	168	,150	,45000	,31137	-,16471	1,06471
tell stories related to the	assumed Equal variances			1.567	10,398	,147	,45000	,28709	-,18637	1,08637
topic.	not assumed			-,,	,	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	,	-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
I explain homework	Equal variances assumed	1,444	,231	2,320	168	,022	,75000	,32333	,11169	1,38831
tasks in Turkish.	Equal variances not assumed			3,043	11,197	,011	,75000	,24647	,20867	1,29133
I support the	Equal variances	,299	,585	-	168	,093	-,74375	,43962	-	,12415
'English only'	assumed			1,692					1,61165	
policy in the	Equal variances			1 460	9,834	,173	-,74375	,50665	1.07522	,38773
classroom. I give minus to	not assumed Equal variances	43,018	,000	1,468	168	,000	-,84375	,21836	1,87523	-,41266
the students	assumed	43,010	,000	3,864	100	,000	,04373	,21030	1,27484	,41200
who use	Equal variances			-	9,191	,100	-,84375	,46067	-	,19507
Turkish in	not assumed			1,832					1,88257	
classroom.										
When students	Equal variances	1,497	,223	1,731	168	,085	,54375	,31420	-,07653	1,16403
ask me	assumed			1 402	0.010	170	54275	26694	27577	1 2/227
something in Turkish, I reply	Equal variances not assumed			1,482	9,810	,170	,54375	,36684	-,2/3//	1,36327
to them in										
Turkish as										
well.										

Students do not	Equal variances	,810	,369	2,327	168	,021	,67500	,29013	,10222	1,24778
understand	assumed									
anything in	Equal variances			2,021	9,837	,071	,67500	,33395	-,07076	1,42076
English, so I	not assumed									
use Turkish in										
the classroom.										
I use Turkish to	Equal variances	,695	,406	2,092	168	,038	,65625	,31369	,03696	1,27554
teach complex	assumed									
grammar	Equal variances			1,876	9,900	,090	,65625	,34981	-,12425	1,43675
structures.	not assumed									

Appendix E.4. T-Test Results for Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on Level of Education

			Indep	oenden	t Samples	Test				
		Levene's	Test for							
		Equali	ty of							
		Varia	nces			t-tes	t for Equalit	y of Means		
									95% Confidence	
						Sig.			Interva	l of the
						(2-	Mean	Std. Error	Diffe	rence
		F	Sig.	t	df	tailed)	Difference	Difference	Lower	Upper
It is important	Equal	,284	,595	2,344	168	,020	,25387	,10832	,04002	,46773
to greet	variances									
students in	assumed									
Turkish to	Equal			2,439	139,471	,016	,25387	,10409	,04808	,45966
make them feel	variances not									
comfortable	assumed									
before starting										
the lesson.										
It is important	Equal	1,798	,182	2,706	168	,008	,43044	,15907	,11641	,74447
to translate a	variances									
reading	assumed									
passage into	Equal			2,744	129,545	,007	,43044	,15686	,12010	,74078
Turkish to	variances not									
make students	assumed									
understand										
each detail.										
By explaining	Equal	,149	,700	2,038	168	,043	,31884	,15646	,00997	,62772
the gist of a	variances									
listening	assumed									
passage into	Equal			2,025	122,073	,045	,31884	,15742	,00722	,63047
Turkish,	variances not									
students can	assumed									
easily										
understand										
what it is										
about.										
I think using	Equal	,371	,543	1,983	168	,049	,30080	,15168	,00136	,60024
Turkish is	variances									
	assumed									

time-saving in	Equal			1,929	114,419	,056	,30080	,15596	-,00814	,60974
the classroom.	variances not									
	assumed									
I think using	Equal	2,831	,094	2,916	168	,004	,42548	,14592	,13740	,71356
Turkish to	variances									
summarize the	assumed									
lesson is better	Equal			2,792	109,200	,006	,42548	,15242	,12340	,72756
to make it more										
comprehensible	assumed									
for the										
students.										
It is important	Equal	3,298	,071	2,355	168	,020	,33373	,14170	,05399	,61347
to use Turkish	variances									
to get the	assumed									
students'	Equal			2,280	112,867	,024	,33373	,14637	,04375	,62372
attention when	variances not									
they get bored.	assumed									
I think using	Equal	,007	,935	2,104	168	,037	,29764	,14148	,01833	,57695
Turkish	variances									
reduces	assumed									
barriers to	Equal			2,097	123,172	,038	,29764	,14192	,01671	,57856
language	variances not									
learning.	assumed									
Students do not	Equal	,003	,954	2,131	168	,035	,34171	,16035	,02515	,65826
understand	variances									
anything in	assumed									
English, so I	Equal			2,174	131,732	,032	,34171	,15721	,03072	,65269
think it is better	variances not									
to speak in	assumed									
Turkish while										
teaching.										
Turkish should	Equal	,129	,720	2,108	168	,037	,27463	,13029	,01741	,53185
be used in the	variances	ŕ	,	,		,	,	ŕ	,	,
classroom so	assumed									
that students	Equal			2.076	118,873	,040	,27463	,13227	,01273	,53653
can make	variances not			2,070	110,070	,0.0	,27.00	,10227	,012/0	,00000
connections	assumed									
between two	ussumeu									
languages.										
	Equal	3,302	071	2,772	168	,006	,34547	,12462	,09944	,59149
Turkish more	variances	3,302	,0/1	2,112	100	,000	,54547	,14704	,077++	,57177
Turkish Hiore										
	assumed									

than English in	Equal			2,906	142,248	,004	,34547	,11888	,11047	,58046
the classroom.	variances not									
	assumed									
I think that	Equal	1,126	,290	3,151	168	,002	,47150	,14962	,17612	,76688
using Turkish	variances									
in English	assumed									
language	Equal			3,142	123,222	,002	,47150	,15007	,17445	,76855
classrooms can	variances not									
help students to	assumed									
do better in the										
exams.										
I think making	Equal	1,295	,257	2,089	168	,038	,28109	,13455	,01546	,54673
jokes in	variances									
Turkish creates	assumed									
a friendly	Equal			1,931	98,631	,056	,28109	,14555	-,00773	,56992
environment in	variances not									
the class.	assumed									
	Equal			1,899	136,511	,060	,28546	,15030	-,01177	,58268
	variances not									
	assumed									
I think that	Equal	,090	,764	2,752	168	,007	,38998	,14170	,11024	,66973
using bilingual	variances									
education is	assumed									
better than	Equal			2,713	119,166	,008	,38998	,14373	,10538	,67458
using only the	variances not									
target language	assumed									
(English) while										
teaching.										
It is important	Equal	3,878	,051	1,817	168	,071	,22334	,12290	-,01929	,46598
to make	variances									
students	assumed									
understand	Equal			1,870	135,051	,064	,22334	,11946	-,01292	,45960
their mistakes,	variances not									
so I use	assumed									
Turkish to										
explain them										
their mistakes.										

Appendix E.5. Post-Hoc LSD Test Results for Differences in Frequency of L1
Use Based on Place of Graduation

Multiple Comparisons										
LSD			Mean			95% Confide	nce Interval			
	(I) Place of	(J) Place of	Difference	Std.		Lower	Upper			
Dependent Variable	graduation	graduation	(I-J)	Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound			
I use Turkish to greet	Cyprus	Turkey	,05481	,13007	,674	-,2020	,3116			
students.		Other	,34597*	,14732	,020	,0551	,6368			
	Turkey	Cyprus	-,05481	,13007	,674	-,3116	,2020			
		Other	,29116	,14776	,050	-,0006	,5829			
	Other	Cyprus	-,34597*	,14732	,020	-,6368	-,0551			
		Turkey	-,29116	,14776	,050	-,5829	,0006			
I use Turkish at the	Cyprus	Turkey	,13389	,15779	,397	-,1776	,4454			
warm up stage to		Other	,50394*	,17870	,005	,1511	,8567			
remind students what	Turkey	Cyprus	-,13389	,15779	,397	-,4454	,1776			
we have covered at		Other	,37005*	,17924	,041	,0162	,7239			
the previous lesson.	Other	Cyprus	-,50394*	,17870	,005	-,8567	-,1511			
		Turkey	-,37005*	,17924	,041	-,7239	-,0162			
I give Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	-,20601	,17051	,229	-,5426	,1306			
equivalents of the		Other	,42852*	,19311	,028	,0473	,8098			
unknown words.	Turkey	Cyprus	,20601	,17051	,229	-,1306	,5426			
		Other	,63453*	,19370	,001	,2521	1,0169			
	Other	Cyprus	-,42852*	,19311	,028	-,8098	-,0473			
		Turkey	-,63453*	,19370	,001	-1,0169	-,2521			
I translate the reading	Cyprus	Turkey	-,11322	,19459	,561	-,4974	,2709			
passages into		Other	,39250	,22038	,077	-,0426	,8276			
Turkish.	Turkey	Cyprus	,11322	,19459	,561	-,2709	,4974			
		Other	,50572*	,22105	,023	,0693	,9421			
	Other	Cyprus	-,39250	,22038	,077	-,8276	,0426			
		Turkey	-,50572*	,22105	,023	-,9421	-,0693			
I use Turkish to	Cyprus	Turkey	-,07356	,16662	,659	-,4025	,2554			
summarize the topics		Other	,56135*	,18870	,003	,1888	,9339			
that we have already	Turkey	Cyprus	,07356	,16662	,659	-,2554	,4025			
covered.		Other	,63491*	,18927	,001	,2612	1,0086			
	Other	Cyprus	-,56135*	,18870	,003	-,9339	-,1888			
		Turkey	-,63491*	,18927	,001	-1,0086	-,2612			
I compare English	Cyprus	Turkey	,00048	,15764	,998	-,3107	,3117			
grammar with		Other	,55460*	,17853	,002	,2021	,9071			
Turkish grammar	Turkey	Cyprus	-,00048	,15764	,998	-,3117	,3107			
while teaching new		Other	,55412*	,17907	,002	,2006	,9077			
grammatical	Other	Cyprus	-,55460*	,17853	,002	-,9071	-,2021			
structures.		Turkey	-,55412*	,17907	,002	-,9077	-,2006			
I speak in Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	-,19351	,14613	,187	-,4820	,0950			
rather than English		Other	,38462*	,16549	,021	,0579	,7113			
during the class	Turkey	Cyprus	,19351	,14613	,187	-,0950	,4820			
activities.		Other	,57813*	,16599	,001	,2504	,9058			
	Other	Cyprus	-,38462*	,16549	,021	-,7113	-,0579			
		Turkey	-,57813*	,16599	,001	-,9058	-,2504			

I use Turkish to	Cyprus	Turkey	,04880	,13582	,720	-,2193	,3169
create a comfortable		Other	,53546*	,15382	,001	,2318	,8391
environment for	Turkey	Cyprus	-,04880	,13582	,720	-,3169	,2193
students.		Other	,48666*	,15429	,002	,1821	,7913
	Other	Cyprus	-,53546*	,15382	,001	-,8391	-,2318
		Turkey	-,48666*	,15429	,002	-,7913	-,1821
I use Turkish to	Cyprus	Turkey	,06370	,13233	,631	-,1975	,3250
correct students'		Other	,50844*	,14987	,001	,2126	,8043
mistakes.	Turkey	Cyprus	-,06370	,13233	,631	-,3250	,1975
		Other	,44474*	,15032	,004	,1480	,7415
	Other	Cyprus	-,50844*	,14987	,001	-,8043	-,2126
		Turkey	-,44474*	,15032	,004	-,7415	-,1480
I use Turkish to give	Cyprus	Turkey	,17404	,15048	,249	-,1230	,4711
feedback to students.		Other	,66642*	,17042	,000	,3300	1,0029
	Turkey	Cyprus	-,17404	,15048	,249	-,4711	,1230
		Other	,49238*	,17094	,004	,1549	,8299
	Other	Cyprus	-,66642*	,17042	,000	-1,0029	-,3300
		Turkey	-,49238*	,17094	,004	-,8299	-,1549
I first give the	Cyprus	Turkey	-,14471	,18693	,440	-,5138	,2243
instructions in		Other	,72495*	,21171	,001	,3070	1,1429
English and then in	Turkey	Cyprus	,14471	,18693	,440	-,2243	,5138
Turkish.		Other	,86966*	,21235	,000	,4504	1,2889
	Other	Cyprus	-,72495*	,21171	,001	-1,1429	-,3070
		Turkey	-,86966*	,21235	,000	-1,2889	-,4504
When students have	Cyprus	Turkey	,05769	,15885	,717	-,2559	,3713
problems, I help them		Other	,57598*	,17991	,002	,2208	,9312
by speaking in	Turkey	Cyprus	-,05769	,15885	,717	-,3713	,2559
Turkish.		Other	,51829*	,18045	,005	,1620	,8745
	Other	Cyprus	-,57598*	,17991	,002	-,9312	-,2208
		Turkey	-,51829*	,18045	,005	-,8745	-,1620
I ask my students to	Cyprus	Turkey	,07115	,18537	,702	-,2948	,4371
translate the reading		Other	,44615*	,20994	,035	,0317	,8606
texts into Turkish.	Turkey	Cyprus	-,07115	,18537	,702	-,4371	,2948
		Other	,37500	,21058	,077	-,0407	,7907
	Other	Cyprus	-,44615*	,20994	,035	-,8606	-,0317
		Turkey	-,37500	,21058	,077	-,7907	,0407
I use Turkish to deal	Cyprus	Turkey	,02356	,16610	,887	-,3044	,3515
with discipline		Other	,37036	,18811	,051	-,0010	,7417
problems in the	Turkey	Cyprus	-,02356	,16610	,887	-,3515	,3044
classroom.		Other	,34680	,18868	,068	-,0257	,7193
	Other	Cyprus	-,37036	,18811	,051	-,7417	,0010
		Turkey	-,34680	,18868	,068	-,7193	,0257
I use Turkish to make	Cyprus	Turkey	-,05938	,15440	,701	-,3642	,2454
jokes.		Other	,31220	,17486	,076	-,0330	,6574
•	Turkey	Cyprus	,05938	,15440	,701	-,2454	,3642
	•	Other	,37157*	,17539	,036	,0253	,7178
	Other	Cyprus	-,31220	,17486	,076	-,6574	,0330
		Turkey	-,37157*	,17539	,036	-,7178	-,0253
	Cyprus	Turkey	,00913	,17173	,958	-,3299	,3482
	VI "	Other	,51295*	,19450	,009	,1290	,8969
			,0.12,0	, 0	,	,-2/0	,0,0,

I explain the gist of	Turkey	Cyprus	-,00913	,17173	,958	-,3482	,3299
listening passages in		Other	,50381*	,19508	,011	,1187	,8890
Turkish.	Other	Cyprus	-,51295*	,19450	,009	-,8969	-,1290
		Turkey	-,50381*	,19508	,011	-,8890	-,1187
I can easily get	Cyprus	Turkey	,18654	,15235	,223	-,1142	,4873
students' attention by		Other	,57373*	,17254	,001	,2331	,9144
using Turkish.	Turkey	Cyprus	-,18654	,15235	,223	-,4873	,1142
		Other	,38720*	,17306	,027	,0455	,7289
	Other	Cyprus	-,57373*	,17254	,001	-,9144	-,2331
		Turkey	-,38720*	,17306	,027	-,7289	-,0455
I ask students to use	Cyprus	Turkey	,25433	,18780	,177	-,1164	,6251
bilingual dictionaries		Other	,74747*	,21269	,001	,3276	1,1674
in class.	Turkey	Cyprus	-,25433	,18780	,177	-,6251	,1164
		Other	,49314*	,21333	,022	,0720	,9143
	Other	Cyprus	-,74747*	,21269	,001	-1,1674	-,3276
		Turkey	-,49314*	,21333	,022	-,9143	-,0720
I use Turkish to ask	Cyprus	Turkey	,05697	,17465	,745	-,2878	,4018
students if they have		Other	,62214*	,19780	,002	,2316	1,0126
any questions about	Turkey	Cyprus	-,05697	,17465	,745	-,4018	,2878
the lesson.		Other	,56517*	,19840	,005	,1735	,9569
	Other	Cyprus	-,62214*	,19780	,002	-1,0126	-,2316
		Turkey	-,56517*	,19840	,005	-,9569	-,1735
I speak in Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	-,03870	,12826	,763	-,2919	,2145
while students do		Other	,59887*	,14526	,000	,3121	,8857
pair/group work.	Turkey	Cyprus	,03870	,12826	,763	-,2145	,2919
		Other	,63758*	,14570	,000	,3499	,9252
	Other	Cyprus	-,59887*	,14526	,000	-,8857	-,3121
		Turkey	-,63758*	,14570	,000	-,9252	-,3499
I speak in Turkish	Cyprus	Turkey	,04087	,16595	,806	-,2868	,3685
when I talk about		Other	,67730*	,18795	,000	,3062	1,0484
something unrelated	Turkey	Cyprus	-,04087	,16595	,806	-,3685	,2868
with the lesson in the		Other	,63643*	,18851	,001	,2643	1,0086
class.	Other	Cyprus	-,67730*	,18795	,000	-1,0484	-,3062
		Turkey	-,63643*	,18851	,001	-1,0086	-,2643
I use Turkish to	Cyprus	Turkey	,04495	,15631	,774	-,2636	,3535
motivate my students		Other	,70844*	,17702	,000	,3589	1,0579
when they get bored.	Turkey	Cyprus	-,04495	,15631	,774	-,3535	,2636
		Other	,66349*	,17756	,000	,3129	1,0140
	Other	Cyprus	-,70844*	,17702	,000	-1,0579	-,3589
		Turkey	-,66349*	,17756	,000	-1,0140	-,3129
I use gestures, mimes	Cyprus	Turkey	,15072	,17216	,383	-,1892	,4906
or visuals to clarify		Other	-,52420*	,19497	,008	-,9091	-,1393
the meaning rather	Turkey	Cyprus	-,15072	,17216	,383	-,4906	,1892
than using Turkish.		Other	-,67492*	,19556	,001	-1,0610	-,2888
	Other	Cyprus	,52420*	,19497	,008	,1393	,9091
		Turkey	,67492*	,19556	,001	,2888	1,0610
I use Turkish to tell	Cyprus	Turkey	-,06899	,16496	,676	-,3947	,2567
stories related to the		Other	,48856*	,18683	,010	,1197	,8574
topic.	Turkey	Cyprus	,06899	,16496	,676	-,2567	,3947
-	-	Other	,55755*	,18739	,003	,1876	,9275
			•			•	•

	Other	Cyprus	-,48856*	,18683	,010	-,8574	-,1197
		Turkey	-,55755*	,18739	,003	-,9275	-,1876
I explain homework	Cyprus	Turkey	-,04471	,17625	,800	-,3927	,3032
tasks in Turkish.	J 1	Other	,30056	,19961	,134	-,0935	,6946
	Turkey	Cyprus	,04471	,17625	,800	-,3032	,3927
		Other	,34527	,20021	,086	-,0500	,7405
	Other	Cyprus	-,30056	,19961	,134	-,6946	,0935
		Turkey	-,34527	,20021	,086	-,7405	,0500
I support the 'English	Cyprus	Turkey	,11851	,22747	,603	-,3306	,5676
only' policy in the	-)F	Other	-,95047*	,25762	,000	-1,4591	-,4419
classroom.	Turkey	Cyprus	-,11851	,22747	,603	-,5676	,3306
	,	Other	-1,06898*	,25840	,000	-1,5791	-,5588
	Other	Cyprus	,95047*	,25762	,000	,4419	1,4591
	other	Turkey	1,06898*	,25840	,000	,5588	1,5791
I give minus to the	Cyprus	Turkey	,02668	,12316	,829	-,2165	,2698
students who use	Сургаз	Other	-,09794	,13948	,484	-,3733	,1774
Turkish in classroom.	Turkey	Cyprus	-,02668	,12316	,829	-,2698	,2165
Turkish in Classicom.	Turkey	Other	-,12462	,13990	,374	-,4008	,1516
	Other	Cyprus	,09794	,13948	,484	-,1774	,3733
	Other	Turkey	,12462	,13990	,374	-,1516	,4008
When students ask	Cyprus	Turkey	-,04495	,16124	,781	-,3633	,2734
me something in	Cypius	Other	-,044 <i>9</i> 3	,18261	,000	,3944	1,1155
Turkish, I reply to	Turkey		,04495	,16124	,781	-,2734	,3633
them in Turkish as	Turkey	Cyprus Other	,79992*	,18316	,000		
well.	Other	Cyprus	,79992 -,75497*	,18261	,000	,4383 -1,1155	1,1615 -,3944
well.	Other	• •					
C4	C	Turkey	-,79992*	,18316	,000	-1,1615	-,4383
Students do not	Cyprus	Turkey	,07740	,15007	,607	-,2189	,3737
understand anything	T. 1	Other	,75347*	,16996	,000	,4179	1,0890
in English, so I use	Turkey	Cyprus	-,07740	,15007	,607	-,3737	,2189
Turkish in the	0.1	Other	,67607*	,17048	,000	,3395	1,0126
classroom.	Other	Cyprus	-,75347*	,16996	,000	-1,0890	-,4179
	_	Turkey	-,67607*	,17048	,000	-1,0126	-,3395
I use Turkish to teach	Cyprus	Turkey	,13558	,16625	,416	-,1926	,4638
complex grammar		Other	,64015*	,18828	,001	,2684	1,0119
structures.	Turkey	Cyprus	-,13558	,16625	,416	-,4638	,1926
		Other	,50457*	,18885	,008	,1317	,8774
	Other	Cyprus	-,64015*	,18828	,001	-1,0119	-,2684
		Turkey	-,50457*	,18885	,008	-,8774	-,1317
*. The mean difference	e is significant at the	e 0.05 level.					

Appendix E.5.1. One-way ANOVA Test Results for Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on place of Graduation

		ANOVA				
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
I use Turkish to greet	Between Groups	3,258	2	1,629	2,986	,053
students.	Within Groups	91,118	167	,546		
	Total	94,376	169			
I use Turkish at the warm up	Between Groups	6,533	2	3,267	4,069	,019
stage to remind students	Within Groups	134,078	167	,803		
what we have covered at the	Total	140,612	169			
previous lesson.						
I give Turkish equivalents of	Between Groups	10,132	2	5,066	5,403	,005
the unknown words.	Within Groups	156,580	167	,938		
	Total	166,712	169			
I translate the reading	Between Groups	6,676	2	3,338	2,734	,068
passages into Turkish.	Within Groups	203,918	167	1,221		
	Total	210,594	169			
I use Turkish to summarize	Between Groups	11,294	2	5,647	6,308	,002
the topics that we have	Within Groups	149,506	167	,895		
already covered.	Total	160,800	169			
I compare English grammar	Between Groups	9,561	2	4,781	5,966	,003
with Turkish grammar while	Within Groups	133,827	167	,801		
teaching new grammatical	Total	143,388	169			
structures.						
I speak in Turkish rather	Between Groups	8,394	2	4,197	6,095	,003
than English during the class	Within Groups	114,994	167	,689		
activities.	Total	123,388	169			
I use Turkish to create a	Between Groups	8,209	2	4,104	6,899	,001
comfortable environment for	Within Groups	99,344	167	,595		
students.	Total	107,553	169			
I use Turkish to correct	Between Groups	7,205	2	3,602	6,380	,002
students' mistakes.	Within Groups	94,301	167	,565		
	Total	101,506	169			
I use Turkish to give	Between Groups	11,445	2	5,723	7,837	,001
feedback to students.	Within Groups	121,943	167	,730		
	Total	133,388	169			
I first give the instructions in	Between Groups	20,425	2	10,213	9,063	,000
English and then in Turkish.	Within Groups	188,186	167	1,127		
	Total	208,612	169			
	Between Groups	9,429	2	4,714	5,793	,004
	Within Groups	135,895	167	,814		

When students have	Total	145,324	169			
problems, I help them by		- 10,0-1				
speaking in Turkish.						
I ask my students to translate	Between Groups	5,415	2	2,707	2,443	,090
the reading texts into	Within Groups	185,062	167	1,108		
Turkish.	Total	190,476	169			
I use Turkish to deal with	Between Groups	4,020	2	2,010	2,259	,108
discipline problems in the	Within Groups	148,574	167	,890		
classroom.	Total	152,594	169			
I use Turkish to make jokes.	Between Groups	3,745	2	1,873	2,436	,091
	Within Groups	128,378	167	,769		
	Total	132,124	169			
I explain the gist of listening	Between Groups	8,045	2	4,022	4,229	,016
passages in Turkish.	Within Groups	158,832	167	,951		
	Total	166,876	169			
I can easily get students'	Between Groups	8,326	2	4,163	5,562	,005
attention by using Turkish.	Within Groups	124,998	167	,748		
	Total	133,324	169			
I ask students to use	Between Groups	14,095	2	7,048	6,197	,003
bilingual dictionaries in	Within Groups	189,928	167	1,137		
class.	Total	204,024	169			
I use Turkish to ask students	Between Groups	11,077	2	5,539	5,631	,004
if they have any questions	Within Groups	164,270	167	,984		
about the lesson.	Total	175,347	169			
I speak in Turkish while	Between Groups	11,934	2	5,967	11,248	,000
students do pair/group work.	Within Groups	88,590	167	,530		
	Total	100,524	169			
I speak in Turkish when I	Between Groups	13,484	2	6,742	7,592	,001
talk about something	Within Groups	148,310	167	,888,		
unrelated with the lesson in	Total	161,794	169			
the class.						
I use Turkish to motivate my	Between Groups	14,712	2	7,356	9,337	,000
students when they get	Within Groups	131,576	167	,788		
bored.	Total	146,288	169			
I use gestures, mimes or	Between Groups	11,895	2	5,947	6,223	,002
visuals to clarify the	Within Groups	159,611	167	,956		
meaning rather than using	Total	171,506	169			
Turkish.						
I use Turkish to tell stories	Between Groups	8,656	2	4,328	4,932	,008
related to the topic.	Within Groups	146,549	167	,878		
	Total	155,206	169			
I explain homework tasks in	Between Groups	3,305	2	1,653	1,650	,195
Turkish.	Within Groups	167,289	167	1,002		

	Total	170,594	169			
I support the 'English only'	Between Groups	32,144	2	16,072	9,632	,000
policy in the classroom.	Within Groups	278,656	167	1,669		
	Total	310,800	169			
I give minus to the students	Between Groups	,407	2	,204	,417	,660
who use Turkish in	Within Groups	81,687	167	,489		
classroom.	Total	82,094	169			
When students ask me	Between Groups	18,861	2	9,431	11,248	,000
something in Turkish, I reply	Within Groups	140,015	167	,838		
to them in Turkish as well.	Total	158,876	169			
Students do not understand	Between Groups	16,101	2	8,051	11,085	,000
anything in English, so I use	Within Groups	121,287	167	,726		
Turkish in the classroom.	Total	137,388	169			
I use Turkish to teach	Between Groups	10,804	2	5,402	6,061	,003
complex grammar structures.	Within Groups	148,843	167	,891		
	Total	159,647	169			

Appendix E.6. Post-Hoc LSD Test Results for Differences in Frequency of L1

Use Based on Faculty of Graduation

Multiple Comparisons								
LSD						95% Con	fidence	
			Mean			Inter		
	(I) Faculty of	(J) Faculty of	Difference			Lower	Upper	
Dependent Variable	graduation	graduation	(I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Bound	Bound	
I use Turkish to	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,38108*	,15431	,015	,0764	,6857	
greet students.	Education	Sciences	,	,	,	,	,	
		Other	,49219*	,19885	,014	,0996	,8848	
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,38108*	,15431	,015	-,6857	-,0764	
	Sciences	Education	•	,	,	•	ŕ	
		Other	,11111	,23464	,636	-,3521	,5744	
	Other	Faculty of	-,49219*	,19885		-,8848	-,0996	
		Education	•	,	,	•	ŕ	
		Faculty of Arts and	-,11111	,23464	.636	-,5744	,3521	
		Sciences	,	,	,	•	ŕ	
I use Turkish at the	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,56019*	,18900	.003	,1871	,9333	
warm up stage to	Education	Sciences						
remind students		Other	,30833	,24356	,207	-,1725	,7892	
what we have	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,56019*	,18900		-,9333	-,1871	
covered at the	Sciences	Education						
previous lesson.		Other	-,25185	,28739	,382	-,8192	,3155	
	Other	Faculty of	-,30833	,24356	,207	-,7892	,1725	
		Education						
		Faculty of Arts and	,25185	,28739	,382	-,3155	,8192	
		Sciences						
I give Turkish	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,64786*	,19811	,001	,2567	1,0390	
equivalents of the	Education	Sciences						
unknown words.		Other	1,01823*	,25530	,000	,5142	1,5223	
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,64786*	,19811	,001	-1,0390	-,2567	
	Sciences	Education						
		Other	,37037	,30125	,221	-,2244	,9651	
	Other	Faculty of	-1,01823*	,25530	,000	-1,5223	-,5142	
		Education						
		Faculty of Arts and	-,37037	,30125	,221	-,9651	,2244	
		Sciences						
I translate the	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,67911*	,22684	,003	,2313	1,1270	
reading passages	Education	Sciences						
into Turkish.		Other	,91615*	,29232	,002	,3390	1,4933	
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,67911*	,22684	,003	-1,1270	-,2313	
	Sciences	Education						
		Other	,23704	,34494	,493	-,4440	,9180	
	Other	Faculty of	-,91615*	,29232		-1,4933	-,3390	
		Education						
		Faculty of Arts and	-,23704	,34494	,493	-,9180	,4440	
		Sciences						

I use Turkish to	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,47512*	,20143	,019	,0774	,8728
summarize the	Education	Sciences					
topics that we have		Other	,66771*	,25958	,011	,1552	1,1802
already covered.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,47512*	,20143	,019	-,8728	-,0774
	Sciences	Education					
		Other	,19259	,30630	,530	-,4121	,7973
	Other	Faculty of	-,66771*	,25958	,011	-1,1802	-,1552
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	-,19259	,30630	,530	-,7973	,4121
		Sciences					
I compare English	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,56916*	,18903	,003	,1960	,9423
grammar with	Education	Sciences					
Turkish grammar		Other	,57656*	,24359	,019	,0956	1,0575
while teaching new	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,56916 [*]	,18903	,003	-,9423	-,1960
grammatical	Sciences	Education					
structures.		Other	,00741	,28744		-,5601	,5749
	Other	Faculty of	-,57656*	,24359	,019	-1,0575	-,0956
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	-,00741	,28744	,979	-,5749	,5601
		Sciences					
I speak in Turkish	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,39468*	,17781	,028	,0436	,7457
rather than English	Education	Sciences					
during the class		Other	,46875*	,22914		,0164	,9211
activities.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,39468*	,17781	,028	-,7457	-,0436
	Sciences	Education					
		Other	,07407	,27038		-,4597	,6079
	Other	Faculty of	-,46875*	,22914	,042	-,9211	-,0164
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	-,07407	,27038	,784	-,6079	,4597
		Sciences					
I use Turkish to	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,44416*	,16358	,007	,1212	,7671
create a comfortable	Education	Sciences	*				
environment for		Other	,58490*	,21079		,1687	1,0011
students.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,44416 [*]	,16358	,007	-,7671	-,1212
	Sciences	Education					
		Other	,14074	,24874		-,3503	,6318
	Other	Faculty of	-,58490*	,21079	,006	-1,0011	-,1687
		Education	1.407.4	24074	570	6210	2502
		Faculty of Arts and	-,14074	,24874	,572	-,6318	,3503
Loren Thouletele 4-	E	Sciences	42927*	16027	007	1220	7540
I use Turkish to	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,43837*	,16027	,007	,1220	,7548
correct students'	Education	Sciences	41.615*	20652	046	0004	9220
mistakes.	E1	Other	,41615*	,20653		,0084	,8239
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,43837*	,16027	,007	-,7548	-,1220
	Sciences	Education	02222	24270	027	5024	4590
	Other	Other Faculty of	-,02222 41615*	,24370		-,5034 8230	,4589
	Other	Faculty of	-,41615*	,20653	,046	-,8239	-,0084
		Education Faculty of Arts and	02222	24270	027	4500	5024
		Faculty of Arts and	,02222	,24370	,921	-,4589	,5034
		Sciences					

I use Turkish to give	•	Faculty of Arts and	,36400	,18555	,051	-,0023	,7303
feedback to	Education	Sciences					
students.		Other	,46771	,23911		-,0044	,9398
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,36400	,18555	,051	-,7303	,0023
	Sciences	Education	10270	20215	71.4	4500	6607
	0.1	Other	,10370	,28215		-,4533	,6607
	Other	Faculty of Education	-,46771	,23911	,052	-,9398	,0044
		Faculty of Arts and	-,10370	,28215	714	-,6607	,4533
		Sciences	-,10370	,20213	,/14	-,0007	,4333
I first give the	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,83304*	,22329	000	,3922	1,2739
instructions in	Education Education	Sciences	,03304	,2232)	,000	,3722	1,2737
English and then in	Education	Other	,88490*	,28775	,002	,3168	1,4530
Turkish.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,83304*	,22329	,000	-1,2739	-,3922
	Sciences	Education	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	,	-,_,_,	,
		Other	,05185	,33954	,879	-,6185	,7222
	Other	Faculty of	-,88490*	,28775		-1,4530	-,3168
		Education	,	,	,	,	,-
		Faculty of Arts and	-,05185	,33954	,879	-,7222	,6185
		Sciences					
When students have	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,51128*	,19011	,008	,1360	,8866
problems, I help	Education	Sciences					
them by speaking in		Other	,68906*	,24498	,006	,2054	1,1727
Turkish.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,51128*	,19011	,008	-,8866	-,1360
	Sciences	Education					
		Other	,17778	,28908	,539	-,3929	,7485
	Other	Faculty of	-,68906*	,24498	,006	-1,1727	-,2054
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	-,17778	,28908	,539	-,7485	,3929
		Sciences					
I ask my students to	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,57205*	,21918	,010	,1393	1,0048
translate the reading	Education	Sciences					
texts into Turkish.		Other	,66094*	,28244	,020	,1033	1,2186
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,57205*	,21918	,010	-1,0048	-,1393
	Sciences	Education					
		Other	,08889	,33328		-,5691	,7469
	Other	Faculty of	-,66094*	,28244	,020	-1,2186	-,1033
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	-,08889	,33328	,790	-,7469	,5691
	T 1 C	Sciences	50202*	10471	011	1176	0064
I use Turkish to deal	•	Faculty of Arts and	,50203*	,19471	,011	,1176	,8864
with discipline	Education	Sciences	72006*	25002	004	2427	1 2244
problems in the	F1t	Other	,73906*	,25092		,2437	1,2344
classroom.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,50203*	,19471	,011	-,8864	-,1176
	Sciences	Education	22704	20608	125	2.175	9216
	Other	Other Faculty of	,23704 -,73906*	,29608 ,25092		-,3475 -1,2344	,8216 -,2437
	Oulei	Education	-,73700	,23092	,004	-1,2344	-,2437
		Faculty of Arts and	-,23704	,29608	425	-,8216	,3475
		Sciences	,23,04	,2,000	,	,0210	,5 175

I use Turkish to	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,49682*	,18296	,007	,1356	,8580
make jokes.	Education	Sciences					
		Other	,46719*	,23577	,049	,0017	,9327
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,49682*	,18296	,007	-,8580	-,1356
	Sciences	Education					
		Other	-,02963	,27821		-,5789	,5196
	Other	Faculty of	-,46719*	,23577	,049	-,9327	-,0017
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	,02963	,27821	,915	-,5196	,5789
		Sciences	*				
I explain the gist of	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,62471*	,19874	,002	,2323	1,0171
listening passages in	Education	Sciences					
Turkish.		Other	1,00990*	,25611		,5043	1,5155
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,62471*	,19874	,002	-1,0171	-,2323
	Sciences	Education	20710	20220	201	2444	0010
		Other	,38519	,30220	,204	-,2114	,9818
	Other	Faculty of	-1,00990*	,25611	,000	-1,5155	-,5043
		Education	20510	20220	201	0010	2111
		Faculty of Arts and	-,38519	,30220	,204	-,9818	,2114
*	T 1. C	Sciences	22121	10407	07.4	0225	6051
I can easily get	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,33131	,18427	,074	-,0325	,6951
students' attention	Education	Sciences	COT CO*	22746	000	1500	1.0064
by using Turkish.	T 1 CA . 1	Other	,62760*	,23746		,1588	1,0964
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,33131	,18427	,074	-,6951	,0325
	Sciences	Education	20,620	20020	202	25.00	0.405
	0:1	Other	,29630	,28020		-,2569	,8495
	Other	Faculty of	-,62760*	,23746	,009	-1,0964	-,1588
		Education	20.620	20020	202	0.405	25.60
		Faculty of Arts and	-,29630	,28020	,292	-,8495	,2569
Logic students to yea	Faculty of	Sciences Faculty of Arts and	21007	22602	160	1201	7660
I ask students to use	Faculty of Education	Faculty of Arts and Sciences	,31887	,22692	,102	-,1291	,7669
bilingual	Education	Other	01146*	,29242	002	22/11	1 /1000
dictionaries in class.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	,91146* -,31887	,29242		,3341 -,7669	1,4888 ,1291
	Sciences	Education	-,31007	,22092	,102	-,7009	,1291
	Sciences	Other	,59259	,34506	088	-,0886	1,2738
	Other	Faculty of	,39239 -,91146*	,29242		-1,4888	-,3341
	Other	Education Education	-,71140	,27242	,002	-1,4000	-,5541
		Faculty of Arts and	-,59259	,34506	088	-1,2738	,0886
		Sciences	-,37237	,54500	,000	-1,2730	,0000
I use Turkish to ask	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,38079	,20484	065	-,0236	,7852
students if they have	Education	Sciences	,50077	,20101	,005	,0230	,7032
any questions about	Education	Other	1,14375*	,26397	000	,6226	1,6649
the lesson.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,38079	,20484		-,7852	,0236
	Sciences	Education	,00017	,_0101	,500	,. 552	,0230
	Serences	Other	,76296*	,31148	.015	,1480	1,3779
	Other	Faculty of	-1,14375*	,26397		-1,6649	-,6226
	-	Education	,	,	,	,	,3
		Faculty of Arts and	-,76296*	,31148	,015	-1,3779	-,1480
		Sciences	7 -	,	, -	,	,

I speak in Turkish	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,29716	,15952	,064	-,0178	,6121
while students do	Education	Sciences					
pair/group work.		Other	,57865*	,20557	,005	,1728	,9845
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,29716	,15952	,064	-,6121	,0178
	Sciences	Education					
		Other	,28148	,24258		-,1974	,7604
	Other	Faculty of	-,57865 [*]	,20557	,005	-,9845	-,1728
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	-,28148	,24258	,248	-,7604	,1974
		Sciences					
I speak in Turkish	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,54456*	,20013	,007	,1494	,9397
when I talk about	Education	Sciences					
something unrelated		Other	,75937*	,25791	,004	,2502	1,2686
with the lesson in	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,54456*	,20013	,007	-,9397	-,1494
the class.	Sciences	Education					
		Other	,21481	,30433	,481	-,3860	,8156
	Other	Faculty of	-,75937*	,25791	,004	-1,2686	-,2502
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	-,21481	,30433	,481	-,8156	,3860
		Sciences					
I use Turkish to	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,49913*	,19313	,011	,1178	,8804
motivate my	Education	Sciences					
students when they		Other	,45469	,24888	,069	-,0367	,9460
get bored.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,49913*	,19313	,011	-,8804	-,1178
	Sciences	Education					
		Other	-,04444	,29367	,880	-,6242	,5353
	Other	Faculty of	-,45469	,24888	,069	-,9460	,0367
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	,04444	,29367	,880	-,5353	,6242
		Sciences					
I use gestures,	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	-,20602	,20771	,323	-,6161	,2040
mimes or visuals to	Education	Sciences					
clarify the meaning		Other	-,88750*	,26766	,001	-1,4159	-,3591
rather than using	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	,20602	,20771	,323	-,2040	,6161
Turkish.	Sciences	Education					
		Other	-,68148*	,31584	,032	-1,3050	-,0579
	Other	Faculty of	,88750*	,26766	,001	,3591	1,4159
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	,68148*	,31584	,032	,0579	1,3050
		Sciences					
I use Turkish to tell	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,69097*	,19629	,001	,3034	1,0785
stories related to the	Education	Sciences					
topic.		Other	,40208	,25296	,114	-,0973	,9015
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,69097*	,19629	,001	-1,0785	-,3034
	Sciences	Education					
		Other	-,28889	,29849	,335	-,8782	,3004
	Other	Faculty of	-,40208	,25296	,114	-,9015	,0973
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	,28889	,29849	,335	-,3004	,8782
		Sciences					

I explain homework	•	Faculty of Arts and	,41696*	,21116	,050	,0001	,8338
tasks in Turkish.	Education	Sciences					
		Other	,29844	,27211	,274	-,2388	,8357
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,41696*	,21116	,050	-,8338	-,0001
	Sciences	Education					
		Other	-,11852	,32108	,713	-,7524	,5154
	Other	Faculty of	-,29844	,27211	,274	-,8357	,2388
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	,11852	,32108	,713	-,5154	,7524
		Sciences					
I support the	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	-,22714	,28256	,423	-,7850	,3307
'English only' policy	Education	Sciences					
in the classroom.		Other	-,99010*	,36413	,007	-1,7090	-,2712
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	,22714	,28256	,423	-,3307	,7850
	Sciences	Education					
		Other	-,76296	,42967	,078	-1,6112	,0853
	Other	Faculty of	,99010*	,36413	,007	,2712	1,7090
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	,76296	,42967	.078	-,0853	1,6112
		Sciences	,	,	,	,	,-
I give minus to the	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,10590	,14823	.476	-,1868	,3986
students who use	Education	Sciences	,	,	,	,	,
Turkish in	Education	Other	,06146	,19102	748	-,3157	,4386
classroom.	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,10590	,14823		-,3986	,1868
ciassiooni.	Sciences	Education	-,10370	,14023	,470	-,5700	,1000
	Sciences	Other	-,04444	,22541	911	-,4895	,4006
	Other						
	Other	Faculty of	-,06146	,19102	,/40	-,4386	,3157
		Education	04444	22541	0.4.4	4006	4005
		Faculty of Arts and	,04444	,22541	,844	-,4006	,4895
***	F 1. C	Sciences	51000*	10076	010	1220	0126
When students ask	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,51823*	,19976	,010	,1239	,9126
me something in	Education	Sciences		25542	0.1.0	4.400	4.4.500
Turkish, I reply to		Other	,65156*	,25742		,1433	1,1598
them in Turkish as	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,51823*	,19976	,010	-,9126	-,1239
well.	Sciences	Education					
		Other	,13333	,30375		-,4664	,7330
	Other	Faculty of	-,65156*	,25742	,012	-1,1598	-,1433
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	-,13333	,30375	,661	-,7330	,4664
		Sciences					
Students do not	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,57697*	,18382	,002	,2141	,9399
understand anything	Education	Sciences					
in English, so I use		Other	,65104*	,23688	,007	,1834	1,1187
Turkish in the	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,57697*	,18382	,002	-,9399	-,2141
classroom.	Sciences	Education					
		Other	,07407	,27952	,791	-,4778	,6259
	Other	Faculty of	-,65104*	,23688	,007	-1,1187	-,1834
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	-,07407	,27952	,791	-,6259	,4778
		Sciences					

I use Turkish to	Faculty of	Faculty of Arts and	,56973*	,19855	,005	,1777	,9617
teach complex	Education	Sciences					
grammar structures.		Other	,74010*	,25587	,004	,2350	1,2453
	Faculty of Arts and	Faculty of	-,56973*	,19855	,005	-,9617	-,1777
	Sciences	Education					
		Other	,17037	,30192	,573	-,4257	,7664
	Other	Faculty of	-,74010*	,25587	,004	-1,2453	-,2350
		Education					
		Faculty of Arts and	-,17037	,30192	,573	-,7664	,4257
		Sciences					
*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.							

Appendix E.6.1. One-way ANOVA Test Results for Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on Faculty of Graduation

		ANOVA				
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
I use Turkish to greet	Between Groups	5,718	2	2,859	5,385	,005
students.	Within Groups	88,659	167	,531		
	Total	94,376	169			
I use Turkish at the warm up	Between Groups	7,604	2	3,802	4,774	,010
stage to remind students	Within Groups	133,007	167	,796		
what we have covered at the	Total	140,612	169			
previous lesson.						
I give Turkish equivalents of	Between Groups	20,569	2	10,285	11,752	,000
the unknown words.	Within Groups	146,143	167	,875		
	Total	166,712	169			
I translate the reading	Between Groups	18,989	2	9,494	8,275	,000
passages into Turkish.	Within Groups	191,605	167	1,147		
	Total	210,594	169			
I use Turkish to summarize	Between Groups	9,713	2	4,856	5,368	,006
the topics that we have	Within Groups	151,087	167	,905		
already covered.	Total	160,800	169			
I compare English grammar	Between Groups	10,340	2	5,170	6,489	,002
with Turkish grammar while	Within Groups	133,048	167	,797		
teaching new grammatical	Total	143,388	169			
structures.						
I speak in Turkish rather	Between Groups	5,661	2	2,831	4,015	,020
than English during the class	Within Groups	117,727	167	,705		
activities.	Total	123,388	169			
I use Turkish to create a	Between Groups	7,921	2	3,961	6,639	,002
comfortable environment for	Within Groups	99,632	167	,597		
students.	Total	107,553	169			
I use Turkish to correct	Between Groups	5,864	2	2,932	5,119	,007
students' mistakes.	Within Groups	95,642	167	,573		
	Total	101,506	169			
I use Turkish to give	Between Groups	5,190	2	2,595	3,380	,036
feedback to students.	Within Groups	128,198	167	,768		
	Total	133,388	169			
I first give the instructions in	Between Groups	22,958	2	11,479	10,326	,000
English and then in Turkish.	Within Groups	185,654	167	1,112		
	Total	208,612	169			
	Between Groups	10,752	2	5,376	6,672	,002
	Within Groups	134,571	167	,806		

When students have	Total	145,324	169			
problems, I help them by	Total	113,321	10)			
speaking in Turkish.						
I ask my students to translate	Between Groups	11,605	2	5,803	5,417	,005
the reading texts into	Within Groups	178,871	167	1,071	5,.17	,000
Turkish.	Total	190,476	169	-,		
I use Turkish to deal with	Between Groups	11,426	2	5,713	6,759	,002
discipline problems in the	Within Groups	141,168	167	,845	,,,,,,	,,,,
classroom.	Total	152,594	169	,		
I use Turkish to make jokes.	Between Groups	7,485	2	3,743	5,015	,008
J	Within Groups	124,638	167	,746	•	,
	Total	132,124	169			
I explain the gist of listening	Between Groups	19,806	2	9,903	11,245	,000
passages in Turkish.	Within Groups	147,070	167	,881		
	Total	166,876	169			
I can easily get students'	Between Groups	6,889	2	3,445	4,550	,012
attention by using Turkish.	Within Groups	126,434	167	,757		
	Total	133,324	169			
I ask students to use	Between Groups	12,286	2	6,143	5,351	,006
bilingual dictionaries in	Within Groups	191,737	167	1,148		
class.	Total	204,024	169			
I use Turkish to ask students	Between Groups	19,109	2	9,555	10,213	,000
if they have any questions	Within Groups	156,238	167	,936		
about the lesson.	Total	175,347	169			
I speak in Turkish while	Between Groups	5,766	2	2,883	5,081	,007
students do pair/group work.	Within Groups	94,758	167	,567		
	Total	100,524	169			
I speak in Turkish when I	Between Groups	12,651	2	6,326	7,083	,001
talk about something	Within Groups	149,143	167	,893		
unrelated with the lesson in	Total	161,794	169			
the class.						
I use Turkish to motivate my	Between Groups	7,404	2	3,702	4,452	,013
students when they get	Within Groups	138,884	167	,832		
bored.	Total	146,288	169			
I use gestures, mimes or	Between Groups	10,865	2	5,433	5,648	,004
visuals to clarify the	Within Groups	160,641	167	,962		
meaning rather than using	Total	171,506	169			
Turkish.						
I use Turkish to tell stories	Between Groups	11,731	2	5,865	6,827	,001
related to the topic.	Within Groups	143,475	167	,859		
	Total	155,206	169			
I explain homework tasks in	Between Groups	4,574	2	2,287	2,300	,103
Turkish.	Within Groups	166,020	167	,994		

	Total	170,594	169			
I support the 'English only'	Between Groups	13,507	2	6,754	3,794	,024
policy in the classroom.	Within Groups	297,293	167	1,780		
	Total	310,800	169			
I give minus to the students	Between Groups	,275	2	,138	,281	,755
who use Turkish in	Within Groups	81,819	167	,490		
classroom.	Total	82,094	169			
When students ask me	Between Groups	10,297	2	5,148	5,787	,004
something in Turkish, I reply	Within Groups	148,580	167	,890		
to them in Turkish as well.	Total	158,876	169			
Students do not understand	Between Groups	11,568	2	5,784	7,677	,001
anything in English, so I use	Within Groups	125,821	167	,753		
Turkish in the classroom.	Total	137,388	169			
I use Turkish to teach	Between Groups	12,854	2	6,427	7,312	,001
complex grammar structures.	Within Groups	146,793	167	,879		
	Total	159,647	169			

Appendix E.7. Post-Hoc LSD Test Results for Differences in Frequency of Use

Based on Years of Teaching Experience

Multiple Comparisons LSD 95% Confidence Mean Interval Difference (I) Years of teaching (J) Years of teaching Std. Lower Upper Dependent Variable experience experience (I-J) Error Sig. Bound Bound I use Turkish to 1-10 11-16 ,15722 ,7770 ,46657* ,003 ,1562 greet students. 16 or more ,49405* ,17365 ,005 ,1512 ,8369 11-16 1-10 -,46657* ,15722 ,003 -,7770 -,1562 16 or more ,02748 ,12955 ,832 -,2283 ,2832 1-10 -,49405* ,17365 ,005 -,8369 16 or more -,1512 11-16 -,02748 ,12955 ,832 -,2832 ,2283 I use Turkish at the 1-10 11-16 ,66565* ,18841 ,001 ,2937 1,0376 warm up stage to 16 or more ,81548* ,20810 ,000 ,4046 1,2263 1-10 remind students 11-16 -,66565* ,18841 ,001 -1,0376 -,2937 what we have 16 or more ,14982 ,15525 ,336 -,1567 ,4563 1-10 ,20810 ,000 covered at the 16 or more -,81548* -1,2263 -,4046 11-16 -,14982 previous lesson. ,15525 ,336 -,4563 ,1567 1-10 11-16 ,43313* ,21072 ,041 ,0171 ,8491 I give Turkish ,23274 equivalents of the 16 or more ,61310* ,009 ,1536 1,0726 unknown words. 11-16 1-10 -,43313* .21072 ,041 -,8491 -,0171 16 or more ,17996 ,17363 ,301 -,1628 ,5228 1-10 -1,0726 16 or more -,61310* ,23274 ,009 -,1536 11-16 -,17996 ,301 ,17363 -,5228 ,1628 I translate the 1-10 11-16 ,46581* ,23508 ,049 ,0017 ,9299 reading passages 16 or more ,80357* ,25965 ,002 ,2910 1,3162 into Turkish. 11-16 1-10 -,46581* ,23508 ,049 -,9299 -,0017 16 or more ,19370 ,083 -,0447 ,33777 ,7202 1-10 16 or more -,80357* ,25965 ,002 -1,3162 -,2910 11-16 -,33777 ,19370 ,083 -,7202 ,0447 I use Turkish to 1-10 11-16 ,20099 ,005 ,1754 ,9690 ,57219* summarize the 16 or more ,92857* ,22200 ,000 ,4903 1,3669 topics that we have 11-16 1-10 -,57219* ,20099 ,005 -,9690 -,1754 already covered. 16 or more ,35638* ,16561 ,033 ,0294 ,6834 16 or more 1-10 -,92857* ,22200 ,000 -1,3669 -,4903 11-16 ,033 -,0294 -,35638* ,16561 -,6834 I compare English 1-10 11-16 ,57371* ,19033 ,003 ,1979 ,9495 grammar with 16 or more ,85119* ,21023 ,000 ,4361 1,2662 Turkish grammar 1-10 11-16 -,57371* ,19033 ,003 -,9495 -,1979 while teaching new 16 or more ,15683 ,079 -,0322 ,5871 ,27748 grammatical 1-10 -,85119* ,21023 ,000 -1,2662 16 or more -,4361 11-16 structures. -,27748 ,15683 ,079 -,5871 ,0322 1-10 11-16 I speak in Turkish ,35942 ,18251 ,051 -,0009 ,7198 rather than English 16 or more ,40774* .20159 ,045 ,0098 ,8057 during the class 11-16 1-10 -,35942 ,18251 ,051 -,7198 ,0009 activities. 16 or more ,04832 ,15039 ,748 -,2486 ,3452

16 or more

1-10

-,40774*

,20159

,045

-,8057

-,0098

		11-16	-,04832	,15039	,748	-,3452	,2486
I use Turkish to	1-10	11-16	,37994*	,16940	,026	,0455	,7144
create a comfortable		16 or more	,46726*	,18711	,013	,0979	,8367
environment for	11-16	1-10	-,37994*	,16940	,026	-,7144	-,0455
students.		16 or more	,08732	,13959	,532	-,1883	,3629
	16 or more	1-10	-,46726*	,18711	,013	-,8367	-,0979
		11-16	-,08732	,13959	,532	-,3629	,1883
I use Turkish to	1-10	11-16	,65502*	,15784	,000	,3434	,9666
correct students'		16 or more	,77381*	,17433	,000	,4296	1,1180
mistakes.	11-16	1-10	-,65502*	,15784	,000	-,9666	-,3434
		16 or more	,11879	,13006	,362	-,1380	,3756
	16 or more	1-10	-,77381*	,17433	,000	-1,1180	-,4296
		11-16	-,11879	,13006	,362	-,3756	,1380
I use Turkish to give	1-10	11-16	,47264*	,18226	,010	,1128	,8325
feedback to students.		16 or more	,87202*	,20131	,000	,4746	1,2695
	11-16	1-10	-,47264*	,18226	,010	-,8325	-,1128
		16 or more	,39938*	,15018	,009	,1029	,6959
	16 or more	1-10	-,87202*	,20131	,000	-1,2695	-,4746
		11-16	-,39938*	,15018	,009	-,6959	-,1029
I first give the	1-10	11-16	,94301*	,22685	,000	,4952	1,3909
instructions in		16 or more	1,06845*	,25056	,000	,5738	1,5631
English and then in	11-16	1-10	-,94301*	,22685	,000	-1,3909	-,4952
Turkish.		16 or more	,12544	,18692	,503	-,2436	,4945
	16 or more	1-10	-1,06845*	,25056	,000	-1,5631	-,5738
		11-16	-,12544	,18692	,503	-,4945	,2436
When students have	1-10	11-16	,50076*	,19538	,011	,1150	,8865
problems, I help		16 or more	,64881*	,21580	,003	,2228	1,0749
them by speaking in	11-16	1-10	-,50076*	,19538	,011	-,8865	-,1150
Turkish.		16 or more	,14805	,16099	,359	-,1698	,4659
	16 or more	1-10	-,64881*	,21580	,003	-1,0749	-,2228
		11-16	-,14805	,16099	,359	-,4659	,1698
I ask my students to	1-10	11-16	,67705*	,21925	,002	,2442	1,1099
translate the reading		16 or more	,98512*	,24216	,000	,5070	1,4632
texts into Turkish.	11-16	1-10	-,67705*	,21925	,002	-1,1099	-,2442
		16 or more	,30807	,18066	,090	-,0486	,6647
	16 or more	1-10	-,98512*	,24216	,000	-1,4632	-,5070
		11-16	-,30807	,18066	,090	-,6647	,0486
I use Turkish to deal	1-10	11-16	,44225*	,19847	,027	,0504	,8341
with discipline		16 or more	,77381*	,21921	,001	,3410	1,2066
problems in the	11-16	1-10	-,44225*	,19847	,027	-,8341	-,0504
classroom.		16 or more	,33156*	,16354	,044	,0087	,6544
	16 or more	1-10	-,77381*	,21921	,001	-1,2066	-,3410
		11-16	-,33156*	,16354	,044	-,6544	-,0087
I use Turkish to	1-10	11-16	,70441*	,18325	,000	,3426	1,0662
make jokes.		16 or more	,65476*	,20240	,001	,2552	1,0544
·	11-16	1-10	-,70441*	,18325	,000	-1,0662	-,3426
		16 or more	-,04965	,15100	,743	-,3478	,2485
	16 or more	1-10	-,65476*	,20240	,001	-1,0544	-,2552
		11-16	,04965	,15100	,743	-,2485	,3478
	1-10	11-16	,51292*	,20606	,014	,1061	,9197
			,5.2.2	,	,	,	,

I explain the gist of		16 or more	,88393*	,22759	,000	,4346	1,3333
listening passages in	11-16	1-10	-,51292*	,20606	,014	-,9197	-,1061
Turkish.		16 or more	,37101*	,16979	,030	,0358	,7062
	16 or more	1-10	-,88393*	,22759	,000	-1,3333	-,4346
		11-16	-,37101*	,16979	,030	-,7062	-,0358
I can easily get	1-10	11-16	,62006*	,18318	,001	,2584	,9817
students' attention		16 or more	,82440*	,20232	,000	,4250	1,2238
by using Turkish.	11-16	1-10	-,62006*	,18318	,001	-,9817	-,2584
		16 or more	,20434	,15094	,178	-,0936	,5023
	16 or more	1-10	-,82440*	,20232	,000	-1,2238	-,4250
		11-16	-,20434	,15094	,178	-,5023	,0936
I ask students to use	1-10	11-16	,86322*	,22565	,000	,4177	1,3087
bilingual		16 or more	1,02679*	,24923	,000	,5347	1,5188
dictionaries in class.	11-16	1-10	-,86322*	,22565	,000	-1,3087	-,4177
		16 or more	,16356	,18593	,380	-,2035	,5306
	16 or more	1-10	-1,02679*	,24923	,000	-1,5188	-,5347
		11-16	-,16356	,18593	,380	-,5306	,2035
I use Turkish to ask	1-10	11-16	,49772*	,21369	,021	,0758	,9196
students if they have		16 or more	,78274*	,23602	,001	,3168	1,2487
any questions about	11-16	1-10	-,49772*	,21369	,021	-,9196	-,0758
the lesson.		16 or more	,28502	,17608	,107	-,0626	,6326
	16 or more	1-10	-,78274*	,23602	,001	-1,2487	-,3168
		11-16	-,28502	,17608	,107	-,6326	,0626
I speak in Turkish	1-10	11-16	,17401	,16542	,294	-,1526	,5006
while students do		16 or more	,32738	,18270	,075	-,0333	,6881
pair/group work.	11-16	1-10	-,17401	,16542	,294	-,5006	,1526
		16 or more	,15337	,13630	,262	-,1157	,4225
	16 or more	1-10	-,32738	,18270	,075	-,6881	,0333
		11-16	-,15337	,13630	,262	-,4225	,1157
I speak in Turkish	1-10	11-16	,75076*	,20223	,000	,3515	1,1500
when I talk about		16 or more	,83631*	,22336	,000	,3953	1,2773
something unrelated	11-16	1-10	-,75076*	,20223	,000	-1,1500	-,3515
with the lesson in		16 or more	,08555	,16664	,608	-,2434	,4145
the class.	16 or more	1-10	-,83631*	,22336	,000	-1,2773	-,3953
		11-16	-,08555	,16664	,608	-,4145	,2434
I use Turkish to	1-10	11-16	,60258*	,19475	,002	,2181	,9871
motivate my		16 or more	,69345*	,21510	,002	,2688	1,1181
students when they	11-16	1-10	-,60258*	,19475	,002	-,9871	-,2181
get bored.		16 or more	,09087	,16047	,572	-,2259	,4077
	16 or more	1-10	-,69345*	,21510	,002	-1,1181	-,2688
		11-16	-,09087	,16047	,572	-,4077	,2259
I use gestures,	1-10	11-16	-,30015	,21689	,168	-,7284	,1280
mimes or visuals to		16 or more	-,27976	,23956	,245	-,7527	,1932
clarify the meaning	11-16	1-10	,30015	,21689	,168	-,1280	,7284
rather than using		16 or more	,02039	,17872	,909	-,3324	,3732
Turkish.	16 or more	1-10	,27976	,23956	,245	-,1932	,7527
		11-16	-,02039	,17872	,909	-,3732	,3324
I use Turkish to tell	1-10	11-16	,69833*	,19722	,001	,3090	1,0877
stories related to the		16 or more	,90179*	,21783	,000	,4717	1,3318
topic.	11-16	1-10	-,69833*	,19722	,001	-1,0877	-,3090

		16	20246	16250	212	1174	50.42
	16	16 or more	,20346	,16250	,212	-,1174	,5243
	16 or more	1-10	-,90179*	,21783	,000	-1,3318	-,4717
I1-i h	1 10	11-16	-,20346	,16250	,212	-,5243	,1174
I explain homework	1-10	11-16	,76596*	,20625	,000	,3588	1,1732
tasks in Turkish.		16 or more	,95833*	,22781	,000	,5086	1,4081
	11-16	1-10	-,76596*	,20625	,000	-1,1732	-,3588
		16 or more	,19238	,16995	,259	-,1432	,5279
	16 or more	1-10	-,95833*	,22781	,000	-1,4081	-,5086
		11-16	-,19238	,16995	,259	-,5279	,1432
I support the	1-10	11-16	-,79179*	,28354	,006	-1,3516	-,2320
'English only' policy		16 or more	-1,08036*	,31318	,001	-1,6987	-,4621
in the classroom.	11-16	1-10	,79179*	,28354	,006	,2320	1,3516
		16 or more	-,28856	,23364	,219	-,7498	,1727
	16 or more	1-10	1,08036*	,31318	,001	,4621	1,6987
		11-16	,28856	,23364	,219	-,1727	,7498
I give minus to the	1-10	11-16	-,21201	,14956	,158	-,5073	,0833
students who use		16 or more	-,28869	,16519	,082	-,6148	,0374
Turkish in	11-16	1-10	,21201	,14956	,158	-,0833	,5073
classroom.		16 or more	-,07668	,12323	,535	-,3200	,1666
	16 or more	1-10	,28869	,16519	,082	-,0374	,6148
		11-16	,07668	,12323	,535	-,1666	,3200
When students ask	1-10	11-16	,36246	,20419	,078	-,0407	,7656
me something in		16 or more	,69048*	,22553	,003	,2452	1,1357
Turkish, I reply to	11-16	1-10	-,36246	,20419	,078	-,7656	,0407
them in Turkish as		16 or more	,32801	,16825	,053	-,0042	,6602
well.	16 or more	1-10	-,69048*	,22553	,003	-1,1357	-,2452
		11-16	-,32801	,16825	,053	-,6602	,0042
Students do not	1-10	11-16	,60562*	,18694	,001	,2366	,9747
understand anything		16 or more	,78869*	,20647	,000	,3811	1,1963
in English, so I use	11-16	1-10	-,60562*	,18694	,001	-,9747	-,2366
Turkish in the		16 or more	,18307	,15403	,236	-,1210	,4872
classroom.	16 or more	1-10	-,78869*	,20647	,000	-1,1963	-,3811
		11-16	-,18307	,15403	,236	-,4872	,1210
I use Turkish to	1-10	11-16	,46201*	,19899	,021	,0691	,8549
teach complex		16 or more	,95536*	,21979	,000	,5214	1,3893
grammar structures.	11-16	1-10	-,46201*	,19899	,021	-,8549	-,0691
<u> </u>		16 or more	,49335*	,16397	,003	,1696	,8171
	16 or more	1-10	-,95536*	,21979	,000	-1,3893	-,5214
		11-16	-,49335*	,16397	,003	-,8171	-,1696
*. The mean difference	ce is significant at		,,,,,,,,,		,	,	,

Appendix E.7.1. One-way ANOVA Test Results for Differences in Frequency of Use Based on Years of Teaching Experience

		ANOVA				
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
I use Turkish to greet	Between Groups	5,320	2	2,660	4,988	,008
students.	Within Groups	89,056	167	,533		
	Total	94,376	169			
I use Turkish at the warm up	Between Groups	12,713	2	6,357	8,300	,000
stage to remind students	Within Groups	127,898	167	,766		
what we have covered at the	Total	140,612	169			
previous lesson.						
I give Turkish equivalents of	Between Groups	6,736	2	3,368	3,516	,032
the unknown words.	Within Groups	159,976	167	,958		
	Total	166,712	169			
I translate the reading	Between Groups	11,492	2	5,746	4,820	,009
passages into Turkish.	Within Groups	199,102	167	1,192		
	Total	210,594	169			
I use Turkish to summarize	Between Groups	15,257	2	7,628	8,753	,000
the topics that we have	Within Groups	145,543	167	,872		
already covered.	Total	160,800	169			
I compare English grammar	Between Groups	12,867	2	6,434	8,232	,000
with Turkish grammar while	Within Groups	130,521	167	,782		
teaching new grammatical	Total	143,388	169			
structures.						
I speak in Turkish rather	Between Groups	3,376	2	1,688	2,349	,099
than English during the class	Within Groups	120,012	167	,719		
activities.	Total	123,388	169			
I use Turkish to create a	Between Groups	4,163	2	2,082	3,363	,037
comfortable environment for	Within Groups	103,390	167	,619		
students.	Total	107,553	169			
I use Turkish to correct	Between Groups	11,751	2	5,876	10,932	,000
students' mistakes.	Within Groups	89,755	167	,537		
	Total	101,506	169			
I use Turkish to give	Between Groups	13,704	2	6,852	9,561	,000
feedback to students.	Within Groups	119,684	167	,717		
	Total	133,388	169			
I first give the instructions in	Between Groups	23,211	2	11,605	10,454	,000
English and then in Turkish.	Within Groups	185,401	167	1,110		
	Total	208,612	169			
	Between Groups	7,792	2	3,896	4,731	,010
	Within Groups	137,531	167	,824		

When students have	Total	145,324	169			
problems, I help them by	Total	1+3,32+	10)			
speaking in Turkish.						
I ask my students to translate	Between Groups	17,288	2	8,644	8,335	.000
the reading texts into	Within Groups	173,188	167	1,037	0,000	,000
Turkish.	Total	190,476	169	-,		
I use Turkish to deal with	Between Groups	10,680	2	5,340	6,284	.002
discipline problems in the	Within Groups	141,914	167	,850	-,	,
classroom.	Total	152,594	169	,,,,,,		
I use Turkish to make jokes.	Between Groups	11,137	2	5,568	7,686	,001
	Within Groups	120,987	167	,724	.,	,
	Total	132,124	169	,		
I explain the gist of listening	Between Groups	13,904	2	6,952	7,589	,001
passages in Turkish.	Within Groups	152,973	167	,916		
	Total	166,876	169	,		
I can easily get students'	Between Groups	12,434	2	6,217	8,588	,000
attention by using Turkish.	Within Groups	120,890	167	,724		
	Total	133,324	169			
I ask students to use	Between Groups	20,582	2	10,291	9,369	,000
bilingual dictionaries in	Within Groups	183,442	167	1,098		
class.	Total	204,024	169			
I use Turkish to ask students	Between Groups	10,835	2	5,418	5,500	,005
if they have any questions	Within Groups	164,512	167	,985		
about the lesson.	Total	175,347	169			
I speak in Turkish while	Between Groups	1,940	2	,970	1,644	,196
students do pair/group work.	Within Groups	98,583	167	,590		
	Total	100,524	169			
I speak in Turkish when I	Between Groups	14,450	2	7,225	8,189	,000
talk about something	Within Groups	147,344	167	,882		
unrelated with the lesson in	Total	161,794	169			
the class.						
I use Turkish to motivate my	Between Groups	9,643	2	4,821	5,892	,003
students when they get	Within Groups	136,646	167	,818		
bored.	Total	146,288	169			
I use gestures, mimes or	Between Groups	2,025	2	1,012	,997	,371
visuals to clarify the	Within Groups	169,481	167	1,015		
meaning rather than using	Total	171,506	169			
Turkish.						
I use Turkish to tell stories	Between Groups	15,078	2	7,539	8,985	,000
related to the topic.	Within Groups	140,128	167	,839		
	Total	155,206	169			
I explain homework tasks in	Between Groups	17,326	2	8,663	9,439	,000
Turkish.	Within Groups	153,268	167	,918		

	Total	170,594	169			
I support the 'English only'	Between Groups	21,144	2	10,572	6,095	,003
policy in the classroom.	Within Groups	289,656	167	1,734		
	Total	310,800	169			
I give minus to the students	Between Groups	1,511	2	,755	1,566	,212
who use Turkish in	Within Groups	80,583	167	,483		
classroom.	Total	82,094	169			
When students ask me	Between Groups	8,659	2	4,329	4,813	,009
something in Turkish, I reply	Within Groups	150,218	167	,900		
to them in Turkish as well.	Total	158,876	169			
Students do not understand	Between Groups	11,486	2	5,743	7,618	,001
anything in English, so I use	Within Groups	125,902	167	,754		
Turkish in the classroom.	Total	137,388	169			
I use Turkish to teach	Between Groups	16,980	2	8,490	9,938	,000
complex grammar structures.	Within Groups	142,667	167	,854		
	Total	159,647	169			

Appendix E.8. Post-Hoc LSD Test Results for Differences in Frequency of L1

Use Based on Grades Taught

Multiple Comparisons LSD 95% Confidence Mean Interval (I) Which grades do (J) Which grades do Difference Std. Lower Upper Dependent Variable vou teach? you teach? (I-J) Error Sig. Bound Bound I use Turkish to ,13371 -,1723 ,3557 Younger students Older students ,09168 ,494 greet students. All groups ,37613* ,14843 ,012 ,0831 ,6692 Older students Younger students -,09168 ,13371 ,494 -,3557 ,1723 All groups ,28445* ,13941 ,043 ,0092 ,5597 All groups ,14843 Younger students -,37613^{*} ,012 -,6692 -,0831 Older students -,28445* ,13941 ,043 -,5597 -,0092 I use Turkish at the Younger students Older students ,30322 ,15841 ,057 -,0095 ,6160 warm up stage to All groups ,73708* ,17585 ,000 ,3899 1,0842 remind students Older students Younger students -,30322 ,15841 ,057 -,6160 ,0095 what we have All groups ,43386* ,16516 ,009 ,1078 ,7599 -1,0842 covered at the All groups Younger students -,73708* ,17585 ,000 -,3899 Older students -,7599 -,1078 previous lesson. -,43386* ,16516 ,009 Younger students Older students ,52857* ,17276 ,003 ,1875 I give Turkish ,8696 equivalents of the All groups ,75882* ,19178 ,000 ,3802 1,1374 unknown words. Older students Younger students -,52857* .17276 ,003 -,8696 -,1875 All groups ,23025 ,18013 ,203 -,1254 ,5859 All groups Younger students -,75882* ,19178 ,000 -1,1374 -,3802 Older students -,23025 ,18013 -,5859 ,1254 ,203 I translate the Younger students Older students ,53335* ,19715 ,008 ,1441 ,9226 reading passages All groups ,69073* ,21885 ,002 ,2587 1,1228 into Turkish. Older students Younger students -,53335* ,19715 ,008 -,9226 -,1441 ,20556 ,445 -,2485 All groups ,15738 ,5632 All groups Younger students -,69073* ,21885 ,002 -1,1228 -,2587 Older students -,15738 ,20556 ,445 -,5632 ,2485 I use Turkish to Older students ,41323* ,014 ,0846 ,7418 Younger students .16644 summarize the All groups ,91017* ,18476 ,000 ,5454 1,2749 topics that we have -,7418 Older students Younger students -,41323* ,16644 ,014 -,0846 already covered. All groups ,49694* ,17354 ,005 ,1543 ,8396 All groups Younger students -,91017* ,18476 ,000 -1,2749 -,5454 -,49694* ,005 -,8396 Older students ,17354 -,1543 I compare English Younger students Older students ,55966* ,16179 ,001 ,2402 ,8791 grammar with All groups ,51189* ,17960 ,005 ,1573 ,8665 Turkish grammar Older students Younger students -,55966* ,16179 ,001 -,8791 -,2402 while teaching new ,777 -,3808 ,2853 All groups -,04776 ,16869 grammatical -,51189* ,17960 ,005 -,1573 All groups Younger students -,8665 structures. Older students ,04776 ,16869 ,777 -,2853 ,3808 Older students I speak in Turkish Younger students ,12463 ,15211 ,414 -,1757 ,4249 rather than English All groups ,48195* .16886 ,005 ,1486 ,8153 ,414 during the class Older students ,15211 -,4249 ,1757 Younger students -,12463 activities. All groups ,35732* ,15860 ,026 ,0442 ,6704

All groups

Younger students

-,48195*

,16886

,005

-,8153

-,1486

		Older students	-,35732*	,15860	,026	-,6704	-,0442
I use Turkish to	Younger students	Older students	,23944	,13881	,086	-,0346	,5135
create a comfortable		All groups	,63043*	,15409	,000	,3262	,9346
environment for	Older students	Younger students	-,23944	,13881	,086	-,5135	,0346
students.		All groups	,39100*	,14473	,008	,1053	,6767
	All groups	Younger students	-,63043*	,15409	,000	-,9346	-,3262
		Older students	-,39100*	,14473	,008	-,6767	-,1053
I use Turkish to	Younger students	Older students	,35264*	,13282	,009	,0904	,6149
correct students'		All groups	,70016*	,14744	,000	,4091	,9913
mistakes.	Older students	Younger students	-,35264*	,13282	,009	-,6149	-,0904
		All groups	,34752*	,13848	,013	,0741	,6209
	All groups	Younger students	-,70016*	,14744	,000	-,9913	-,4091
		Older students	-,34752*	,13848	,013	-,6209	-,0741
I use Turkish to give	Younger students	Older students	,25326	,15340	,101	-,0496	,5561
feedback to students.		All groups	,74774*	,17028	,000	,4116	1,0839
	Older students	Younger students	-,25326	,15340	,101	-,5561	,0496
		All groups	,49449*	,15994	,002	,1787	,8103
	All groups	Younger students	-,74774*	,17028	,000	-1,0839	-,4116
		Older students	-,49449*	,15994	,002	-,8103	-,1787
I first give the	Younger students	Older students	,74037*	,19048	,000	,3643	1,1164
instructions in		All groups	,91550*	,21144	,000	,4981	1,3330
English and then in	Older students	Younger students	-,74037*	,19048	,000	-1,1164	-,3643
Turkish.		All groups	,17514	,19860	,379	-,2170	,5672
	All groups	Younger students	-,91550*	,21144	,000	-1,3330	-,4981
		Older students	-,17514	,19860	,379	-,5672	,2170
When students have	Younger students	Older students	,41084*	,16187	,012	,0913	,7304
problems, I help		All groups	,70509*	,17969	,000	,3503	1,0598
them by speaking in	Older students	Younger students	-,41084*	,16187	,012	-,7304	-,0913
Turkish.		All groups	,29424	,16878	,083	-,0390	,6275
	All groups	Younger students	-,70509*	,17969	,000	-1,0598	-,3503
		Older students	-,29424	,16878	,083	-,6275	,0390
I ask my students to	Younger students	Older students	,49588*	,18986	,010	,1210	,8707
translate the reading		All groups	,38351	,21076	,071	-,0326	,7996
texts into Turkish.	Older students	Younger students	-,49588*	,18986	,010	-,8707	-,1210
		All groups	-,11237	,19796	,571	-,5032	,2785
	All groups	Younger students	-,38351	,21076	,071	-,7996	,0326
		Older students	,11237	,19796	,571	-,2785	,5032
I use Turkish to deal	Younger students	Older students	,40260*	,16616	,016	,0746	,7307
with discipline		All groups	,71124*	,18445	,000	,3471	1,0754
problems in the	Older students	Younger students	-,40260*	,16616	,016	-,7307	-,0746
classroom.		All groups	,30863	,17325	,077	-,0334	,6507
	All groups	Younger students	-,71124*	,18445	,000	-1,0754	-,3471
		Older students	-,30863	,17325	,077	-,6507	,0334
I use Turkish to	Younger students	Older students	,04651	,15647	,767	-,2624	,3554
make jokes.		All groups	,51436*	,17369	,004	,1714	,8573
	Older students	Younger students	-,04651	,15647	,767	-,3554	,2624
		All groups	,46785*	,16314	,005	,1458	,7899
	All groups	Younger students	-,51436*	,17369	,004	-,8573	-,1714
		Older students	-,46785*	,16314	,005	-,7899	-,1458
	Younger students	Older students	,60457*	,17026	,000	,2684	,9407

I explain the gist of		All groups	,86054*	,18900	,000	,4874	1,2337
listening passages in	Older students	Younger students	-,60457*	,17026	,000	-,9407	-,2684
Turkish.		All groups	,25597	,17752	,151	-,0945	,6064
	All groups	Younger students	-,86054*	,18900	,000	-1,2337	-,4874
		Older students	-,25597	,17752	,151	-,6064	,0945
I can easily get	Younger students	Older students	,09407	,15718	,550	-,2162	,4044
students' attention		All groups	,53774*	,17448	,002	,1933	,8822
by using Turkish.	Older students	Younger students	-,09407	,15718	,550	-,4044	,2162
		All groups	,44366*	,16388	,007	,1201	,7672
	All groups	Younger students	-,53774*	,17448	,002	-,8822	-,1933
		Older students	-,44366*	,16388	,007	-,7672	-,1201
I ask students to use	Younger students	Older students	,41695*	,19440	,033	,0332	,8008
bilingual		All groups	,70263*	,21580	,001	,2766	1,1287
dictionaries in class.	Older students	Younger students	-,41695*	,19440	,033	-,8008	-,0332
		All groups	,28567	,20269	,161	-,1145	,6858
	All groups	Younger students	-,70263*	,21580	,001	-1,1287	-,2766
		Older students	-,28567	,20269	,161	-,6858	,1145
I use Turkish to ask	Younger students	Older students	,39729*	,17193	,022	,0579	,7367
students if they have		All groups	1,01395*	,19086	,000	,6371	1,3907
any questions about	Older students	Younger students	-,39729*	,17193	,022	-,7367	-,0579
the lesson.		All groups	,61666*	,17926	,001	,2627	,9706
	All groups	Younger students	-1,01395*	,19086	,000	-1,3907	-,6371
		Older students	-,61666 [*]	,17926	,001	-,9706	-,2627
I speak in Turkish	Younger students	Older students	,26575	,13573	,052	-,0022	,5337
while students do		All groups	,53856*	,15068	,000	,2411	,8360
pair/group work.	Older students	Younger students	-,26575	,13573	,052	-,5337	,0022
		All groups	,27281	,14152	,056	-,0066	,5522
	All groups	Younger students	-,53856*	,15068	,000	-,8360	-,2411
		Older students	-,27281	,14152	,056	-,5522	,0066
I speak in Turkish	Younger students	Older students	,30268	,17494	,085	-,0427	,6481
when I talk about		All groups	,51518*	,19419	,009	,1318	,8986
something unrelated	Older students	Younger students	-,30268	,17494	,085	-,6481	,0427
with the lesson in		All groups	,21249	,18240	,246	-,1476	,5726
the class.	All groups	Younger students	-,51518*	,19419	,009	-,8986	-,1318
		Older students	-,21249	,18240	,246	-,5726	,1476
I use gestures,	Younger students	Older students	-,38427*	,18085	,035	-,7413	-,0272
mimes or visuals to		All groups	-,41427*	,20076	,041	-,8106	-,0179
clarify the meaning	Older students	Younger students	,38427*	,18085	,035	,0272	,7413
rather than using		All groups	-,03001	,18857	,874	-,4023	,3423
Turkish.	All groups	Younger students	,41427*	,20076	,041	,0179	,8106
		Older students	,03001	,18857	,874	-,3423	,4023
I use Turkish to tell	Younger students	Older students	,50093*	,16860	,003	,1681	,8338
stories related to the		All groups	,61116*	,18716	,001	,2416	,9807
topic.	Older students	Younger students	-,50093*	,16860	,003	-,8338	-,1681
		All groups	,11023	,17579	,531	-,2368	,4573
	All groups	Younger students	-,61116*	,18716	,001	-,9807	-,2416
		Older students	-,11023	,17579	,531	-,4573	,2368
I explain homework	Younger students	Older students	,35743*	,17765	,046	,0067	,7082
tasks in Turkish.		All groups	,65381*	,19721	,001	,2645	1,0432
	Older students	Younger students	-,35743*	,17765	,046	-,7082	-,0067

		A 11 amounts	,29639	,18523	111	0602	,6621
	A 11 amound	All groups	,29039 -,65381*		,111 ,001	-,0693	-,2645
	All groups	Younger students	,	,19721	ĺ	-1,0432	
T (d	X7 1 .	Older students	-,29639 51103*	,18523	,111	-,6621	,0693
I support the	Younger students	Older students	-,51103*	,23990	,035	-,9846	-,0374
'English only' policy		All groups	-,87080*	,26631	,001	-1,3966	-,3450
in the classroom.	Older students	Younger students	,51103*	,23990	,035	,0374	,9846
		All groups	-,35977	,25013	,152	-,8536	,1341
	All groups	Younger students	,87080*	,26631	,001	,3450	1,3966
		Older students	,35977	,25013	,152	-,1341	,8536
I give minus to the	Younger students	Older students	-,11666	,12416	,349	-,3618	,1285
students who use		All groups	-,39253*	,13782	,005	-,6646	-,1204
Turkish in	Older students	Younger students	,11666	,12416	,349	-,1285	,3618
classroom.		All groups	-,27587*	,12945	,035	-,5314	-,0203
	All groups	Younger students	,39253*	,13782	,005	,1204	,6646
		Older students	,27587*	,12945	,035	,0203	,5314
When students ask	Younger students	Older students	,65852*	,16116	,000	,3403	,9767
me something in		All groups	1,02502*	,17890	,000	,6718	1,3782
Turkish, I reply to	Older students	Younger students	-,65852*	,16116	,000	-,9767	-,3403
them in Turkish as		All groups	,36650*	,16804	,031	,0348	,6983
well.	All groups	Younger students	-1,02502*	,17890	,000	-1,3782	-,6718
		Older students	-,36650*	,16804	,031	-,6983	-,0348
Students do not	Younger students	Older students	,26840	,15402	,083	-,0357	,5725
understand anything		All groups	,82199*	,17097	,000	,4844	1,1595
in English, so I use	Older students	Younger students	-,26840	,15402	,083	-,5725	,0357
Turkish in the		All groups	,55358*	,16059	,001	,2365	,8706
classroom.	All groups	Younger students	-,82199*	,17097	,000	-1,1595	-,4844
		Older students	-,55358*	,16059	,001	-,8706	-,2365
I use Turkish to	Younger students	Older students	,53309*	,16853	,002	,2004	,8658
teach complex		All groups	,76456*	,18709	,000	,3952	1,1339
grammar structures.	Older students	Younger students	-,53309*	,16853	,002	-,8658	-,2004
		All groups	,23148	,17572	,190	-,1154	,5784
	All groups	Younger students	-,76456*	,18709	,000	-1,1339	-,3952
		Older students	-,23148	,17572	,190	-,5784	,1154
*. The mean difference	ce is significant at the (0.05 level.					

Appendix E.8.1. One-way ANOVA Test Results for Differences in Frequency of L1 Use Based on Grade Taught

		ANOVA				
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
I use Turkish to greet	Between Groups	3,009	2	1,504	2,750	,067
students.	Within Groups	91,368	167	,547		
	Total	94,376	169			
I use Turkish at the warm up	Between Groups	11,617	2	5,808	7,520	,001
stage to remind students	Within Groups	128,995	167	,772		
what we have covered at the	Total	140,612	169			
previous lesson.						
I give Turkish equivalents of	Between Groups	14,467	2	7,233	7,934	,001
the unknown words.	Within Groups	152,245	167	,912		
	Total	166,712	169			
I translate the reading	Between Groups	12,928	2	6,464	5,461	,005
passages into Turkish.	Within Groups	197,666	167	1,184		
	Total	210,594	169			
I use Turkish to summarize	Between Groups	17,132	2	8,566	9,957	,000
the topics that we have	Within Groups	143,668	167	,860		
already covered.	Total	160,800	169			
I compare English grammar	Between Groups	11,176	2	5,588	7,058	,001
with Turkish grammar while	Within Groups	132,212	167	,792		
teaching new grammatical	Total	143,388	169			
structures.						
I speak in Turkish rather	Between Groups	3,346	2	1,673	2,327	,101
than English during the class	Within Groups	120,042	167	,719		
activities.	Total	123,388	169			
I use Turkish to create a	Between Groups	7,416	2	3,708	6,184	,003
comfortable environment for	Within Groups	100,137	167	,600		
students.	Total	107,553	169			
I use Turkish to correct	Between Groups	10,358	2	5,179	9,489	,000
students' mistakes.	Within Groups	91,147	167	,546		
	Total	101,506	169			
I use Turkish to give	Between Groups	10,575	2	5,288	7,190	,001
feedback to students.	Within Groups	122,813	167	,735		
	Total	133,388	169			
I first give the instructions in	Between Groups	23,898	2	11,949	10,803	,000
English and then in Turkish.	Within Groups	184,714	167	1,106		
	Total	208,612	169			
When students have	Between Groups	10,311	2	5,155	6,377	,002
problems, I help them by	Within Groups	135,013	167	,808,		
speaking in Turkish.	Total	145,324	169			

I ask my students to translate	Between Groups	9,278	2	4.639	4,275	,015
the reading texts into	Within Groups	181,199	167	1,085	1,273	,013
Turkish.	Total	190,476	169	-,		
I use Turkish to deal with	Between Groups	10,241	2	5,121	6,007	,003
discipline problems in the	Within Groups	142,353	167	,852	0,007	,000
classroom.	Total	152,594	169	,		
I use Turkish to make jokes.	Between Groups	4,769	2	2,384	3,126	,046
J.	Within Groups	127,355	167	,763	-, -	,,
	Total	132,124	169	,		
I explain the gist of listening	Between Groups	18,436	2	9,218	10,370	,000
passages in Turkish.	Within Groups	148,441	167	,889	ŕ	,
	Total	166,876	169			
I can easily get students'	Between Groups	4,235	2	2,118	2,739	,068
attention by using Turkish.	Within Groups	129,089	167	,773		
, ,	Total	133,324	169			
I ask students to use	Between Groups	10,310	2	5,155	4,444	,013
bilingual dictionaries in	Within Groups	193,714	167	1,160		
class.	Total	204,024	169			
I use Turkish to ask students	Between Groups	22,606	2	11,303	12,358	,000
if they have any questions	Within Groups	152,741	167	,915		
about the lesson.	Total	175,347	169			
I speak in Turkish while	Between Groups	5,525	2	2,762	4,856	,009
students do pair/group work.	Within Groups	94,999	167	,569		
	Total	100,524	169			
I speak in Turkish when I	Between Groups	5,451	2	2,725	2,911	,057
talk about something	Within Groups	156,343	167	,936		
unrelated with the lesson in	Total	161,794	169			
the class.						
I use Turkish to motivate my	Between Groups	2,271	2	1,136	1,317	,271
students when they get	Within Groups	144,017	167	,862		
bored.	Total	146,288	169			
I use gestures, mimes or	Between Groups	6,201	2	3,101	3,132	,046
visuals to clarify the	Within Groups	165,305	167	,990		
meaning rather than using	Total	171,506	169			
Turkish.						
I use Turkish to tell stories	Between Groups	10,913	2	5,456	6,315	,002
related to the topic.	Within Groups	144,293	167	,864		
	Total	155,206	169			
I explain homework tasks in	Between Groups	8,790	2	4,395	4,536	,012
Turkish.	Within Groups	161,805	167	,969		
	Total	170,594	169			
I support the 'English only'	Between Groups	16,908	2	8,454	4,804	,009
policy in the classroom.	Within Groups	293,892	167	1,760		

	Total	310,800	169			
I give minus to the students	Between Groups	4,614	2	2,307	4,972	,008
who use Turkish in	Within Groups	77,481	167	,464		
classroom.	Total	82,094	169			
When students ask me	Between Groups	24,815	2	12,407	15,456	,000
something in Turkish, I reply	Within Groups	134,062	167	,803		
to them in Turkish as well.	Total	158,876	169			
Students do not understand	Between Groups	12,398	2	6,199	8,282	,000
anything in English, so I use	Within Groups	124,990	167	,748		
Turkish in the classroom.	Total	137,388	169			
I use Turkish to teach	Between Groups	14,234	2	7,117	8,174	,000
complex grammar structures.	Within Groups	145,413	167	,871		
	Total	159,647	169			

Appendix F. Similarity Report of the Turnitin

gülsen burat tez	
ORUINALLIK RAPORU	
% 13 % 3 % 4 % 12 BENZERLIK ENDEKSI INTERNET YAYINLAR ÖĞREN	2 CI ÖDEVLERI
BIRINCIL KAYNAKLAR	
Submitted to Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University Öğrenci Ödevi	′ _% 1
Submitted to Higher Education Commission Pakistan Öğrenci Ödevi	_% 1
Submitted to University of Edinburgh Öğrenci Ödevi	_% 1
Submitted to Eastern Mediterranean University Öğrenci Ödevi	_% 1
Submitted to University of Leicester Öğrenci Ödevi	<%1
Submitted to Institute of Graduate Studies, UiTM	¹ < _% 1
7 Submitted to Middle East Technical University Öğrenci Ödevi	<%1
8 vdocuments.mx Internet Kaynağı	<%1